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+ check
+ double check
\# checkmate
$x$ capture
!! brilliant move
! good move
!? interesting move
?! dubious move
? bad move
?? blunder
Ch championship
Cht team championship
Wch world championship
Ct candidates event
IZ interzonal event
Z zonal event
OL olympiad
ECC European Clubs Cup
jr junior event
wom women's event
mem memorial event
rpd rapidplay game
corr correspondence game
qual qualifying event
1-0 the game ends in a win for White
$1 / 2-1 / 2$ the game ends in a draw
$0-1 \quad$ the game ends in a win for Black
(n) $n$th match game
(D) see next diagram
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## Introduction

1 e 4 e 52 乌f3 $0 \mathrm{c} 63 \mathrm{Sb5}(\mathrm{D})$


The Ruy Lopez (or Spanish Game, as it's often called) is a simple opening, with a simple idea. White's second and third moves have both increased the pressure on the centre, and in particular the e5-square. Give or take a few developing moves, the next stage of White's plan is to take control of the centre and increase the pressure on e5 with the advance d 4 , which is often supported by c3. It may be a simple enough plan, but it can be highly effective. Because of this, the Ruy Lopez has stood the test of time. Other openings come and go, drifting in and out of fashion, but the Lopez has always been a popular choice for all levels of player, from novice to World Champion, and it will continue to be.

## Mobile and Little Centres

If Black buckles under the pressure and relinquishes the centre with ...exd4, then depending on whether White has played c3 or not, White either obtains a Mobile Centre or a Little Centre, either of which is generally favourable to the one in possession.


This is the Mobile Centre. The pair of central pawns on e4 and d4 control many important squares and give White a space advantage plus more freedom of movement for his pieces. In addition, White has the option of creating a central breakthrough with a timely e5. This thrust could provide a platform for a successful attack on the black king.

The diagram overleaf shows the Little Centre. This pawn structure is

less dangerous for Black than the pre－ vious one，but it still favours White． The pawn on e4 is more advanced than Black＇s central d6－pawn，which once again means that White has more space to move his pieces．Added to this is that White also has control over the important d5－and f5－squares．

## How Does Black React？

Of course Black has many different possible defences against the Lopez， but in general there are two different types of strategy．The first is to meet White＇s d 4 advance by bolstering the e5－pawn with pawns and pieces．This plan is seen in all the closed defences （Chapters 9－15），the Classical Varia－ tion（Chapter 3），the Deferred Steinitz （Chapter 5）and the trendy Møller and Arkhangelsk Variations（Chapter 6）．

Black＇s second strategy revolves around a swift counterattack against White＇s e4－pawn．This is seen in lines such as the sharp Schliemann Varia－ tion（Chapter 2），the Berlin Defence （Chapter 4）and the Open Lopez （Chapter 7）．

## A Real Opening

As a junior player I was quite content to play openings such as the Vienna Game，the King＇s Gambit and the Scotch Gambit，obtaining quick victo－ ries against the unsuspecting oppo－ nents who did not know their theory．

However，as time progressed and my opponents became more experi－ enced，my repertoire of tricky open－ ings just didn＇t seem work any more． No one was falling for my traps，and often all I was left with was a sterile equality，or something even worse．

In 1989 I appeared in the British Championship for the fourth time． Keen to make more of an impression than on my previous undistinguished attempts，I vowed that as White I would give up my＇baby openings＇， take a deep breath and try the Ruy Lopez．After all，it was time I grew up！ My chance came in round 9 ，when I was paired with Scotland＇s top player Paul Motwani，who was a seasoned $1 . . . e 5$ player．The experience was quite enlightening．

> Emms - Motwani British Ch (Plymouth) 1989

 c3d69h3 \＆e6 10 d 4 最xb3 11 桄xb3

In Chapter 10 I advocate 11 axb3， but in 1989 I was only just learning the theory．Still 11 当xb3 is not bad either． I was already struck by the fact that I had a perfectly good position，and even more importantly，one that was easy to play．This was just the sort of
thing I needed when confronting some－ body rated 200 points higher than my－ self．

11．．．d5 12 exd5 乌a5 13 当c2 exd4 14 乌xd4 0 xd 515 乌d2 \＆f6 16 乌2f3 c5 17 乌f5 玉a7 18 \＆d2 ©c4 19 షad1



## 21 Dg5！

As far as I could see，I hadn＇t played any special moves，only natu－ ral ones，yet my position was getting better and better．This was certainly a good choice of opening．
 gxf6 24 官h6 $0 \times 10$

Actually，I had missed this tactic， but such is the dire position of the black king，White is winning in any case．So Lopez players are even lucky！



30 㥪xa6 b4 31 cxb4 cxb4 32 空g1


曹xf7＋安h8 38 宣d4 ゆe5 39 食xe5
 2d6 1－0

It goes without saying that after this little episode，I was converted，and I haven＇t strayed off the path since．

## How This Book is Written

Throughout the book I have attempted to give a concise theoretical overview of the main lines of the Ruy Lopez， with a repertoire slant for the white pieces．In spite of this，I have tried to remain as objective as possible in my coverage，although as I mentioned in my earlier Easy Guide to the Nimzo－ Indian，this can be quite difficult for a hardened advocate of one side！

## 1 Rare Third Moves for Black

1 e 4 e 52 分 3 気 63 鳥b5（D）


Black has a significant number of unusual third move alternatives when faced with the Lopez．After 1 e4 e5 2 Df3 ©c6 3 \＆b5 we shall look at（in roughly ascending order of impor－ tance）the following moves：

| A： | 3．．．客b4 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B： | 3．．．d6 | 10 |
| C： | 3．．．2d4 | 12 |
| D： | 3．．．g6 | 14 |
| E： | 3．．．Dge7 | 16 |

First of all，we should look at some black moves that are considered even rarer than rare，and are a mixture of the bad and the ugly！
a）3．．． W e7 $40-0 \mathrm{~d} 8$ ！？is an in－ triguing scheme of development，which
is not easily refuted．Black plans ．．．c6 and ．．．d6，while the knight may re－ enter the game via e6．That said， White has many ways to achieve an edge．After 5 d 4 c 6 I like 6 全d3 d6 7 b3！？，with ideas of 金b2 or 量a3．
b）3．．．歯f6 tries to make it difficult for White to achieve the d 4 advance． However，the queen can become ex－ posed on f6．One way to keep a plus is with $4 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ Sge7 5 d 3 a 66 国c4．
c） $3 . . \mathrm{f} 6$ was，unbelievably，played by Steinitz．Almost anything will do against this． $40-0$ פge7 5 d 4 ＠g6 6 Dc3 is one way to secure an ample ad－ vantage．
d）3．．．a5！？is a bizarre move，which does have the point that 4 d 3 ？！$\subseteq \mathrm{a} 7$ ！ 5 \＆c4 b5 wins a piece，although 6 \＆xf7＋©xf7 7 家xe5＋is still very un－ clear．White should probably just play $40-0$ ，when $4 \ldots$ Da7 5 \＆e2 d6 6 d 4 leaves the knight looking rather silly on a7．

A）

## 3．．．\＆b4

This is another odd－looking move that was once a favourite of the Swed－ ish grandmaster Jonny Hector．The best one could say about 3．．． $\mathrm{eb}^{2} 4$ is that it＇s not quite as bad as it looks！

4 c 3

Why not gain time on the bishop with this natural Lopez move？

4．．．金55 5 有3！（D）


A good move．This knight will ar－ rive at c ，gaining more time by at－ tacking the bishop．

## 5．．．e．b66 ct d6

Or $6 \ldots$ ．．．f6 7 d3 d6 8 a4 a6（8．．．0－0？ 9 全xc6 bxc6 10 a5 息c5 11 b4 wins a piece） $90 \times 66 \mathrm{cxb6} 10$ 苗． 4 ，when the bishop－pair gave White an advantage in Barlov－Velimirović，Yugoslav Ch 1994.

## $70-0$ ge7

This knight belongs on e7 in this system． $7 \ldots .2 \mathrm{f} 68 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 $9 \mathrm{cxd} 40-0$
 bxc6 13 e5 dxe5 14 Qcxe5 gave White a clear plus in Schüssler－Lukez，Hel－ singborg 1990.

8 a4 0－0
At this point there＇s a pitfall，of which White must be very wary．On first inspection the move 9 a5？seems to trap the black bishop，but Black has the neat trick 9．．．2xa5！ 10 ©xa5 \＆$x$ a5 11 Exa5 c6！and it＇s Black who
wins material．No better is 9 \＆xc6？！ exc6 10 a5，because Black can play 10．．．\＆e6！．

## 9 d3 昷e6

9 ．．．d5 opens the position prema－ turely．In Hellers－Hector，Haninge 1990 White got a big advantage after 10

 16b4！全xb417皿xc6皿xc318全xb7 Eab8 19 a6 \＃̈fd8 20 色e3．
 （D）


Hellers－Hector，Stockholm Rilton Cup 1990／1．White＇s two bishops and extra space give him a clear edge．

## B）

3．．．d6
Steinitz＇s Defence．This has a repu－ tation of being quite solid，but rather passive，and it＇s not very popular these days．If Black wants to play lines in－ volving an early ．．．d6，it＇s more nor－ mal to use the deferred move－order of 3．．．a6 4 昷． 4 and only then $4 . . . \mathrm{d} 6$（see Chapter 5）．

## 4 d4

White has other moves，but this is the most direct way to achieve a good position．

## 4．．．空d7

Not the only move．Others include：

 9 － 3 会xg5 10 Oxg5 0－0 110－0－0 gave White the usual spatial plus in Ulybin－Adams，Khalkidhiki 1992） 6



 with a slight advantage for White， Anderssen－Paulsen，Vienna 1873.
b） $4 \ldots \mathrm{e} \mathrm{g} 4$（Marshall＇s idea） 5 dxe 5 dxe5 6 Wxd8＋（6 Wd5 Wxd5 7 exd5 \＆ exf 38 gxf 3 a 69 dxc6 axb5 10 cxb 7
 14 \＆e3 was also better for White in Canfell－Wohl，Australian Ch 1991） 6．．．Exd8 7 是xc6＋bxc6 and now not 8 ©xe5？？allowing 8．．．Ed1\＃，but 8 Qbd2，which maintains an advantage for White．One possible continuation would be 8．．．今d6 9 © 4 ！ 0 f6（ $9 . . . f 6$
 \＆e3 a6 $120-0-0$ are also slightly better for White） 10 会e3 ©xe4 11
 sition which shows us why this line is not played by Black：everything else is equal，but Black＇s pawn weaknesses on the queenside are permanent．

## $50-0$

This is the main line，but White can also consider ambitious ideas involv－ ing queenside castling after 5 ec3．

$\operatorname{exd} 48$ Oxd4 s．d7 9 会g5 宜 710 $0-0-0$ and $5 \ldots$ exd4 $60 x d 4$ g6 7 皿e3

 are better for White．

5．．． 2 f 6
Once again the main move，al－ though 5 ．．．exd4 6 xd4 g6！？is a more ambitious way of developing．After 7
 9 el e 710 定f4 is the most annoy－ ing for Black．If then $10 \ldots 0-0$ White presses forward with 11 e5！，for exam－ ple 11．．．d5 12 a4 with a strong bind on the dark squares．Black can prevent the advance with 10 ．．．f6，but the sim－ ple plan of exchanging dark－squared bishops with 11 㟶d2 0－0 12 安h6 当b8 13 是xg7 宫xg7 14 b3 was enough to give White an edge in J．Todorović－ Yanovsky，Belgrade 1988.

6 © 3 exd4
After 6．．． ee7 White can virtually force Black to give up the centre in any case by 7 血xc6 显xc6 8 峟d 3 ！．Now 8．．． 2 d 79 是 3 exd4 10 公xd4 0－0 11 f4 looks good for White，so Black nor－ mally plays 8 ．．．exd4．Following 9 0 xd 4 今d7 White can develop aggres－
最f8 13 Eael．Hamdouchi－Campora， Dos Hermanas 1998 continued 13．．．c5
 17 c 4 ，when Black was struggling to find breathing room．


昷f6 14 䊉b4 left Black without any prospects in Hraček－Smejkal，Czech Cht 1997／8．

## 9 定xe6 bxc6

If Black captures with 9．．．exc6， then White should just proceed with 10 b 3 and 11 苗b2．

## 10 Wiwd

More accurate than the immediate 10 b 3 ，which allows Black to break out with $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！ 11 e5 \＆ e b4！．

10．．．Шe8 11 b3 定f8 12 官b2 g6
In the game Nunn－Portisch，Buda－ pest Ct playoff（6）1987，Black di－ verged with $12 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ，but after 13 Df3㑒c6 14 Ead1 h6 15 e5！White was still better．
 （D）


White prepares the e5 advance．In Nunn－Portisch，Budapest Ct playoff （2）1987，White kept the advantage af－
 18 c 4 ．

## C）

## 3．．．2d4

This defence was invented in the nineteenth century by the English master Henry Bird．The idea is to
leave the Lopez bishop hitting thin air on b5．Bird＇s Defence has never really caught on，however，probably because Black moves his knight twice in the opening，just to see it exchanged．

4 ©xd4 exd4 5 0－0（D）


At this point Black has two serious options：
C1：5．．．c6 12
C2：5．．．全． 513
After 5．．． 2 De 7 White should con－ tinue with 6 d 3 c 67 亶a4 d5 8 Dd2，
 11 幽h5，with some advantage，Petros－ ian－Süer，Varna OL 1962.

## C1）

5．．．c6
Immediately putting the question to the white bishop．This line is less pop－ ular than 5．．．莤c5．

## 

Another way for Black to play is



weak pawn on d6 gave White an edge in Matanović－Gliksman，Yugoslav Ch 1967.

## 7 exd5 cxd5 8 Ee1＋©e7

The more natural 8．．．ec7 allows 9全b5＋，when 9．．．今d7 runs into 10 Wg4！so Black is forced to play the ugly 9 ．．．d．d8．



Forced，as 13．．．0－0 14 气xd4 $0 x \mathrm{xd} 4$
 \＆f5 18 d 3 is clearly better for White．

14 嗢 $b 5$ dxc 315 dxc $30-016$ 气e 3 Efc8 17 Ead1（ $D$ ）


This position arose in Geller－Kla－ man，Moscow 1949．The pressure against Black＇s isolated d－pawn gives White a very pleasant game．

## C2）

## 5．．．皿c5

This is the main line of Bird＇s De－ fence．Black develops a piece and waits for White to commit himself be－ fore attacking the bishop．

6 d 3 c 67 （a4

In this line the bishop is better placed on a4，out of the way of any ．．．d5 breaks from Black．

## 7．．． 2 e 7

More recently，Black has been ex－ perimenting with $7 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ ，giving the knight the option of going to $f 6$ ．This idea works after 8 f 4 f 5 ！？ 9 （d2 ゆf6！ 10 e5 dxe5 11 fxe5 0 g 4 ，when Black has succeeded in reaching a playable position．However，White should play more craftily with 8 金b3！，planning to meet 8 ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 6$ with the pinning 9 年g5！． If Black reverts to $8 \ldots$ ．．． De 7 ，then White also reverts to the original plan with 9 $\mathrm{f4}$ ！，leading to lines similar to the main text．

## 8 f4！

This powerful move has done a great deal of damage to the reputation of Bird＇s Defence．

8．．．f5
This move is virtually forced．Fail－ ure to prevent White＇s f5 thrust can re－ sult in a grim position，e．g． 8 ．．．d5 9 f5 f6（ $9 \ldots 0-010 \mathrm{f} 6!$ is even worse） 10

 16 d 2 dxc 317 bxc 3 and Black is in big trouble，Kindermann－Tatai，Buda－ pest 1987.
安f8

11．．．${ }^{\text {dif }} 7$ is no better．White contin－ ues 12 Dd2 ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{e} 8$（ $N C O$ gives 12．．．g6
 Wd6 16 b4！会xb4 17 亩b2 with a clear advantage，as 17．．．c5 18 a3 \＆ ．a5 fails



$20{ }_{\mathrm{cl}}$ and the d4－pawn is ripe for picking．

12 Wh5！（D）


Black＇s airy king position is a major cause for concern．Kamsky－Ivanchuk， Tilburg 1990 continued 12．．．g6 13
 16 乌f3 h6 17 Qe5 党f6 18 \＆xd5＋

是xd4 Еe2 25 昷xb6 世xf2 26 是xf2 and White had achieved a winning endgame．

## D）

## 3．．．g6

This quiet positional move has been favoured by former World Champions Spassky and Smyslov．White can now play 4 c3，when Black＇s best reaction would be to transpose to Chapter 5 with 4．．．a6 5 皿a4 d6 6 d4 昷d7．At－ tempts to do without ．．．a6 give White more options，for example 4 ．．．d6 5 d 4

 12 a 4 ，leading to an edge for White，

Dolmatov－Kholmov，Sochi 1988．How－ ever，as well as 4 c 3 White can play in a more direct fashion with．．．

## 4 d4！？exd4

 7 e 5 is very favourable for White，e．g．


 16 \＆d3 with an overwhelming posi－ tion，Kasparov－Garcia Santos，Galicia simul 1991.

## 5 eg5 今．e7

Of course，Black cannot continue 5．．．乌ge7，due to 6 昷f6．The alterna－ tive to $5 \ldots$ \＆ e 7 is $5 \ldots \mathrm{f}$ ，when White keeps the advantage after 6 昷 h 4 酎g7


精b5＋！） 14 Wxd4．Now Nunn－Davies， Hastings 1987／8 continued 14．．．0－0？！ 15 f4 娄d7 16 h3 臽e6 17 f5！gxf5 18 exf5 \＆ $\mathrm{exf5} 19$ 分 5 and White＇s attack was much too strong．14．．．g5 is a more resolute defence，when 15 昷xg5？fails
 However，White can keep a small plus with 15 昷g 3 h 516 h 3 血e6 17 f 4 ．

6 金xe7（ $D$ ）
Now Black has two options：
D1：6．．．慈xe7 14
D2： 6 ．．． Vgxe $\quad 15$

## D1）


The greedy 7 ．．．当b4＋is punished
 11 e5！dxc6 12 exf6，when White＇s at－ tack comes crashing through．



In Sax－Smyslov，New York 1987， White built up a menacing kingside at－ tack after 9．．．0－0 10 0－0－0 ©e6 11 h 3世fd8 12 䊦e3 b5 13 包 5 気d7 14 f 4
 18 2a4．In particular，Black suffers because his queenside pawns are less mobile，a perennial problem of the doubled pawn complex．

10 0－0－0 会xf 311 gxf $30-012$ 数e3 ©h5 $13 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{b6} 14 \mathrm{f5}$（ $D$ ）


Luther－Dautov，Bad Lauterberg 1991．White＇s initiative on the king－ side gives him the advantage．

## D2）

6．．．Dgxe7 7 价4 d5
Delaying this for a move with 7．．．0－0 80 c 3 d 5 doesn＇t change the assessment of the position．White can keep an advantage after both 9 exc6 bxc6 10 乌b3！dxe4 11 Qxe4 and the
 b3．

8 Sc3 dxe4 9 全xc6＋2xc6 10分xc6 溇xd1＋11 Exd1 bxc6 12 分xe4合f5130－0！0－0

After 13．．．量xe4 14 Ёfel f5 15 f3登d8 16 fxe4 4417 Ëxd8＋室xd8 18宣f2 Ed4 4 b8 22 b3 Black＇s queenside weaknesses proved fatal in Wahls－ Zsu．Polgar，Dortmund 1990.
 Eab8 17 b3（D）

B


Black＇s weak a－and c－pawns make this endgame rather uncomfortable for him．Nunn－Salov，Skellefteå World Cup 1989 continued 17 ．．． $\mathbf{m b} 518$－a 4 \＃̈d5 19 घ゙d3 షّe6 20 官f2 h6 21 』a4是xe4 22 甾xe4 would have kept a clear advantage．

E）

## 3．．． Dge7

This move was briefly popular in the late 1980s，when it was used by grandmasters such as Ivan Sokolov and Alexei Dreev．Black＇s idea is to follow up with ．．．g6，．．． ．g7 and a later ．．．d5．Here we look at two alternatives for White：
E1： 4 ©c3！？ 16
E2： $4 \mathrm{c3} 16$

## E1）

4 Øc3！？
A tricky move，against which Black must defend very carefully．

4．．．g6
If $4 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 6$ White should open the position with 5 d 4 exd4 6 xd4．Fol－

 12 f 4 fxe 413 ©xe4 wive7 14 Dg3 White was better in Barczay－Sydor， Lublin 1969.
 Forced，as 8 \＆xc6 was threatened．



## 100 xd 4

Perhaps White should consider the untried 10 䐗d2！？here．The point is to meet 10．．． posing to the next note． $10 \ldots$ Df5 11 Qd5 0 g 712 Df4 De6 13 Qxe6＋ dxe6 $140-0-0$ favours White，as does $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 511$ \＆xc6 0 xc6 12 exd5．This leaves us with $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ ，but here 11
 bxc6 14 定xc6 may give White an edge．This line needs a practical test．

10．．．ゆf5！
Murey－Dreev，Moscow 1989 con－ tinued $10 \ldots$ ．．．gg 7 ，which White met by the shocking 11 d 2 ！．Dreev contin－ ued weakly with $11 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 8 \quad 12$ d 5 2f6 13 2c3 ©h5 14 g 4 ，when White had an overwhelming position．How－ ever，grabbing the knight with 11 ．．． also gives White an irresistible attack after 12 酸 3 ，e．g． $12 \ldots$ ．．． 213 f 4 d 6 14 fxe5＋dxe5 $150-0-0$ ！，or $12 \ldots$ ．． 2 xd 4 13 畨xd4＋安e6 14 苗．c4＋d5 15 exd5＋数d7 $160-0-0$ a6 17 d 6 ！．

11 分h7＋！？
Black is fine after 11 exf5 崰xf6 12
 ．．．프d8．

11．．．Exh7 12 exf5 当f6 13 全xc6 dxe6 $140-0$ c5

Emms－Twyble，London 1998．Now White should play 15 Db5！？自xf5 16
㥪xc2 19 Ex7 with good compensa－ tion for the pawn．

E2）
4 c 3 g 6
4．．．a6 5 蓝a4 d6 6 d4 ${ }^{\text {\＆d }}$ d trans－ poses to Chapter 5.
$50-0$ 昷g76 d4 exd4
Black gives up the centre，but plans to strike back immediately．6．．．0－0 7 d5 a6 8 臽e2 ©a7 9 c 4 is obviously better for White．

7 cxd4 d5 8 exd5 0 xd5（ $D$ ）


## 9 苗g5

In my opinion this is more accurate than 9 Ee1＋定e6 10 昷xc6＋bxc6 11昷g5，which may transpose，but un－ necessarily gives Black the added op－ tion of $11 \ldots$ Wb 8 ！？

 Efe8 15 皿d2 新b6

15．．．眥b5 16 De5 是f5 17 ©c5 （ NCO ）also gives White an edge．

16 Oc5 © $\mathbf{~} .517$ © 5 Ead8
Giving up the dark squares with 17 ．．．宣xe5？is not to be recommended． After 18 dxe 5 分 b 419 盁g5 2 c 220 Qd7！是xd721 紫xc2 Black has chronic weaknesses around his king．

18 a3 0 f6 19 we4 \＃f8
19．．．苗e6？fails to 20 气xe6 欮 21 Qxf7！．

20 b4（D）

B


Donchev－Radulov，Bulgaria 1991．I prefer White＇s active knights to Black＇s bishop－pair．

## 2 The Schliemann Variation




The Schliemann Variation is probably the sharpest way of meeting the Ruy Lopez. Black immediately goes on the counterattack in the centre, in King's Gambit fashion. Most positional considerations are overtaken by tactics and hard variations, so there's much more homework for the student here than in many of the other chapters. That said, a well-prepared player on the white side could certainly look forward to facing the Schliemann. After all, this line is fun for White too, but only if you know your stuff!

The Schliemann is quite popular at club level, where many white players refuse to take up the challenge and opt out with the passive 4 d 3 . However, this is just the type of move Schliemann players would enjoy playing
against, as Black is put under no immediate pressure and has been able to 'get away with' his third move. After,
 Black already has a comfortable development plan and White no longer has a d-pawn! Instead of this, White must try to punish Black for his sins and thus I'm recommending the critical reply 40 c 3 !.

## The Theory of the Schliemann

 (D)


Black has three main options:
A: 4...2f6 19
B: 4... $\ \mathrm{~d} 419$
C: 4...fxe4 21

## A）

## 4．．．$\subseteq f 6$

On first impression this looks the most natural follow－up，but in fact it＇s not very popular due to the strength of White＇s reply．

## 5 exf5！

Whereas 4 exf5？！e4！would have embarrassed the knight，now 5．．．e4 can be effectively met by 6 \＄h4！．Af－ ter 6．．．d5 7 d 3 是e7 8 dxe4 dxe4 9
 White is simply a pawn to the good．If $5 .$. ©d4 White should simply snatch another pawn with $6 \pm x e 5$ ，when

 was clearly better for White in Chan－ dler－Hermann，Bundesliga 1995／6， while 6．．．ec5 7 0－0 0－0 transposes to the main line of this section．

Black＇s only chance to complicate， as $7 . . .0 x e 58 \mathrm{~d} 4$ is clearly in White＇s favour．

## 

White is also a safe pawn up after $9 . .0 x f 510$ 是xf5 \＆xf5 11 d 4 会d6 12 De5．

## 10 h 3 ！（ $D$ ）

Stronger than 10 b 3 ？！©xf5 11 \＆xf5 \＆xf5 12 d 4 \＆ b 4 ，when Black has some compensation for the pawn． After 10 h3！，Ulybin－O．Rodriguez， Benasque 1992 continued 10 ．．．$D$ d7？！ 11 ©xd4 真xd4 12 De2 奄b6 13 c 3它 514 血 c 2 d 415 cxd 4 色xd4 16
 19 f 4 ！and White held a clear advan－ tage．The straightforward $10 \ldots .$, xf5 is stronger，although after 11 \＆ xff § e xf5


12d4 今d6 13 乌e5 ©e4 14 乌e2 Black doesn＇t have enough compensation．

B）
4．．． $2 \mathrm{~d} 4!?(D)$

W


A deceptively tricky move，which was first suggested by Alekhine． Black seems to break all the opening rules by following up 3．．．f5 with mov－ ing his one developed piece again，but $4 \ldots . \mathrm{d} 4$ is not as bad as it first looks， and should be treated with consider－ able care．

5 余c4！

Of course White has other playable moves，including 5 exf5 and 5 皿a4， but this one asks Black the most ques－ tions；for example：where will he cas－ tle？

## 5．．．c6

Other moves for Black include：
a） $5 . . \mathrm{d} 66 \mathrm{~d} 3$ 左6（6．．．＠e77 乌xg8 Exg8 8 气xd4 exd4 9 Wh5＋家f8 10 Qd5 looks good for White） 7 Dxd4！
 $100-0$ xe 411 2f4 is clearly better for White） 10 Wd4 ©f6 11 ＠g5 and White＇s lead in development had reached nearly decisive proportions in Abramović－Kovačević，Yugoslav Ch 1985.
b） 5 ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 66 \mathrm{~d} 3(60-0 \Omega \mathrm{xf} 3+!7$ Wxf3 fxe4 8 气xe4 c6 is less clear） 6．．．仓xf3＋7 Uxf3f48g3g59gxf4 gxf4 10 是xf4！exf4 11 e5 ${ }^{\text {ebb4 }} 12$

 clearly better in Tošić－Šahović，Vrn－ jačka Banja 1982.

## $60-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$

Black can also offer to sacrifice ma－ terial with 6．．． $2 \mathrm{f6}$ ．Emms－Tebb，Brit－ ish Ch （Norwich） 1994 continued 7
 10 e 5 d 511 exf6 垱xf6 12 Efe $1+$ dd8 13 气xd5 cxd5 14 气xd5 E b 815 d 4 with a very unclear position．Instead of 7 d3，White should grab the material on offer with 7 ＠xe5 fxe4 8 ゆf7 wiv7它xh8．After 9．．．d5 10 气e2 气 e d 611 h 3 ©f5 12 d 3 it＇s difficult to believe Black has enough compensation．

## 7 exf5！

Sensibly opening the position up．


Uf6 gives Black the type of position he wants，where the f4－pawn cramps the white pieces．

## 7．．．exf5

Once more Black has some other options：

 ©xf5 13 d 4 leads to a typically advan－ tageous position for White，who is a pawn up and can boast an outpost for the knight on e5．
b） $7 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xf5} 8 \mathrm{~d} 4$ and now $8 . .$. exd4 9 Eel＋气e7 $10 \triangleq x d 4$ is clearly better for White，while 8 ．．．d5 runs into 9


c） $7 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xf} 3+8$ 溇xf3 Wiv6 9 d 4 exd4 10 Eel＋doty 11 De4 Wxf5 12 Wg gives White a clear plus，accord－ ing to $N C O$ ．

## 

Black has problems defending his d4－pawn after 9．．．\＆e7 10 ©e2．



We have been following Timman－ Danov，Wijk aan Zee 1971，which
continued 11．．． Ee 812 Exe8 8 xe 813传e2＋dd7 14 c 3 dxc 315 dxc 3 ，when White had a big lead in development．

## C）

## 4．．．fxe4

Black＇s main continuation．The e－ pawn is eliminated and Black prepares to occupy the centre，for one move at least！

## 5 炧xe4

Now we deal with Black＇s two main choices：
C1：5．．．ゆf6 21
C2：5．．．d5 22
C1）
5．．．仓f（D）


This line was unpopular for a long time，as White can virtually win a pawn by force by $60 \times f 6+$ wxf6 7 We2．However，ways were then dis－ covered of drumming up counterplay for Black．

6 Wxf6＋
When improvements for Black were being discovered in this line，White
started to try 6 崰e 2 ！？，but my impres－ sion is that Black＇s resources are suffi－ cient in this line after 6．．．d5 7 ©xf6＋
 bxc6 11 e6 Ёe8 $120-0$ c5．

## 6．．．壹xf6

6．．．gxf6？is a mistake．After 7 d 4 We7（or 7．．．e4 8 乌g5！） $80-0 \mathrm{e} 49 \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！
 crushing position in Emms－Sylvan， Copenhagen 1992.

7 We2 \＆e7 8 单xc6 dxc6
8．．．Wxc6 9 Wxe5 leaves Black struggling to castle，but $8 . .$. bxc6 is quite interesting．White should con－ tinue with 9 定xe5，when $9 \ldots . . c 5100-0$气 b 711 b 3 0－0－0 12 \＆ b 2 was better for White in J．Diaz－Antunes，Santa Clara 1991，while 9．．．0－0 10 0－0 0 －d6
 14 dxe5 Wxe5 15 楼xc5 also leaves White a clear pawn up．

## 9 ©xe5 \＆ 5

With this move Black keeps his op－ tions open regarding which side to castle．The other main line runs $9 \ldots 0-0$ $100-0$ ed d 611 d 4 \＆ e 5 （ $11 \ldots . . \mathrm{c} 512$

 advantage in Kuporosov－Yandemirov， USSR 1986） 12 c3！？（ 12 f 4 是xe5 13
 position after Black＇s 15th move in Marjanović－Yilmaz，discussed in the next note）and now：
a） $12 \ldots$ Eae 13 气f4 \＆xe5（not 13．．．气d3？！ 14 Wxd3 wxf4，allowing
 was clearly better for White in the game Dervishi－Khachian，Panormo Z 1998.
 cxd4 15 cxd 4 \＆e6 16 究g3 gave White an edge in Kotronias－Vouldis，Greek Ch 1992.

## $100-0!$ ？

This move has hardly been seen， but it could well be the most testing move－order for Black．After 10 d 4 Black obtains sufficient counterplay with $10 \ldots 0-0-011$ e．e3 \＆d6 12 f 4垵xe5 13 dxe5 Wg6．Another com－ monly played move for White is 10 d3．Now 10．．．0－0－0 11 0－0 ${ }^{\text {Eै }}$ he8 12 f 4
 a clear plus in Glek－Arbakov，corr 1985．However，Black can switch back to 10 ．．．0－0！，underlining the flex－ ibility of $9 \ldots$ ． e 5 ．Following $110-0$

 typical for this line．Despite White＇s two－pawn majority on the kingside， the presence of opposite－coloured bishops makes it extremely difficult for White to convert his small advan－ tage．After 16 을 3 ed $517 a 4 a 518$ Eaf1 h5 19 dibl h4 20 h3 had enough play in Marjanović－Yil－ maz，Kavala 1985.

The point of $100-0!?$ is to answer $10 . . .0-0-0$ with 11 d 3 ，as in Glek－Arba－ kov，and $10 \ldots 0-0$ with 11 d 4 ，leading to the note to Black＇s 9th move．Of course Black does have another op－ tion，which is to grab the hot pawn．

10．．．空xc2！？ 11 d 3 （D）
The only game 1 could find with 10 ．．．定xc2 ended in a quick win for
 13 \＃g4＋$\ddagger \mathrm{b} 814$ \＆g5 and Black was obliged to resign in Zude－Brehm，


Hessen 1988．11．．． 9 a4 is a tougher defence，but White＇s initiative still seems quite daunting，for example 12
 $0-0$ ！shows the defensive resources in Black＇s position）12．．．eb5 13 §b2 and now $13 \ldots 0-0-0$ ？allows 14 \％g4＋， while $13 \ldots 0-0$ runs into 14 Dd7．Per－ haps Black can play 13．．．We6，but af－ ter 14 Efel there are still problems to solve．Certainly，this line could do with a practical test．

## C2）

5．．．d5（D）


This move leads to the most heavily analysed variations of the Schlie－ mann．Play becomes extremely sharp and both sides must know their theory． After 5．．．d5，White has the option of retreating the knight with $6 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3$ ，a perfectly playable，though hardly crit－ ical reply．However，my recommenda－ tion is to play straight into the main line with．．．

6 包xe5！dxe4 7 包xc6
Now Black has three possible con－ tinuations：
C21：7．．．bxc6 23
C22：7．．．副5 24
C23：7．．．${ }^{\text {Wg }} 25$

## C21）

## 7．．．bxc6

The least popular move．White can achieve a clear advantage in more than one way．

8 是xc6＋皿d7 9 Wh5＋de7 10断 $5+$ §e6（ $D$ ）


## 11 f4！？

This is the critical move，although a safe plus can be achieved by the simple

11 \＆xa8 蓸xa8 12 楼xc7＋\＄e8 $130-0$ §e7 14 d3 exd3 15 cxd3，when White＇s rook and three pawns outweigh the two minor pieces．

11．．．exf 3
11．．． $2 \mathrm{~h} 612 \mathrm{f5}$ ©xf5 13 登 f is very strong，e．g．13．．．瞥d6（13．．．2h6？？ 14
传xd6＋安xd6 15 \＆xa8 c6 also looks favourable for White） $14 \ldots$ ．．． b 815 玉゙xf5
今f4＋审e7 19 Wxd4 Exd4 20 §e3 \＆xf5 $21 \triangleq x d 4$ and White is clearly better．

12 d 4 ゆf6 $13 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{f} 2+14$ de2 $2 \mathrm{xd5}$ （D）


15 Ëd1！
I believe this is the most effective way to reach a clear plus． $15 \$ g 5+$ is less clear after 15．．．Qf6 16 Ëhd1 哆c8
 gxf6 19 wxc7＋${ }^{(1)} 8$ is also a mess）
 Be7 20 dxf2 崽f7 and Black was not worse in Kovalevsky－Lubarsky，USSR 1968.

15．．． U d6
 Ge8 18 Exd5 wins for White，while
挡d6 18 会xa8 曹xh2 19 气g5＋is also strong．

 21 Eac1

This endgame is most unpleasant for Black．

## C22）


White may also play 9 楼 $\mathrm{h} 5+\mathrm{g} 610$
 though after $12 \ldots$ \＆g 7 ！ 13 d 4 exd3 Black seems to have enough counter－ chances，e．g． 14 \＆f4 ©f6 $150-0-0$
 \＆e3 Exc4 and Black is fine，or $140-0$ \＆f5（14 ．．．exe5？ 15 Еैe1！is better for White） 15 Eैel 0－0－0 16 气g 5 （ 16 ©f7 d2 17 ＠xd2 ※xxd2 18 Еe8＋\＆d7 19 Eae1 ${ }^{\boxed{E}} \mathrm{xb} 2$ is better for Black）16．．．d2 17 Ex Ef8 and Mikhail Tseitlin fa－ vours Black．

9．．．今d7 10 \＆xd7＋曹xd7 11 Wh5＋！

Much more critical than 11 Db5 Qf6 120－0 \＆c5，which gives Black good play for the two－pawn deficit．

11．．．g6
11．．．むd8 favours White after 12幽a5！te8 $130-0$－ 614 d 4 exd3 15 Qe3．

## 12 We5＋cif 13 ©b5！

Capturing the black rook immedi－ ately by 13 wh8 is dangerous in view of $13 \ldots$ ．．．f6 14 Qb5 c6 15 乌c3 \＃e8， when White must give up his queen in less favourable circumstances．

13．．．c6 14 wd4（D）


## 14．．．．${ }^{\text {We7 }} 7$

Black chooses complications over an inferior endgame，which he gets with either alternative：
 ©c3 0617 b 3 favours White．

 $190-0$ and again White is better．

## 15 夏xh8

Grabbing the rook must be correct． Black has enough counterplay after 15 Dc3 ©f6 16 宸e3
$15 .$. ．$\triangle 1616$ b3
 19 Wxe8＋©xe8 20 \＃̈d1 is much better for White than the main varia－ tion，as the d5－square beckons as an important outpost．




But not $230-0$ g 4 ！．After 23 eb2 White＇s two rooks and three pawns should overcome the black queen．In Todorov－Boudre，Cannes 1997，White
kept the advantage after 23．．．wist 24

 sxe4．

C23）
7．．．当g5（D）


The main line．This move was given a boost when it was adopted by Jon Speelman in a 1989 Candidates match against Jan Timman．Speelman reached a level position and went on to win the game．Since then，however，new ideas have been found for White，and Black is once more struggling to equalize．

8 峟e2 06
8．．．㟶xg2？？loses to 9 宸 $\mathrm{h} 5+$ d7 （or 9．．．g6 10 We5＋dod7 11 ゆb8＋

9 f4
Now we have a further branch：
C231：9．．．Wh4＋ 25
C232：9．．．Wxf4 26

## C231）




13 c 3 is also feasible，but I prefer to prepare queenside castling as soon as possible．

B


13．．． 4 g 4
Black has to continue actively．Af－ ter 13．．．exd3？ 14 是xd3 0－0 15 是d2， followed by $0-0-0$ ，White is winning．

15．．．皃f8 loses to 16 be4 b6 17 Efl，while White also keeps a clear advantage after 15．．．富e7 16 当c4 单b6 17 Efl Wxh2 18 Wb4＋c5 19 Wid2．
 （D）


## 18．．．色f5

18．．． Konikowski－Roose，corr 1974，after 19 乌e3 2 g 420 ＠xb6＋axb6 21 㥩e7＋
 ［f3！．

19 Wd6＋tic8 20 乌e6＋气xe6 21
 $23 \mathbf{W g} 7$ is not so clear in view of


$N C O$ stops here with an assessment of＇clearly better for White＇，but per－ haps we should look a little further． After the forced sequence 23．．．\＆xe3
 strong attack for the piece，for exam－ ple：

 and now 29．．．Wexg loses to 30 畒c6＋実b8 31 Ef3 $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} 232 \mathrm{~d} 4$ ！and the rook swings across decisively．
b） $25 \ldots \mathrm{xd} 3+$（returning the sacri－ ficed piece looks to be Black＇s best chance） 26 cxd 3 （ 26 Wxd3 Ee8＋ 27



White an endgame advantage，while White could also consider 27 cl！？

## C232）

9．．．${ }^{\text {W．}} \mathrm{xf} 4$（ $D$ ）
This is Black＇s most popular move．

W


10 官 $5+$
10 d 4 ！？is an underrated idea． Kamsky－Piket，Groningen 1995 con－ tinued 10．．．Wd 11 Qe5＋c6 12 ＠c4
 looks too dangerous for Black） 13 c 3定xc4 14 Dxc4 熿e6 $150-0$（Kamsky gives 15 \＆ 44 as a good alternative）
 White was slightly better．Of course Black could try to steer the game back to the main line with $10 \ldots . .4 \mathrm{w} 4+11 \mathrm{~g} 3$䉼h3．Now 12 色 $5+$ c6 13 \＆c4 would transpose to the main line，but White could also try the little－played 12 © 95 ！？（ $D$ ）．

This move does have some pedi－ gree，as it was played by Fischer in a blitz game，and it does seem to cause Black problems．Fischer－Matulović， Herceg Novi blitz 1970 continued


13．．．今d7 14 \＆xf6 gxf6 15 憎xe4＋

 $\stackrel{\&}{\mathrm{~d}} 621$ 玉xb7 and White went on to win．It＇s possible that there are some improvements for Black in this line， but in any case I also like the look of 17 \＆c4＋（instead of Fischer＇s 17 Eff），for example 17．．．\＆e6 18 f1＋果g8 19 比h4！！and the endgame will be very nice for White．Food for thought！

10．．．c6 11 d 4 Wh4＋12 g3 wh3（D）


13 ＠c4 气e6

Black mustn＇t allow the check on f 7 ． Emms－Timmerman，Gent 1990 con－ tinued 13．．．今d6？！ 14 §f7＋富e7 15宜b3 皿e6 16 \＆f4 Ehf8 $170-0-0$ and White was comfortably better．

## 14 ef4！？

The alternative 14 鼻g 5 lost some of its popularity after the Timman－Speel－ man game，but it should still give Black problems．After $14 \ldots 0-0-015$ 0－0－0 \＆d6 White can try the interesting 16 g4！？（Timman played 16 ©f7 but got nothing after 16．．．exf7 17 亚xf7 ${ }^{\text {enf8）}}$ 16．．．§xc4 17 学xc4 and now：
a） 17 ．．． He 818 dg 1 ！（the point of 16 g 4 ：White plans to trap the black queen）18．．．仓xe5 19 dxe5 \＃xe5 20
宫c7 23 欮5！and White is better．
b） $17 \ldots$ ．．． ex 518 dxe5 宸xg4（the

 Dg6 23 潘xg7 was good for White in Donchev－Inkiov，Bulgarian Ch 1989） 19 \＃dg1 Ed1＋（19．．．浀f5？ 20 exf6 gxf621 e e3 was winning for White in Brynell－Wieweg，Stockholm Rilton
 Wxe5 22 Wif7 with an edge to White according to Nunn（ NCO ）．

14．．．0－0－0
After 14．．．巴d8 $150-0-0$ 显d6 Bolo－ gan came up with the important nov－ elty 16 ＠g5！，which causes Black lots of headaches：
a）16．．．0－0 17 断f1！wins material
 or $17 . . .2 \mathrm{~d} 518$ 曹xh3 忿xh3 19 息xd8．
b）After 16．．．蔧f5，Bologan－Chand－ ler，Bundesliga 1993／4 went 17 \＆xf6 gxf6 18 anf1 and White was slightly
better, while Bologan suggests 17 h 4 ! as being even stronger.


16...Ehf8

White also keeps an edge after
 better than $17 \ldots$ exe5? 18 \&xe6+!

big advantage for White, PopovićInkiov, Palma de Mallorca 1989.

17 Ëhf1 官b8 18 a4! (D)


White keeps the advantage. LutzYagupov, Groningen 1995 continued 18... ©a8 19 a5 \&xc4 20 wixc4, when the positional threat of a6 caused Black some aggravation.

# 3 The Classical Variation 

## 


$3 \ldots$ ．．． 5 is a very natural and active move．However，it has never been re－ ally popular because it runs straight into White＇s basic plan of c 3 and d4． As this can hardly be prevented，Black must be prepared to lose time by mov－ ing this bishop again．Nevertheless， there is a plus side in that that the bishop will be actively placed on the a7－g1 diagonal，where it can exert pressure on White＇s centre．Black＇s main problem is that it is difficult for him to maintain his pawn on e5，where it comes under considerable attack．

White＇s two main options after 3．．．थc5 are 4 c 3 and $40-0$ ．Out of these two I＇m opting for the slightly more flexible $40-0$ ，which also rules out having to learn the unclear conse－ quences of 4 c 3 fS ！？．

## The Theory of the Classical Variation

1 e 4 e 52 亿f3 2 c 63 \＆b5 今c540－0
Black now has three main possibili－ ties：

| A： | 4．．．句ge7 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B： | 4．．． $\mathrm{S}^{\text {d }} 4$ | 30 |
| C： | 4．．．2f6 | 31 |

Other tries which deserve a men－ tion are：
 Df6（7．．．f68 \＆e3 Dge79 Da30－0 10 2c4 0 g 6110 xb 6 axb6 was slightly better for White in Romanovsky－ Kubbel，USSR Ch 1925） 8 dxe5 0 xe5 9 ©xe5 dxe5（9．．．＠xb5？ 10 ゆxf7！㹸xf7 11 比b3＋and 12 誛xb5 is good for White） 10 ＠xf6 gxf6 11 Qxd7＋
 Black＇s pawn weaknesses on the kingside give White an edge．
b） 4 ．．． U f 65 d 3 ！（this quiet move is the best way to exploit Black＇s prema－ ture queen sortie） $5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$（a necessary pawn move because 5．．．$\triangle$ ge7 6 ＠g5
宣e3！\＆b67c4＠d48 臽xd4 exd49e5畨g6 $10 b 4$ c5 11 bxc5 色xc5 12 ＠bd2！
 15 c 5 §d8 16 Dbxd4 and White＇s pieces totally dominate the board， Runnby－Ekström，Swedish Ch 1979.

A）

## 4．．．Dge7

Black wishes to develop quickly and hit back in the centre with ．．．d5． This line has similarities to 3．．Dge7 4 c3 g6（see Chapter 1，Line E）．

5 c3 \＆b6 6 d4 exd4 7 cxd4 d5 8
 11 ©bd2 0－0

Chasing the bishop with $11 \ldots$ h6 runs into 12 包4 曹b4 13 金xc6＋bxc6 14 Wc1！，when Black has serious problems．Ulybin－Gretarsson，Stock－ holm 1997 continued $14 \ldots$ hxg5 15




12 気4 Wh（D）

W


13 a4！？
13 全xc6 bxc6 14 Ëc1 also prom－ ises White an advantage，but the text－ move is more ambitious．

## 13．．． 5 d 8 ！


 gave White a clear plus in the game V．Ivanov－Rodin，Russia 1994．13．．． $2 d 8$
is a suggested improvement，but White can still keep an advantage．

14 をa3 f6 15 \＆d2 崰e7 16 a5 c6 17 axb6 cxb5 18 Еxa7 $0 c 619$ Еxa8 Exa8 20 公 3

Black doesn＇t have enough for the pawn deficit．

## B）

4．．．$\triangle 14$
Black hopes to ease his problems with a simplifying move．

5 亿xd4 exd4
5．．．exd4 transposes to the Bird＇s Defence（see Chapter 1）．
 （D）


9．．．ゆf6
There are two other tries for Black：
a） 9 ．．．＠c7 10 d 5 ！今d7 11 dxc 6 bxc6 12 Qc4 娄e7 13 f 4 was good for White in Ljubojević－Durão，Orense 1974.
b） $9 \ldots$ exd4 $10 \mathrm{cxd4}$ ©e7 11 d 5 ！ （this important move gives White an edge） $11 \ldots 0-012$ dxc 6 bxc6 13 \＆g5 f6


17 exd5 Qxd5 18 Qc4 Efd8（not 18．．．©xf4？losing to 19 要xd7 气xd7 20 ©xb6＋） 19 §g3 and Black＇s weak pawns gave White something to bite onto in Khalifman－Montecatine，Se－ ville 1993.

## 10 ©g5 h6

The usual move．After 10．．．0－0 I like 11 气c4 气c7 $12 \hat{\&} \mathrm{e} 2$ ！§e6 13 气e3， leaving Black to decide how to deal with the uncomfortable pin on the f6－ knight．
今c7 14 dxc6

Leaving Black with a vulnerable d6－pawn．

## 14．．．bxc6 15 数d3 0－0 16 Ěad1

The sharp 16 f4！？should also be considered，e．g．16．．．exf4 17 e5 eff
宣b6＋20 ©xb6 axb6 21 仓xc6 wins
 21 Qe5！and White is well on top． 16．．．Efd8（D）


The pressure on the d6－and c6－ pawns makes Black＇s life rather un－ comfortable．One possible plan for

White here is 17 De3！？，aiming to ob－ tain the d 5 －square as an outpost after playing b4－b5．Also possible is the more direct 17 d 2 ，aiming to treble on the d－file，although after $17 \ldots$ ．．．ab8 18 fd1 Black can try the complicated 18．．．d5！？．Then 19 De3 d4 20 cxd4 Eb4 21 dxe5！（21 溇a6？exd4 left Black well placed in G．Todorović－J．Petro－ nić，Yugoslavia 1992）21．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { U．xe5 } \\ & 22\end{aligned}$歯a6 当xh2＋23 messy position，although I still prefer White．

## C）

## 4．．． 46

The main variation．Black counter－ attacks by hitting White＇s e4－pawn．

5 c 3 （D）
There are other moves，including 5 Qxe5 and 5 c 3 ，but this is the most logical．White aims to build up the usual pawn－centre．


Now Black has two possible contin－ uations：
C1：5．．．仓xe4！？ 32
C2：5．．．0－0 32

## C1）

## 5．．． 0 xe4！？

This move has been surprisingly neglected．True，it looks very risky to grab the e4－pawn，but no convincing refutation has been found．

## 6 宸e2！？

Also enticing is $6 \mathrm{~d} 4!$ ？exd4（if
 0 bd2 is good for White） 7 cxd4 ee7 （7．．． e b 68 d 5 De79 9 g 5 gives White a healthy initiative，according to Ken－

 with a strong initiative for the pawn， Lanka－Malaniuk，Odessa 1988.

6．．．气 $\mathrm{xf} 2+$ ！
The most testing．Some sources just give 6 ．．．f5 7 d 3 ，which of course is clearly better for White．

7 官h1！
Things are not so clear after 7 Exf2 ©xf2 8 㲅xf2 f6（or 8．．．0－0！？）．

7．．．d5（D）


## 8 c4！？

I can find no practical examples of this move，which is a direct attempt at
a refutation of Black＇s play．Other moves include：
a） $8 \mathrm{~d} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3+9 \mathrm{hxg} 3$ \＆xg 3 with a messy position where the three pawns and White＇s airy king balance the ex－ tra piece．
b） 8 Exf2 $0 \mathrm{xf} 2+9$ Wxf2 We7 10 d4 f6 11 §xc6＋bxc6 12 b3e4 13 \＆a3 Wf7 with another unclear position， Boudre－McMahon，Massy 1993.

8．．．0－0
Black has a long list of alternatives， but there＇s no easy path：
a） $8 \ldots \mathrm{Cd} 49$ ©xd4 exd4 10 cxd 5曹xd5 11 色xc6＋溇xc6 12 d 3 f 513 0 d 2 wins for White．
b） $8 . . . \hat{\varrho} 49 \mathrm{cxd} 5$ 龧 xd 510 ＠c4
 gxf3 also wins．
c） $8 . . \hat{\&} \mathrm{~b} 6!? 9 \mathrm{cxd5}$ 副xd5 $10 \hat{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{c} 4$ Dd4 11 是xd5 ©g3＋ 12 hxg3 ©xe2 13 \＄． F 2 ，when White＇s extra piece outweighs the two pawns．
d） 8 ．．．f5 9 cxd5 糲xd5 10 © c 3 ！ 2xc3 11 dxc 3 是c5 12 合e5 and again Black is in trouble．

9 cxd5 $0 d 4$
9 ．．．当 $x d 5$ loses a piece to 10 鱼 4 ！．
With the text－move Black traps the white queen，but has to give up three minor pieces in return．

10 霛
Not 10 ©xd4 Wh4！
10．．．実f5 11 喽xe5 f6 12 Wf4 g5 13 ©xd4 gxf4 14 ©xf5 \＆b6 15 d4

White＇s three pieces outweigh the black queen．This whole line could definitely use a practical test．

## C2）

5．．．0－0

The popular choice．Black gets on with some necessary development．

6 d4 今b6
Planning to counter 7 dxe 5 with 7．．．仓xe4．Giving up the centre with 6．．．exd4 cannot be recommended．Af－
 10 』c3 $2 x \mathrm{xc} 311 \mathrm{bxc} 3$ White＇s better development gives him a distinct ad－ vantage．

## 7 © g 5

White＇s choice includes 7 Eal， 7 dxe5 and 7 exc6，but pinning the knight is considered the most danger－ ous continuation for Black．

7．．．h6 8 \＆ e 4 d （ $(D)$

W


## 9 a4！

White has to be a little careful．At first sight White can play 9 \＆xc6 bxc6 10 dxe 5 dxe 5 and then win a pawn by 11 Wxd8 \＃xd8 12 Qxe5，but after
 Black has a very active position． Better than 11 Wxd8 is the calm 11 Obd2．After 11．．．Ee8 12 Uc2 g5 13
 16 气b3 \＆

19 ©xc5 we5 20 b4 White held a slight edge in Almasi－Gulko，Pam－ plona 1996／7．If White wishes to avoid the complications of the main line， this looks like the way to play it．

9．．．a5（D）
9．．．a6？is a mistake due to 10 \＆xc6 bxc6 11 a5 \＆a7 12 dxe5 dxe5 13 將xd8
 Dxc6 and not only is the knight fork－ ing rook and bishop，but Black no lon－ ger has the ．．．©a6 resource discussed in the last note．


## 10 Eel exd4

 13 \＆g3 ©d7 14 むe3 was better for White in Spassky－Quinones，Amster－ dam IZ 1964．Black＇s main alternative is the complicated pawn sacrifice 10．．．2g4！？ 11 是xc6 bxc6 12 dxe5 dxe5 13 糟xd8 5 Faxd 14 Dxe5 g5 15 \＆g3 and now：
a） $15 \ldots \mathrm{H} 516 \triangleq \mathrm{xg} 4$（ 16 Qxc6 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{de} 8$
 20 cxd5 ${ }^{(1) x d 5}$ is less clear） $16 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xg} 4$ 17 h 3 h 418 exh4 gxh4 19 hxg 4 gh 7


Qc4 Ëgd8（23．．．h2？ 24 島e2 Egd8 25 Eh1 led to a winning position in Shmatkov－Mukhaev，Moscow 1995） 24 Dxb6 cxb6 25 \＄f2 with a clear ad－ vantage to White．
b） $15 \ldots$ ．．．xe4 $16 \triangleq x g 4$ f5 17 ®a3
 ©c4！是xf2＋21 官f1 是xe1 22 Ëxel

 White eventually won the ending in Anand－Torre，Manila IZ 1990.

11 宜xc6 bxc6 12 亿xd4 ed $^{2}$
$12 \ldots$ Eैe8 13 气d2 c5 14 乌4f3 \＆b7 15 Wc2 gave White an edge in Thorsteins－Spassky，Reykjavik 1985.

Black has the two bishops，but the weaknesses created by the ．．．g5 lunge give White real hopes of a kingside at－ tack．

## 15．．．h5 16 h3！

Stronger than 16 h 40 g 4 ！ 17 hxg5 ＊xg5 and 16 e5 dxe5 17 \＆xe5 0 g 4 ， both of which are fine for Black．

The text－move is a suggestion from Macieja．

16．．．h4 17 莤h2 g4


Macieja gives 17 ．．．＠xd4 18 cxd4 Ee6 19 e5 䐗e7 as equal，but after 20 W d 1 ！（ $N C O$ ）Black＇s weak kingside still gives him problems．

18 数4！gxh3 19 2f3！hxg2
19．．．』g4 $20 \operatorname{gxh} 3$ ©xh2 21 敞xh2 leaves the black king horribly ex－ posed．

With the text－move（19．．．hxg2），we are still following Macieja＇s analysis， which stops with 20 e5，claiming a strong attack for White．This does seem to be true，e．g．20．．．Qd5 21 断h6 ＠xd4 22 气xd4 c5 23 Ee4！，planning to meet 23 ．．．cxd4 with 24 Exh4．

## 4 The Berlin Defence



W


The Berlin Defence is one of Black's most solid and reliable ways of meeting the Ruy Lopez. Recently it has found its way into the repertoires of some of the world's leading young players, including Alexei Shirov and Vladimir Kramnik, while it has also been a long-time favourite of Britain's first grandmaster, Tony Miles. Being such a solid defence, there is the drawback that Black finds it difficult to create winning chances if White is content to play for a draw, but of course, this accusation could be levelled at most black defences.

## The Berlin Endgame

The main line of the Berlin Defence gives rise to an endgame after the


40-0 Qxe4 5 d 4 Dd6 6 \&xc6 dxc6 7 dxe5 ©f5 8 燔xd8+ 舁xd8. After White's most flexible move, 9 ch $(D)$, we reach the following position.


At first glance the pawn-structure seems to give White a persistent advantage. After all, White has a healthy 4-3 majority on the kingside, while Black should find it difficult to create a passed pawn from his own queenside majority, due to the doubled pawns. However, there are also some factors favouring Black. For example, White's advanced pawn on e5 presents Black with squares on f 5 and d 5 . The e5pawn could actually become quite weak if it's not well supported. Another plus for Black is that he possesses the bishop-pair.

In fact, White's best chance for an advantage lies in the slightly vulnerable
position of the black king，which has lost the right to castle and prevents the black rooks from connecting．White must play actively and attempt to ex－ ploit this temporary disharmony in the black position in order to create a concrete positional or tactical advan－ tage．

## The ．．．宜b4xc3 Exchange

This surprising exchange is one of Black＇s most potent weapons in the Berlin Endgame．At first sight，it ap－ pears a little strange just to give away the advantage of the bishop－pair，but following the trade，the presence of opposite－coloured bishops consider－ ably eases Black＇s defensive task． Light－square control on the kingside makes it very difficult for White to mobilize his pawn majority，while it＇s also possible that White＇s pawns can end up as targets．Witness the follow－ ing impressive display by Vishy Anand．

## B



Fritz 5 －Anand Frankfurt 1998

12．．．\＆b4 13 虫b2？！\＆xc3！ 14 \＆xc3 c5 15 芭d2 b6 16 Еad1 是e6 17 a4
 ゆ．${ }^{\text {be7 }}$

Black is already very comfortable，if not better，due to the weak e5－pawn．





 37 安h4 安f8 38 安al あe7 39 dg 3



The e5－pawn is lost and White＇s queenside pawns are extremely vul－ nerable．This opening was an inspired choice against the computer，which obviously didn＇t appreciate the posi－ tional subtleties of the endgame．Most humans would normally meet ．．．${ }^{\text {\＆} b 4}$ with $5 \mathrm{c} 3-\mathrm{e} 2$ or $2 \mathrm{c} 3-\mathrm{e} 4$ ，in either case retaining the knight．

## White Plays ©g5x官e6

Similarly，this exchange is one of White＇s weapons．


J. Polgar - Smyslov<br>Monaco 1994

Play continued 12 ve6! fxe6 13 De4 and we can see that the exchange has favoured White. Black no longer possesses the bishop-pair, and White can still advance his pawn majority on the kingside. Another point is that White's e5-pawn is less vulnerable, as Black no longer has the long-term option of ... $\ddagger$ e6 to attack it.

## The Main Ideas



Since Black will find it difficult to connect rooks, he often tries to activate them on their original squares. In such cases it's common for Black to play ...a5-a4 to activate his a8-rook. Sometimes Black may even play ...h5-h4 to give the h8-rook some scope. It could then go to h5, where it could attack the e5-pawn. Black normally develops his bishops on e6 and b4 and often leaves the e7-square free for a possible knight retreat.

White's main plan will be to try to activate his kingside pawn majority. Hence the need for moves such as h3, which supports a later g4. The f3knight will want to move so White can create a pawn roller with f 4 . Normally the bishop goes to b2, but on occasions it can also go to f 4 , perhaps dropping back to g 3 or h 2 , from where it can support White's pawn advance.

## The Theory of the Berlin Defence

$1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{e5} 2 \mathrm{D} 3 \mathrm{Dc} 33$ 金b5 $0640-0$ ©xe4

Other moves usually lead to positions discussed elsewhere, e.g.:
a) $4 \ldots$ \&e75 9 c 3 d 66 d 4 \& d 7 and we reach a position discussed in Chapter 1 , Line B.
b) $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 65 \mathrm{~d} 4 \geqslant \mathrm{~d} 760 \mathrm{c} 3$ and again we reach Chapter 1, Line B.
c) $4 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{e} 5$ transposes to Chapter 3 .

5 d4! (D)
This is White's strongest move. The other attempt at 'breaking the Berlin Wall' is with 5 Ee1, but most top
players believe that Black has no
定d3 0－0．


Black has two main defences here：
A：5．．．囱e7 38
B：5．．．2d6 40
Other tries are：
a）5．．．exd4？！（too risky） 6 送 1 f5 7 ©xd4 气xd4（7．．．e．c5 loses to 8 Ëxe4＋！
 $9 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{cxb5} 10$ fxe 4 Wb6 11 exf5＋ 12 宜e3 Wxd4 13 显xd4 d6 14 合 3定xf5 15 Exe3 安g8 16 §d5 and Black was under severe pressure in Rozen－ talis－Kaminski，Polish Cht（Krynica） 1997.
b） $5 \ldots$ ．．．a6 isn＇t a bad transpositional move，after which White should play 6食 a 4 ，reaching the Open Defence（see Chapter 7）．

A）

## 

The safest move．Other attempts al－ low White to achieve a very quick ini－ tiative：
a）6．．．f5 7 dxe5 0－0 8 Qbd2 d5 9
 White has a clear advantage．
b） $6 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ is a sterner defence，but White is still better after 7 亿xe5 $\% \mathrm{~d} 7$

 a clear advantage，Em．Lasker－Scheve， Berlin sim 1891） 9 \＃̈e1 企d7（ $9 \ldots . .0-0$ ？ 10 f 3 ！wins material） 10 \＆ f 4 c 6 （ $10 \ldots 0-0$ ！？ 11 d 2 ！$\{11 \mathrm{f} 3$ 昷g5 is not so clear） $11 \ldots \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 212$ Øxd7！巴e8 13
 16 眯5 is good for White） 11 d2
 lems completing development，as 12．．．㑒e6 loses to 13 ©xf7！全xf7 14全b4．

## 7 㑒xc6 bxc6

Recapturing with $7 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 6$ allows White to reach a menacing position af－
 White can try the enticing 10 e 6 ！？fxe6
 but in fact things are not so easy after
 16 当xh7 Eg7 17 畨h5 曹f6！．Now 18
 far too much play for the exchange， while even after the stronger 18 e5＋
 h3 e5 Black still has some compensa－ tion for the pawn．In view of all this， White should consider the more re－ strained 10 \＆c3 0－0 11 包4，which certainly keeps a nice spatial advan－ tage，while Black loses more time un－ ravelling from the pin on the d－file．

8 dxe5 0 b7
Why here rather than the more active f5－square？Well，after $8 \ldots .9 \mathrm{f} 5$

White should play aggressively with 9 We4！g6 10 Qd4 ©xd4 11 Wxd4． Now 11．．．0－0 12 会h6 Ee8 13 气c3 d5 14 exd6 金f6 15 娄a4 cxd6 16 粕xc6道f5 17 Еael led to a large advantage for White in Taimanov－Nikitin，USSR 1970．A more recent attempt to im－ prove on Black＇s play is with the inter－ esting move $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ．The point is that after 12 exd6 $0-0$ ！ 13 定h6 是f6 14

 ment advantage balances the two－ pawn deficit．With this in mind，White should prefer $12 \Omega h 6!$ ，preventing Black castling kingside．In the game M．Schlosser－Keitlinghaus，Münster 1992 White kept the advantage after
畨a6 c6 16 Ead1 幽c7 17 b3 峟b6 18
 21 ©xf7！

## 9 2c3 0－0

Black can start his knight ma－ noeuvre straight away with 9 ．．．Dc5 （threatening ．．．害a6），when White should answer $100 \mathrm{~d} 40-0$（but not 10．．．今a6？ 11 幽g4！金xf1 12 溇xg7 Ef8 13 dxf1，when Black has won the exchange but his position is a com－ plete mess） $11 \Xi \mathrm{~d} 1$（ 11 Eel is also possible；after $11 \ldots$ ．．．e6 we reach the note to White＇s 11th move）11．．．蒌e8
 the initiative，Vasiukov－Knežević，Le－ ningrad 1991.

## 10 Ee1 ©c5（D）

10．．．Ee8 is inferior．After 11 Wc4 2c5 120g5！食xg5 13 全xg5 曹xg5 14嶓xc5 Black will find it hard to break White＇s grip on the dark squares．


## 11 宜 3

White continues to develop classi－ cally，centralizing his pieces．Another good choice here is 11 Qd 4 e6 12 ©e3 and now：
a） $12 \ldots$ ．．． xd 413 £xd4 c5 14 狊e3

当xe4 19 是xc5 嶀xe2 20 Exe2 ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{d} 8$ 21 Ee7 with a very good ending for White） 17 ©xd6 cxd6 18 Ead1 数6 19 f 3 Еae8 20 粕d2 ${ }^{\text {En }} 621$ 金f2 and Black＇s weak d6－pawn promises a small edge for White，Tseshkovsky－ Ivkov，Bled／Portorož 1979.
b） $12 \ldots \mathrm{~m}$ b 813 bb3！a6 14 f 4 f 515 exf6 区xf6 16 ©e4 Е゙f7 17 区f1 d6 18 f5 ©f8 190 d 4 and White＇s pieces are ready for a kingside assault，Nier－ mann－Fecke，Germany 1994.

## 11．．． 2 e6 12 Ead1 d5

Similar play arises after 12 ．．．Ebb8 13 b3 全b4 14 塭d2 d5 15 exd6 cxd6 16 De4！，when White maintains a grip on the centre．Jansa－Kneževic， Namestovo 1987 continued 16．．．exd2 17 崰xd2 d5 18 类c3！䊦b6？！（Black should prefer $18 \ldots$ ．．． C c 7 ，allowing White
a bind on the dark squares after 19
 alternative 20．．．Ee8？loses brilliantly
 Efc8 22 气d $6!$ Ed8 $230 x f 7$ and White won．

## 13 exd6 cxd6 14 d4 $0 x \mathrm{xd} 4$

Following 14．．．\＆d7 15 Øf5 d5 16
 Efe8 19 b3 White keeps a slight ad－ vantage，Karpov－Korchnoi，Merano Wch（2） 1981.
定f8 18 皿c5！Exe1＋19 Exe1（D）


The general assessment is that White＇s dark－square control gives him an edge．The position has simplified somewhat，but there are still several tactics lurking in the background．On first inspection it looks like 19．．．${ }^{2}$ a5 wins a piece，but after 20 b 4 ！ Wx x 421 Ee8 前7 22 嵝e2！White wins the piece back due to back－rank threats， e．g． 22 ．．．䒼xa2 23 h3 h6 24 Exa8
糟xf7 糟al +28 h2 and the a－pawn


21 wh is also good for White，while Tal－Portisch，Brussels World Cup 1988 continued 19．．．害g4！？ 20 歯xg4


 Exe7 Eb8 28 b3 keeps White＇s lasting advantage into a rook and pawn end－ ing！

## B）

## 5．．．巳d6 6 色xc6

Once more White has alternatives such as 6 dxe 5 and 6 是g5，but the main line promises most chance of an advantage．

6．．．dxc6
6．．．bxc6 makes no sense here．After 7 dxe5 0 b 78 \＆c3 0 c 59 dd4 White is already in a good position．Sion Castro－Campora，Leon 1997 contin－ ued 9．．．©e6 10 包xe6 fxe6 11 Wh5＋

 was in big trouble．

7 dxe5（ $D$ ）


Now Black has two possibilities：

## B1：7．．．$巳 \mathrm{e} 4$ ！？ <br> 41

B2：7．．．$\triangle 5542$
B1）

## 7．．．De4！？

Very rarely seen，but although this is risky，there is no obvious refutation．

## 8 㟶e2

Attacking the knight is the best way．Going into the endgame with 8 Uxd8＋${ }^{2} \mathrm{xd} 8$ is no longer so appeal－ ing for White．The knight is better placed on e4 as it doesn＇t block the light－squared bishop．

## 8．．．ef5

More of a test than $8 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 5$ ，which allows White to obtain an easy advan－
里e3．

## 9 Ёd1 当c8

Given the strength of White＇s 11th move in the main line，Black could well take a further look at 9 ．．．管e7．It looks rather ugly to block in the f8－ bishop，but White must react quickly． If Black were able to complete his de－ velopment he would have no problems at all．In Jansa－Sahu，Wrexham 1997， White played energetically with 10
 and after $12 \ldots$ ．． 5 ？ 13 bxc3 䊦xa3 14 ©d4 \＆c8 15 e6！he was able to crash through the black defences．If $12 \ldots$ ．．g6 White should grab the pawn with 13宜xa7，as $13 \ldots \mathrm{c} 614 \mathrm{~d} 4$ looks good． Perhaps Black should be content with 12．．．a6！？．

## 10 ©d4 © $\mathbf{~ C} 511$ b4！（ $D$ ）

A significant move，which takes away the c 5 －square from Black．This becomes important when White tries
to trap the knight．A quieter way to play would be 11 ＠e3，although after $11 \ldots 0-012 \mathrm{f} 3$ 气xd4 13 全xd4 0 g 514 Øc3 Ele8 15 घd2 De6 Black had equalized in Cu ．Hansen－Westerinen， Nordic Ch（Reykjavik） 1997.


## 11．．．寔b6

Capturing with $11 \ldots$ 宣xb4 runs into 12 e6！，when Black is forced to give up material，e．g．12．．．fxe6 130 xf5 exf5 14 f3．Sulskis－Westerinen，Gaus－ dal 1995 went 12 ．．．宣g6 13 exf7＋ 家f8


 Q a 3 and White＇s material advantage paid off in the end．

After the text－move，the knight has no squares to go to from e4，but can White actually win it？It＇s not as easy as it looks！

12 c 4
12 f 3 allows Black to escape after
 15 全xd4 0－0－0 160 c 3 De6 with an equal position，Wahls－Ekström，Dres－ den Z 1998．However， 12 \＆e3！？looks
worth a try，e．g．12．．．㑒xd4（12．．．a5 13

Exe4 是xe4 17 fxe4 cxb4 18 鄨xb5＋ c6 19 we5 looks very good for White， but perhaps the calm 12．．．h6，prepar－ ing ．．． 5 g 5 ，is Black＇s best idea） 13 Exd4 c5（once more，13．．．h6 should be considered） 14 E゙d1！cxb4 15 峭 $\mathrm{b} 5+\mathrm{c} 6$ 16 骠xb4 h6 17 分d2 0 xd 218 Exd2 and Black＇s king is stuck in the centre．

12．．．c5 13 公xf5 菅xf5 14 b5
类xe4＋ 98 is good for Black．
 17 \＆b2 全xb2 18 㤟xb2 0－0 19 －d5

We are following Rõtšagov－Sam－ malvuo，Finnish Cht 1996／7．White＇s better pawn－structure gives him a small edge．

B2）

Also possible are moves such as 9 b3， 9 h 3 and 9 d $\mathrm{d} 1+$ ，but the text－move is considered to be the most flexible．


Black now has a number of devel－ opment plans：

B21：9．．． De $^{7} 42$
B22：9．．．．e6 43
B23：9．．．h6 44
B24：9．．． $\mathrm{E}^{2} 84$
Yet another idea is $9 \ldots$ a5！？，imme－ diately trying to gain space on the queenside．This can often transpose to other lines．Against such a non－ developing move it makes sense to play as actively as possible，for exam－

 16 De4 Dg6 17 f 4 h 518 Dg5 宽c5 19真e3 まe8 20 Eael hxg4 21 e6！and White＇s initiative told in Blehm－Kar－ patchev，Cappelle la Grande 1998.

## B21）

9．．．$勹 \mathrm{e} 7$
A relatively new plan for Black． The knight is ready to hop to 66 ，where it pressures the e5－pawn．

## 10 © 44 ！？

A logical move，which paves the way for the advance of the f－pawn．Af－ ter the slower 10 h 3 Black can play 10．．．©e8，transposing to Line B24． Ivanchuk－Shirov，Monaco Amber blindfold 1998 went instead 10．．．Dg6

最f4 Df7 17 \＆xc7 and White was slightly better due to the weakness on e6．

##  13 Eael ©h4 14 亿e4

After 14 e6，Shirov－Z．Almasi，Til－ burg 1996 continued 14 ．．．fxe6？ 15



Exe8 8xe8 21 did2 and White，with a superior pawn majority，went on to win the endgame．In his notes to the game，however，Shirov pointed out that Black can instead play 14．．．c5！ 15
 Q c 4 ，when White has nothing．

The text－move is Shirov＇s sug－ gested improvement．
 Qxc2（D）


Shirov comments that after 17 If2 \＆ $\mathbf{\text { L }}$ x 318 Exe3 White has good play for the pawn．Perhaps it＇s even stron－ ger to capture on b6 first．Following

 White has a strong initiative．Further tests are needed in this line．

## B22）

9．．．宜e6
Sensible development，but this al－ lows White to harass the bishop．

## 10 \＃d $1+$ ！

Stronger than the immediate 10 0 5，which can be answered by the
unorthodox but effective 10．．． Black is ready to recapture on e6 with the king，where it keeps an eye on the e5－pawn，and there is no way to ex－ ploit the king＇s position on e 7 ．

10．．．ゅe8（ $D$ ）
$10 \ldots$ ．． 8 c 8 is also answered by 11 $\theta g 5$ ．Then：
a） $11 \ldots$ 昷c4 12 b3 狊b4 13 昷b2

 ©xe6 fxe6 20 \＆g5 and White＇s domi－ nation of the d－file guarantees him a large advantage，Dvoirys－Aleksan－ drov，Moscow 1996.
b） $11 \ldots$ 皿c5 120 ce 4 苃b6 13 ©xe6 fxe6 14 貔f1
 again Black is very tied up，Gdanski－ Gretarsson，Stockholm 1997.


## 

11．．．$\hat{L}^{c} 4$ gives White too many open lines after 12 b3 昷b4 13 bxc4！显xc3 14 Ebl b6 $15 \mathrm{~g} 4 \varrho \mathrm{~h} 416 \mathrm{f} 4$ ．

Better than 13．．． gf7？！ 14 g 4 乌h4 15 Еd7！©f3＋16』g2 气xe5 17 Еxc7
and the white rook causes Black prob－ lems on the seventh rank，Benjamin－ Sherzer，New York 1994.

14 Exd8＋${ }^{6} \times \mathrm{xd}$（ $D$ ）


We are following J．Polgar－Smys－ lov，Monaco 1994．White has a small but unmistakable edge．Perhaps the most active way to continue would be
 c5 18 c 3 ．

## B23）

## 9．．．h6

Preparing ．．．\＆e6，without having to worry about $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ ．

10 h 3
White aims for g4．
10．．．de8
10．．．a5 11 a4！？定e6 12 g 4 Qe7 13与d4 g5 14 f 4 gxf 415 分xe6＋fxe6 16昷xf4 led to a small advantage for White in Djurhuus－Dumitrache，Haifa Echt 1989，while 10．．．e6 11 g 4 De7

 pleasant for White，Campora－Rubin－ etti，Argentine Ch 1989.

## 11 仓． $\mathbf{f 4 !}$ ？

This bishop can drop back on the kingside and help to organize a pawn assault．


 a5 20 Ed3（D）


Wahls－Smejkal，Bundesliga 1997／8． White has eliminated one of the black bishops and his superior pawn－structure guarantees an edge．

## B24）

## 9．．．de8

The most popular move．Black moves his king to a less vulnerable square，and waits to see White＇s inten－ tions before committing himself．Here we will discuss two options for White：
B241： 10 b3 44
B242： $10 \mathrm{h3} 45$
B241）

The other major move here is 12 ©e4．After 12．．．a4 13 a3 \＆e7 14 b4

Qe6 15 Efe1，Onishchuk－Miles，Biel 1996 continued 15 ．．．h6？！ 16 h3 ت̈d8 17 Ead1 घ̈d7 18 Еxd7

守f1 Ef8 26 割e2 and White went on to win．In a later game Miles improved on his play with $15 \ldots$ ．．． E 8 ！ 16 Ead 1 b6 17 h 3 h 5 ！（the point－Black hasn＇t wasted a move with ．．．h6） 18 Exd8＋
 Eexg5 5 e8 and the powerful bishop on d 5 meant that Black was fine in Sedina－Miles，Toscolano 1996.


12．．．a4 13 c4
Playing to restrict the light－squared bishop，which cannot find a home on d5．

## 13．．．h5

13．．．㑒c5 14 乌d2 h5 15 乌e4 全e7 16 Øf4 b6 17 Eff1 \＆e6 18 \＆ c 3 gave White a small plus in Jansa－Plachetka， Ostrava 1992.
 Qe6 17 h 3 亿h4

After the game Miles suggested 17．．．axb3 18 axb3 Exal 19 Exal 安d7
as an improvement for Black，but fol－
 still has a minute plus．



Now Emms－Miles，British League （4NCL）1997／8 continued $19 \triangleq$ xe6 fxe6 20 g 3 免e7 21 g 2 df7 and was soon drawn．A better try for White would be 19 dd5！？，e．g．19．．．金d8 20 f 4 g 621 f 2 and White still enjoys any advantage that＇s going．

## B242）

## 10 h 3 a 5

Other moves include：
a） $10 \ldots$ e．e6 11 g 4 De7 12 gg 5


 h5（de Firmian－Miles，Biel 1990）and now 22 De4 最 723 gis keeps the advantage．
b）10．． 0 e 7 ！？（this possibility is becoming more popular） 11 Elel $\Delta \mathrm{g} 6$
 14 Dd4 \＆${ }^{\text {\＆}}$ xe4 15 Exe4 c5 16 a 3 cxd 4

a6 led to a draw in Topalov－Kramnik， Wijk aan Zee 1999） 12 §e4 h6（the al－ ternative 12．．．通e7 13 分d6＋！cxd6 14 exd6 f6 15 dxe7 Qxe7 16 b3 官f7 17 \＆a3 Eّe8 18 乌d2 is slightly awkward for Black） 13 §d4 c5 14 Db5 ©d7 15 f 4 我c6！ 16 a 4 \＆e7 with an unclear po－ sition，Brodsky－Van den Doel，Wijk aan Zee 1999.

11 全f4！？色e6
11．．．皿b4 12 De4 全e6 13 c 3 皿f8 14 Efe1 h5 15 Qeg5 \＆d5 16 e6！f6 17 Qf7 Ëh 18 全xc7 was good for White in Lutz－Korchnoi，Dresden Z 1998，as 18．．．exe6 fails to 19 d8！， but Black managed to equalize in Bologan－Aleksandrov，Kishinev 1998 after 11．．．a4！？ 12 a3 \＆e7 13 Ead1
 h4．

## 12 g 4 包 713 各 5 包 5

The most prudent course of action． Maintaining the bishop with 13．．．ect can run into a swift attack down the e－



E． 3 \＆xc3 20 bxc 3 \＆ d 521 Ёd1 b5 22
 $\pm b 7$ and Black was forced to resign in Luther－Mainka，Bad Zwesten 1999.

14 它xe6 fxe6 15 气d2 \＆b4 16
 19 官g2c5（D）


Galkin－Aleksandrov，Russia Cup （Krasnodar）1997．This endgame is reasonably level，although the pawn majorities mean that there is still plenty to fight for．

## 5 The Deferred Steinitz and Other Fourth Move Alternatives

1 e 4 e 52 乌f3 公c6 3 §b5 a6 4 §a4 （D）


In this chapter we will look at Black＇s fourth move alternatives to 4．．．Df6，including the Delayed Schlie－ mann（4．．．f5），the Norwegian Varia－ tion（4．．．b5 5 \＆b3 ©a5）and，most importantly，the Steinitz Defence De－ ferred（4．．．d6）．

The last of these options is basi－ cally an improved version of the Steinitz Defence．One of the reasons for this is that Black is ready at any moment to escape the pin on the a4－e8 diagonal with a timely ．．．b5．This can be seen to the full effect if White tries
to follow the same recipe as against the Steinitz Defence．After 5 d 4 b5！ 6 \＆b3 $0 x \mathrm{x} 47$ 五xd4 exd4 the natural 8 Wxd？is a mistake which falls into what＇s known as the＇Noah＇s Ark Trap＇．After 8．．．c5！White cannot avoid a loss of material，e．g． 9 嵝d5 \＆e6 10
 Lopez bishop is cruelly trapped！


White has a few different choices against the Steinitz Deferred，includ－ ing $50-0,5 \mathrm{c} 4$ and 5 \＆ $\mathrm{ex} 6+$ ，but I＇m recommending the most popular move， 5 c 3 ，which immediately goes about setting up a pawn－centre with d4．Black can then choose to play
adventurously with the risky 5 ．．．f5， which leads to sharp play reminiscent of the Schliemann Defence．It＇s more usual，however，for Black to support the e5－pawn，either with ．．．Qge7－g6， or ．．．g6 and ．．．eg7．Play in these lines is much slower and of a positional na－ ture．Black＇s position is usually very solid，if slightly cramped．

## White Plays d4－d5

In many variations of the Steinitz De－ ferred，White has the option of main－ taining the tension in the centre or pushing with $\mathrm{d} 4-\mathrm{d} 5$ ，reaching this type of closed position．


The strategy then become very sim－ ilar to lines of the King＇s Indian De－ fence．White may seek to attack on the queenside by trying to enforce the c4－ c5 advance，while Black will play in a similar way on the other side with ．．．f5． One major difference from the King＇s Indian is that the light－squared bishops are normally exchanged quite early af－ ter d4－d5．This difference usually fa－ vours White，as the pawn－structure
dictates that White has swapped off his traditionally＇bad＇bishop，while Black no longer has his＇good＇bishop．

## The Theory of Black＇s 4th Move Alternatives

## 

We will now consider three main continuations：
A：4．．．f549
B：4．．．b5 49
C：4．．．d6
50

Other moves include：
a） $4 . . \mathrm{g} 65 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 6 Qxd4（White can also play as against 3 ．．．$g 6$ with 6
包xd4）6．．．今g7 7 Qxc6 bxc6 $80-0$ De79 f4 f5 and here Tal recommends 10 e5 0－0 11 数 2 with advantage．
b） $4 . . \triangleq \mathrm{ge} 75 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~b} 5$（for $5 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 66 \mathrm{~d} 4$皿d7 see Line C） 6 定b3 d5 7 d4！exd4

 $0-014$ 包4 Wf4 15 气c5 粕d6 16 Qxe6 fxe6 17 Dg5！and White has a dangerous attack，Kurajica－Klarić， Yugoslavia 1978.
c） $4 \ldots$ ec5 isn＇t bad．After 5 c 3 Qf6 6 d 4 （it should also be mentioned that $60-0$ transposes to Chapter 6） 6．．．\＆b6 7 0－00－0 8 \＆g5 h6 9 §h4 d6 we reach a position similar to one dis－ cussed in Chapter 3，Line C，except for the insertion of ．．．a6 and ©a4．After 10 Qxc6 bxc6 11 dxe5 dxe5 White can choose between the relatively quiet 12
 14 \＆g3 ©xe4 15 它xc6，when Black
no longer has $15 \ldots$ ．．．a6，but even so af－ ter 15 ．．．Ee8 there is compensation for the pawn．

## A）

## 4．．．f5

This delayed Schliemann has never really caught on． 4 d 4 against the nor－ mal Schliemann is not effective，as af－ ter $4 . . . f x e 450$ xe5 $0 x=56$ dxe5 c6 Black attacks the bishop and threatens ．．．${ }^{\text {Whas }} 5+$ ，picking up the e5－pawn．Here， however，White＇s bishop is less ex－ posed on a4 so．．．

## 5 d 4 ！exd4

Now 5．．．fxe4 6 Qxe5 is quite fa－ vourable to White．

6 e5！昷b4＋
6．．．욛5 7 0－0 Qge7 8 c 3 dxc 39公xc3 d5 10 \＆g5！leaves Black strug－ gling to complete his development．

 led to a winning position in Morten－ sen－Lilja，Copenhagen 1998，while

 with an extremely grim position in W．Watson－Nunn，London 1984.

7 c3！dxc3 8 气xc3 ©ge7 9 0－0是xc3

Alternatives do not ease Black＇s problems：
a） $9 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 510$ Øe2 宣e6 11 थ 4 数d7 12公g5 \＆g8 13 㟶 $\mathrm{h} 5+\mathrm{g} 614$ 寝h6 and White simply threatens 曹g7 followed by 学xh8！．
b）9．．．0－0 10 包2！d6 11 a 3 \＆c5 12 b4 企b6 13 金b3＋家h8 14 exd6！
 Qt4！，followed by $Q g 5$ ．

10 bxc3 0－0 11 皿b3＋寰h8 12 Ee1！
（D）


Lobron－Bialas，Bundesliga 1986／7． A pawn is a small price to pay for such a position．In particular，White＇s bish－ ops are ready to cause havoc on the open board．

## B）

## 4．．．b5 5 全b3 気 5

This is the so－called Norwegian Variation，Black＇s most direct attempt to hunt down the Lopez bishop．Other moves：
a） 5 ．．．ec5 6 c 3 ！and now：
a1） $6 \ldots$ ．．．f6 7 d 4 exd 4 （7．．．气b6 8 dxe5 wins for White after 8．．． 2 g 49
 \＆ $64+9$ \＆ d 2 ＠xd2 +10 乌bxd2 d5 11 e5 and White＇s centre gives him a clear advantage．This position can be compared to one arising from the Giuoco Piano after 1 e 4 e5 2 Df 3 Qc6 3 皿c4 乌c5 4 c 3 Qf65 d4 exd4 6 cxd 4
 when Black succeeds in breaking up the white centre．Here the position of
the white bishop（b3 instead of c4） makes all the difference．
a2） $6 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 67 \mathrm{~d} 4$ exd4 $8 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \AA \mathrm{e} 69$ h3！，preventing ．．．民g4 and securing the centre．
b） $5 \ldots . \mathrm{f} 6$ is not a bad trans－ positional move． 6 Og5 looks tempt－ ing，but 6．．．d5！ 7 exd5 0 d 4 is fine for Black．Therefore White should simply play $60-0$ ，transposing to Chapter 6.
$60-0 \mathrm{~d} 6$
6．．． $0 \times \mathrm{xb} 37 \mathrm{axb} 3 \mathrm{~d} 68 \mathrm{~d} 4$ transposes to the main line of this section．

## 7 d 4 Dxb 3

7．．．f68 ©c3 थxb3 9 axb3 reaches the same position．Black＇s only other try is $7 \ldots$ exd4．After 8 0xd4 ${ }^{\text {eb }} 7$ （8．．．ゆe79 气g5！f6 10 气e3 ©xb3 11 axb3 leaves White with the advantage； the e6－square is quite vulnerable） 9 \＆d2！（White has to play carefully； 9 Dc3？？loses a piece to $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 510$ Øf5 c4）and now：
a） $9 \ldots . . c 510$ \＆ 55 苗xd5 11 exd5 cxd4 12 We $1+$ ！（the point of 9 （d2）
 and White has regained his piece， leaving Black with a wrecked pawn－ structure．
b） $9 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xb} 3$ is better，but after 10

 c6 16 e2 0－0 17 dd4 White still has a small plus，Smagin－Kupreichik， USSR Ch 1985.

After 10．．．$\triangle$ e 711 dxe5！dxe5（or 11．．．fxe5 12 f 4 ！） 12 断f3 嵝d7 13 党d
 （Anand－Agdestein，Baguio City jr Wch 1987），Anand suggests 16 f5！
as a way of maintaining the advan－ tage．

## 11 ©d5 显7

11．．．ゆe7 12 断h5＋forces the king
 hxg6 14 ©xf6＋官f7 15 断xh8．After 11．．．g6 I like $12 \mathrm{f4}$ ！，opening up the position．Then 12．．．exf4 13 ＠xf4 g5？


12 c4c6 13 0 c3！
Anand＇s suggested improvement over 13 §e3，which allowed Black to equalize after 13 ．．．De7 14 d5 cxd5 15 cxd5 g6 in Anand－Timman，Linares 1993.

13．．．b4 14 © 2 （D）

B


White＇s space advantage outweighs the latent power of the bishop－pair．

## C）

4．．．d6 5 c3
Now Black can try：
C1：5．．．f5 51
C2：5．．．${ }^{\text {ed7 }} 52$
The former is ambitious，while the latter is solid．Other continuations are
less important，but should be men－ tioned：

 $\triangle$ bd2 is better for White，who will continue with E e1，$\triangle \mathrm{f} 1$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 3$ ，ha－ rassing the bishop．
b） 5 ．．．g 46 h 3 英 h 57 d 4 b 58 \＆c2
 reaches line＇$a$＇．
c） $5 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$ is a reasonable move．Af－ ter 6 d 4 造d7 we have transposed to Line C22．

## C1）

5．．．f5（D）


## 6 exf5 是xf5 7 0－0

The key move in Black＇s plans． Black must stop White playing an early d4，since otherwise White＇s quicker development and Black＇s weaknesses would soon tell．White must now spend some time extracting this an－ noying bishop．

## 8 Еel＠e7

It＇s imperative to block the e－file． No one plays $8 \ldots$. ff here，and I think
it must be because of the unpleasant 9 ©d4！㟶d7 10 部3，when Black＇s po－ sition is on the point of collapse．

9 合c2！
The most sensible approach，and the one favoured by theory．The bishop is eliminated and White can complete his development．The more ambitious 9 Еe3 leads to very unclear conse－ quences after 9．．．e4 10 De1 \＆g5 11

 has to be very careful，e．g． 15 畨f1？ Dg4 16 曹f4
 ams－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1991.

## 9．．．exc2 10 当xc2 ©f6 $11 \mathrm{~d} 40-0$

Other moves include：
a）11．．．exd4 $12 \mathrm{cxd} 40-013 \quad \mathrm{ec} 3$

 planning 044 ，leaves White with an unquestionable advantage．
b） $11 \ldots \mathrm{e} 412 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{~d} 513 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~h} 614$ ゆh3 0－0（14．．．exf3？ 15 㟶g6＋is very
 （16．．．金d6 17 是xh6！gxh6 18 畒g6＋
 Еe6 Еae8 22 Еae1 Еххе 23 Ехе6 Ёg8
雄h4＋曹h7 27 E゙h6 1－0 A．Sokolov－ Anić，French Cht 1994） 17 舶g6！装g4

 initiative persists，Leko－Yusupov，Vi－ enna 1996.

## $12 \mathrm{d5}$ ！e4

The best move． $12 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xd5}$ fails to 13 斯3，while 12．．0b8？ 13 Qg5憎c8 14 c 4 is obviously bad for Black．


Black＇s idea was 15 ©xf8？曹g4！ with dangerous counterplay，e．g． 16 ゆe6？©f3＋17 崽fl 曹xg2＋！．

## 15．．．e3

Anand gives $15 \ldots$ ．．．d3 16 Exe4！
 19 Exc 1 ，when White is a clear pawn up，while 15 ．．．${ }^{\text {efc }} 816$ Qxe4 $0 x d 517$ $04 g 5$ 会xg5 18 －xg5 is slightly better for White，according to Yusupov．



Grabbing material with 19 Wh8＋崽f 720 崰xa8 once again grants Black serious play after 20 ．．．$勹 x g 2$ ！．

19．．．Ee8（ $D$ ）


In Anand－Yusupov，Wijk aan Zee FIDE Ct（5）1994，White played 20 e 4 and after 20．．．d5？ 21 Qb3！Black was already facing big problems with his airy king．However，20．．．是f6！is a tougher nut to crack，e．g． 21 Wh8＋
道d2 炭a4 and Black has consolidated and is ready to pluck off White＇s weak e4－pawn．In the later game Almasi－ Winants，Wijk aan Zee 1995，White
improved with 20 e4！and following
蜾d2 8 c 4 runs into the intermezzo 23



## C2）

5．．．ed76 d4（D）


Here Black can play：
C21：6．．．こge7 52
C22：6．．．g6 53
6．．．皿e7 is inferior．After $70-0$ \＆ff 8 d 5 亿ce7 9 c 4 Qg6 10 亿c3 White has a space advantage and Black＇s pieces are tangled up on the kingside， Ivkov－Kolarov，Moscow OL 1956.

## C21）

6．．．〇ge7 7 \＆ 3
This move is more flexible than 7 $0-0$ ，although White can still count on an edge after $7 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 68 \mathrm{~d} 5$ ©b89 c4
楮c2 \＆h4 13 气xh4是xh4 14 b4 合g5
 Short，Amsterdam 1991.

## 7．．．Qg6

In Anand－Yusupov，Wijk aan Zee FIDE Ct（3）1994，Black tried 7．．．h6 but failed to equalize after 8 bd2 g5 （ $8 . . . \varrho g 6!?$ may be worth a look） 9 dxe5 dxe5 10 h 4 g 411 乌h2 h5 12

 the endgame Black＇s pawn moves on the kingside will leave serious weak－ nesses．

## 8 h4！

This is a very useful restricting move．The white pawns aim to restrain the black pieces．

8．．．h5
Other moves for Black include：
a）8．．．\＆e79g3！h6 10 d 5 乌b8 11
 $14 \triangleq 1 \mathrm{~h} 2$ \＆d7 15 乌d2 h5 16 a 4 and White has achieved a healthy space advantage，while Black has no imme－ diate pawn－break，Milos－Magomedov， Moscow OL 1994.
b） $8 . . . \mathrm{£g} 49$ \＆xc6＋bxc6 10 Dbd2 \＆e7 11 崰a4！，attacking the vulnera－ ble c6－pawn．Stefansson－Gretarsson， lcelandic Ch 1996 continued 11．．．exd4 12 cxd4 \＆xh4 13 ©xh4 Dxh4 and $^{2}$ now White played the spectacular 14




 Qxc4 27 畨a7t，when the extra pawns proved too strong for the bishop．

9 g 3
Dolmatov also proposes 9 ©g 5 \＆e7 10 \＆b3 as a simple way to achieve the advantage．

## 9．．．\＆e7 10 d5 Qb8 11 \＆xd7＋ 2xd7

Reaching a typical blocked position where the exchange of light－squared bishops has favoured White．Another factor in White＇s favour is that the knight on g6 is out of play．

12 ©fd2 ©f6 $13 \mathrm{f3} 0-014 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c5}$
Preventing the standard attacking scheme of $\sum \mathrm{c} 3, \mathrm{~b} 4, \sum \mathrm{~b} 3$ and c 5 ．


B


Topalov－Yusupov，Novgorod 1995. White has more space and can play on both sides of the board．

## C22）

6．．．g6 7 0－0 I g 7 （ $D$ ） 8 E゙e1
This is by no means the only move：
a） 8 d 50 mce 79 宣xd7＋娄xd710c4 h6 11 ©c3 f5 12 exf5 gxf5（12．．． $0 x f 5$
 $0-016$ e4 and the knight perches very nicely on e4，Short－Spassky， London 1986） 13 Dh4 \＆f6！（earlier only 13 ．．．$ゆ f 6$ had been played，but 14 f4 e4 15 \＆e3 0－0 16 h3 c5 17 dxc6

bxc6 18 潧e2 leads to a pleasant posi－ tion for White，Fischer－Filip，Curaçao Ct 1962） 14 f 4 e4 15 \＆e3 是xh4 16
 \＆xf7 and Black has equalized，Ye Jiangchuan－Azmaiparashvili，Erevan OL 1996.
b） 8 §e3！？©f6（or 8．．．⿹ge7 9 d 5 ©b8 10 c 4 0－0 11 ＠c3 with a slight advantage for White） $9 \triangleq \mathrm{bd} 20-010$ dxe5 ©xe5 11 合e5 dxe5 12 f3 \＆xa4
語b5 16 ©c4 $\sum \mathrm{e} 817$ Eadı with a faint edge to White，Topalov－Azmai－ parashvili，Madrid 1996.

8．．．$ص$ ge7
After 8．．．صf6 White could continue 9 d 5 or try 9 §xc6！？\＆xc6 10 dxe 5 Qxe4 11 exd6，when Black should sacrifice a pawn with $11 \ldots 0-012 \mathrm{dxc} 7$宸xc7，as 11．．．塜xd6 12 暗xd6 cxd6 13 ©fd2！0－0 14 Exe4 clearly favours White．

## $9 \mathrm{d5}$

9 \＆e3 is an important alternative． Then after 9．．．0－0 $10 \varrho_{\mathrm{bd} 2}$ 曹e8 11 \＆b3 b6 12 dxe5 dxe5 13 年c4 部 814齿c1 \＆g4 15 乌g5， $15 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 616 \mathrm{~h} 3$ ！气d7 17 Df 3 th 78 a 4 led to a small ad－ vantage for White in Karpov－Spassky， Bugojno 1986，but 15．．．Ed8 16 h3 Qc8，as in Boudy－Smagin，Skopje 1987，looks to be an improvement for Black．

9．．．$\circlearrowright \mathbf{a 5 ! ? ~}$
9．．．ゆb8 10 ＠xd7＋©xd7 11 \＆e3 h6 12 Dfd2 0－0 13 c 4 f 514 f 3 was slightly better for White in J．Polgar－ Spassky，Budapest（4） 1993.

10 余xd7＋曹xd7 11 包bd2 b5 12 b4 ©b7 13 a4 0－0 14 c4 c5！ 15 dxc6



Zso．Polgar－Kamsky，New Delhi 1990．Now White can keep the advan－ tage with 16 \＆a3．

## 6 Møller and Arkhangelsk Variations

##  ©f650-0 (D)



The Arkhangelsk Variation (5...b5 6 金b3 \&b7) and the Maller Variation ( $5 \ldots$...c5 or $5 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 56$ \& b 3 \& c 5 ) represent ambitious plans of development by Black. In particular, the variation 5...b5 6 \&b3 \&c5 has in the last few years become increasingly popular at the highest levels, so much so that it's even threatening to become Black's main reply to the Lopez. The closely related Arkhangelsk went through a similar vogue, although on a slightly smaller scale, in the early 1990s. Both variations can lead to very sharp play. Against these lines, I'm recommending that White should play c3 and d4, but care is needed with move-orders.

White Plays d4: Black Reacts with ...\&b6


In this theoretical position, if White plays 8 d 4 Black reacts with 8 ... $\stackrel{\text { b }}{ } 6$ !, maintaining the pawn on e5 and keeping the pressure on d 4 . If Black were forced to play 8 ...exd4, relinquishing the centre, then his whole strategy would have been at fault. 8... ${ }^{\text {\&b }} 6$ works through tactical means, as can be seen in the theory section.

## White Attacks with a4

With Black's bishop on c5 and knight on c6 (see diagram overleaf), there is no real opportunity for Black to link his pawn-chain with ...c5. This can in fact leave the b5-pawn rather isolated and vulnerable to attack. One of White's

weapons in these variations is to at－ tack the pawn with an early a4．This assault can be sustained by moves such as 0 a 3 and G e 2 ．

White Protects d4 with 气e3


In some variations White will try to negate the pressure from the b6－bishop by playing 这e3．This protects the d 4 － pawn and thus allows White to con－ tinue to develop smoothly with 气bd2． Often White will play h3，in order to prevent ．．．Dg4．With the white bishop on e3，Black has to be wary of the
possibility of d5，followed by $\sum_{\text {xb6 }}$ ， which would leave Black with dou－ bled pawns．

## The Theory of the Møller and Arkhangelsk Variations

 ¢f65000

We now consider：
A：5．．．d6 56
B：5．．．气c5 57
C：5．．．b5 59
A）
5．．．d6
This delayed version of the Steinitz Defence is not very popular．

6 Ee1
Also possible is 6 c 3 ．After 6．．．\＆d7 7 d 4 g 68 Eel Black has two possibili－ ties：
a） $8 \ldots \& \mathrm{~g} 7$ transposes to Chapter 5， Line C22．
 $0-011$ \＆g5 h6 12 ＠h4 We8 13 \＆c2 Qh5 14 e 3 is slightly better for White，Jansa－Psakhis，Bor 1985.

6．．．b5
Seizing the chance to exchange the Lopez bishop．Other tries are：
a） $6 \ldots$ ．．．g 47 c 3 乌d78d4 \＆e79h3
 Eaxc10－013 \＆b3 左5 16 气e3 $0 x \mathrm{x} 317 \mathrm{axb} 3$ and White has more central control，plus a chance to attack Black＇s weak a6－ pawn，Gufeld－Bronstein，USSR Ch 1963.
b） $6 \ldots$ 昷e7 7 c 3 昷g4 8 h 3 金h5 9 \＆ 0 xc6＋bxc6 10 d 4 exd4 11 cxd4 0－0
曾d3 with a small plus，Tal－Kuijpers， Moscow 1963.

## 

7．．．金e7！，transposing to the main－ line Closed Lopez，discussion of which starts in Chapter 9，is Black＇s best course．



12．．．定e7 13 ゆd5 \＆xd5 14 exd5 exd4 15 0xd4 0－0 16 㐌 6 leaves the knight far superior to the bishop．

13 当d3 畨e7 14 dxe5 dxe5 15 Ead1

Threatening 16 xe5；to meet this Black is forced into an ugly pawn move．
 18 ©g（ $D$ ）


Konstantinopolsky－Efremov，corr 1955．White＇s lead in development is the most important factor in the posi－ tion，leaving him with a clear advan－ tage．

B）
5．．．宜c5
The advantage of this particular move－order is that the variation 5 ．．． b 5 6 金b3 金c5 7 a 4 is avoided．On the other hand，White also has extra possi－ bilities now．

6 c 3 （D）


6．．．b5
Other moves are known to be bad：
а） $6 \ldots$ ．．．a7 7 d 4 Фxe4 8 Ee1 f5 9
 12 Exe4 d6 13 dxe5 㟶g6（13．．．ef5 14 Ef4 dxe5 15 Exf5 Exf5 16 㟶b3＋

 1－0 Capablanca－Milner－Barry，Mar－ gate 1935.
b） $6 \ldots$ ．．． e 6 is an improvement，as the bishop shields the b7－pawn from attack．Nevertheless，White can still continue with 7 d 40 xe 48 Eel f5 9 Qbd2 0－0 10 Øxe4 fxe4 11 Exe4 d6
 when 14 ．．．曹h5 15 背d5＋ 16显xc6 bxc6 17 鍢xc6 is clearly better for White，while the superior 14．．．dxe5

15 娄d5＋茈e6 16 曹xe6＋是xe6 17 Exf8＋Exf8 18 真xc6 bxc6 19 气e3 still leaves Black with many pawn weaknesses．

7 \＆ e 2！？
7 － H 3 transposes to Line C．
The text－move is an attempt to take advantage of Black＇s move－order．Black can try：
B1：7．．．d5！？ 58
B2：7．．．d6 59

## B1）

7．．．d5！？ 8 d4！
Reacting immediately in the centre steers the game into very tactical wa－ ters．Less convincing is 8 exd5，when Black makes use of the bishop＇s ab－
 by ．．．0－0，solving all his opening prob－ lems．

## 8．．．dxe4 9 Qxe5

9 dxe5 is also interesting，when 9．．．exf3 10 当xd8 pears good for White．A stronger pos－ sibility is Lutz＇s suggestion 9．．．奨xd1
 try 11 xf2，but after $11 \ldots g \mathrm{~g} 4+12$ \＆g3 exf3 13 气e4 0 gxe 514 \＆f4 E b 8 15 gxf 3 皿e6 White has little else but to regain the pawn with 16 exc $6+$ ©xc6 17 \＆xc7 4 c 8 ，with an equal po－ sition） $11 \ldots$ ．．g 412 \＆xe4 \＆b7 and Black seems OK．For example，the continuation $13 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{e} 3+14$ 崽xf2 Qxd1＋15 㝒e20－0－0 16 ©bd2 $0 x$ x $3+$ ！ 17 bxc3 ${ }^{\text {Ë }}$ he 8 leaves Black well coor－ dinated．
 Qg4 12 \＆xe4 气xf2

Other moves：

 clearly better for White．
b） $12 .$. Etb 13 ＠c6＋

 20 \＆b3，when Black＇s slightly vulner－ able king is enough to give White the faintest of edges，Shirov－Ivanchuk， Monaco Amber rpd 1998.

13 气c6＋ほe7 14 Ed5 \＆b6 15 \＆xa8 d3＋

15．．．气e6？ 16 どd4 §d3 17 气g5 +f 6 18 \＆e4 气xe5 19 \＆ 3 was winning for White in Lutz－Onishchuk，Bundesliga 1996／7．The text－move is Lutz＇s sug－ gested improvement．

16 安f1 Exc1 17 Qa3 定6 18 Ëxc1 Exa8 19 Ёd3（D）


Black＇s two bishops and the pawn weaknesses on a2 and e5 give Black some compensation for the exchange， although it＇s questionable whether this is enough．In his analysis Lutz gives $19 \ldots$ \＆xa2 20 c 4 b 421 c 5 \＆a7 22 ©c4 \＆xc5 23 乌a5 \＆b6 24 ©c6＋』f8 25 थxb4 \＆e6 26 』c6，with a
clear advantage to White．Onishchuk was not sufficiently put off the line， however，and later repeated it．He pre－ ferred 19．．．\＆f5 20 Ëdd1 \＆g4 21 \＃d3 \＆ f 522 Edd 1 \＆g4，when the players agreed to a draw in N．Mariano－Oni－ shchuk，Jakarta 1997．Of course White could try to continue，by moving his rook off the d －file，but this would give Black counterchances with ．．．Ed8．It seems that more practical examples of 7 ．．．d5 are required before any real as－ sessment can be given．

## B2）

## $7 . . \mathrm{d} 68 \mathrm{~d} 4$ \＆b6 9 h 3

It＇s worth expending a tempo to prevent ．．．${ }^{\text {g } 4, ~ s o ~ t h a t ~ W h i t e ' s ~ p a w n-~}$ centre can be kept intact．

## $9 . .0-010$ \＆ e 3 ！？

One advantage of having the bishop on c 2 is that the e4－pawn is protected． Thus White need not rush to play $\triangle$ bd2，but can develop this bishop first，lending crucial support to the d4－pawn．

10．．．今b7 11 ゆbd2 Еe8 12 ت゙e1 exd4

The critical test of White＇s play，al－ though it may not be best．Alterna－ tives：
a） $12 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 613 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{e} \mathbf{~} 714 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{~d} 715$ ©b3 is better for White，Rõtšagov－ Ziegler，Gothenburg 1998.
b） $12 \ldots$ 른 813 a 3 h 614 We 2 and now 14．．．d5？！ 15 ©xe5 dxe4 16 Dg 4 Qh7 17 \＆xe4 Exe4 18 Dxe4 f5 19 d5！gave White a very strong attack in Nunn－Hector，Oxford 1998．Nunn suggests $14 \ldots$ ．．．Wd7 as being a more cautious way for Black to play，giving

15 d 5 乌e7 16 a4 \＆xe3 17 憎xe3 c6 18 axb5 cxb5 19 b 4 with a small plus to White．

13 cxd4 ©b4（D）


## 14 §g5！

Of course it would be nice to retain the bishop with 14 \＆bl，but Black seems to be able to grab the e－pawn with $14 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {x }} 4$ ．Nunn then gives 15

 one of Black＇s defensive resources） 18．．．تّ 719 dxc 5 dxc 520 Еad1 楼e8 and Black defends．

Black has obtained the two bishops， but has been forced to relinquish the centre and in addition faces a nasty pin on the h4－d8 diagonal．The game Jansa－Martinovsky，Wrexham 1998 continued 16．．．g5 17 \＆g3 ©h5 18气h2 ©f4 19 气xf4 gxf4 20 e 5 dxe5 21 dxe5 and Black was already in serious trouble．

## C）

5．．．b5 6 气b3（ $D$ ）


Now：
C1：6．．．\＆c5 60
C2：6．．．\＆b7 64
Before moving on to the main lines， two other very natural moves must be considered：
a） 6 ．．．d6 attempts to steer the game into a closed defence，but with Black still having the option to fianchetto his f8－bishop．Now 7 Qg5 looks very ap－ petizing，but after 7．．．d5！ 8 exd5 0 d 4
 11 d3 \＆f5）9．．．气c5 10 Exe5＋直f8 Black＇s counterplay cannot be under－ estimated．White should probably be content with 7 c 3 ，when 7 ．．．．e e ！trans－ poses to the main－line Closed Lopez， while $7 \ldots$ ．．． 5 a 8 \＆ 2 c 2 c 9 d 4 ！（no need to waste a tempo on h3）9．．．${ }^{(\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{c}$ c7 10 Dbd2 g6 11 b4 cxb4 12 cxb4 0 c 613 \＆b2 气g7 14 E．c1 0－0 15 §b3 was better for White in Suetin－Ragozin， USSR Ch 1954.
b） $6 \ldots \mathrm{e} 7$ is another attempt to channel the game into a normal Closed Lopez．The idea of this move－ order is to deny White the possibility
of playing the Delayed Exchange Variation Deferred（which arises after 5．．．丹e7 6 \＆xc6）．White can either re－ turn to the main line with 7 Elel or at－ tempt to punish Black＇s move－order with 7 d 4 ！？：
b1） 7 ．．． 凹xe4 transposes to the Open Defence．
b2）7．．．exd4？！ $8 \mathrm{e} 5!$ 包4（or $8 \ldots . . \sum \mathrm{g} 4$

 White a large advantage．
b3） 7 ．．．d6 8 c 3 and now Black has two moves：
b31） 8 ．．．．$\& 49$ h3！＠xf3（9．．．\＆h5 10 d5 ©a5 11 \＆c2 c6 12 dxc6 0－0 13
 ©f1，with the idea $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 3$ ，gains useful time on the bishop） 10 曾xf3 exd4 11崰g3 0－0（or 11．．．g6 12 全d5 数d7 13
 strong attack，Tal－Teschner，Vienna Echt 1957） 12 \＆h6 Qe8 13 \＆ d 5 峟d7

 and White has a massive bind，Gli－ gorić－Rossetto，Portorož IZ 1958.
b32） $8 . . .0-09$ Dbd2（attempting to gain on normal Closed lines by doing without h3） $9 \ldots$ ．．．e8（or $9 \ldots$ ．．．g4 10 h 3 \＆ h 511 d 5 勾5 12 \＆c2 c6 13 dxc 6断 714 \＃el，intending $0 \mathrm{f} 1-\mathrm{g} 3$ ） 10 a3！？\＆f8 11 Еe1 g6 12 \＆c2 \＆g7 13
 and White was slightly better in Hjar－ tarson－Adams，Munich 1993.

## C1）

6．．．今c5 7 c 3
Move－orders are very important here．Another major line begins with 7
a4．Then 7．．．むb8 8 c 3 d 6 transposes to Line C12，leaving 7．．．${ }^{\text {ob }} 7$ as Black＇s main other move．After 7．．．$£ 67$ White may proceed with the restrained 8 d 3 followed by $2 c 3$ ，leading to a system which I＇m not advocating for White． Instead White can also play the tricky $8 \mathrm{c} 3!$ ？（D）．


Then 8．．．d6 leads to Line C11（this in fact was the actual move－order of Emms－Godena）．So it seems that 7 a 4 avoids the popular $8 \ldots$ ．．． g 4 variation， yet I can find hardly any examples of this move－order，which is very puz－ zling．The only question is whether Black can exploit the move－order him－ self．

As well as 8 ．．．d6 Black can try：
 looks good for White，e．g．10．．．Da5 11气d5！，10．．．©e7 11 ゆg5 and $10 \ldots 0-0$ 11 ． $\mathrm{L}^{2}$－in each case White has the intiative．
 11 ）d5 transposes to line＇$a$＇．
c） $8 . . . \sum^{2} \times 4$（the critical test） 9 畨 2 d5（9．．．Wa5 10 \＆ e 2 and 9．．．f5 10 d 3

全xf2＋ 11 富h1 are favourable to White） 10 d 3 是xf2＋（10．．． Vf $^{6} 11 \mathrm{~d} 4$ Q b6 12 dxe5 is clearly better for White） 11 安h1 $9 \mathrm{~g} 3+$（or $11 . .2 \mathrm{c} 512$
 with a critical position where Black has three pawns for the white piece． However，it seems that White can con－ tinue to attack with 13 9g5！，ready to meet 13 ．．．h6 with 14 擞h5！．

Practical tests are needed，but it scems that 7 a4 $\mathbf{e}$ b7 8 c 3 is a very playable alternative for White．

7．．．d6
Reinforcing the e5－pawn，thus al－ lowing the bishop to retreat when at－ tacked by White＇s d 4 advance．Other moves give White more options：
a） $7 . .00-0$ is hardly ever seen．One line that looks good for White is 8 d 4

 14 gf4！and the best Black can do is
 17 㟶xf2，with $\triangle \mathrm{d} 2-\mathrm{e} 4$ to follow．
b） $7 \ldots .$. xe4 8 d 4 昷b6 and proba－ bly the simplest here is 9 dxe5 0－0 10合 55 with a clear plus．

## 8 a4（D）

An active waiting move．I imagine it should be asked what＇s wrong（if anything）with the most natural move here．Things may always change，but currently 8 d 4 is not the most popular move．After 8．．．定b6 White can choose from：
a） 9 昷g 5610 皿h4 g5！ 11 Ag 3 and now both $11 \ldots$ ig 4 and $11 \ldots 0-0$ are fine for Black，according to theory．
b） $9 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\rho} 710 \mathrm{Em}$（ 10 监e3 is impossible here owing to the simple

10．．．异xe4！） $10 \ldots 0-011$ 冒g5 h6 12
 15 dd2 wid6 with an equal position， Zapata－Godena，Erevan OL 1996.

公xd5 14 exd5 皿b7 15 昷e3 全xe3 16
 level endgame，de Firmian－Tkachev， Biel 1995.
d） 9 a 4 ！？（a new attempt） $9 \ldots$ ．．．g 4 10 axb5 axb5 11 Exa8 峟xa8 12 h 3
 c6 16 dxc6 䌸xc6 17 Ee1 and White was a shade better in Leko－Adams， Dortmund 1998.


Black now has three main replies：
C11：8．．．今b7 62
C12：8．．．巴b8 62
C13：8．．．㿾g4 63

## C11）


$10 \Xi \mathrm{E}$ 1 would transpose into the Arkhangelsk Defence，but perhaps White can do without this move．

10．．．exd4

This is probably the most accurate defence．After $10 \ldots$ ．．．h 11 合xf6 皆xf6 12 选d5 0－0 13 左3 White has the op－ tion of recapturing on d 4 with a knight． Wahls－Stern，Binz 1995 continued
 Ee1 $\mathrm{Ee} 817 \triangleq \mathrm{e} 3$ with a plus to White．

11 cxd4 h6 12 定xf6 峟xf6 13 空d5 $0-014$ © 3
 Qxb7 was OK for Black in Movses－ ian－Malaniuk，Elista OL 1998.

14．．．巴fb8
$14 \ldots$ ．．．b can be answered with 15 Dc4．

15 Dc2 bxa4 16 Exa4 Ee7 17



Emms－Godena，Andorra Z 1998. The centre and extra space gives White a small plus．

## C12）

8．．．
A non－committal move．The c8－ bishop keeps its options open on where it will be developed．Black usually sacrifices the b5－pawn in this line．
 axb5 12 公xb5 0－0 13 をैe1！

This seems to be White＇s best try for the advantage．Other moves in－ clude：
a） 13 \＆e3 exd4 14 cxd 4 气xe4！ 15酋 05 峟e8 with an equal position， Nijboer－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1998.
b） 13 皿c2皿xf3！ 14 宸 $\times f 3$ exd4 15昷g5 h6 16 \＆ e 4 dxc 317 bxc 3 De5 and Black is fine，J．Polgar－Shirov． Linares 1997.

## 13．．．葢xf3 14 gxf3 0 h5

Black hopes for play against White＇s weakened kingside．14．．．exd4 $15 \sum^{2} \mathrm{xd} 4$分xd4 16 cxd 4 金xd4 doesn＇t regain the pawn，as White can play the des－ perado 17 \＆xf7＋！．

## 

Perhaps Black＇s best chance lies in the complicated 16．．．exd4 17 igg 5 we5 18 \＆ 15 ，when Wedberg suggests 18．．．dxc3！？ 19 主xc6 \＆xf2 20 \＃g2
 though even this line should favour White．

17 会 e 3 （ $D$ ）


We are following Svidler－Shirov， Linares 1998．White has secured his position and is still a pawn to the good．

## C13）

8．．．辠g4
A natural move，developing the bishop，connecting queen and rook and preventing d4．Later on，Black can of－ ten try to exploit the slight weakness in the white camp due to the move a4． On the minus side，this bishop can also be attacked in the usual way（ h 3 and Dbd2－f1－g3）and Black may have to prepared to exchange it for the knight．

## $9 \mathrm{~d} 30-010 \mathrm{~h} 3$ 全xf3

The other main option is to keep the bishop with 10．．．eh5！？，when White＇s most logical idea is to begin the usual Lopez knight manoeuvre，viz． 11 el 1 Eb8（tempting White to open the a－ file；otherwise Black might play ．．．b4） 12 axb5 axb5 13 ©bd2 and now：
a）13．．．b4！？ 14 国a4 bxc3 15 bxc3

 \＆h7 21 Wf3 ©d5 was unclear in Almasi－Shirov，Dresden rpd 1997．I prefer 18 Qh4，grabbing the bishop－ pair．
b） 13 ．．．. a8！？and now after 14
 （e3 is equal）16．．．b4 17 g 4 酉g6 18
 taken over the initiative in Kovače－ vić－Anand，Belgrade 1997．Perhaps the paradoxical 14 Ebl $!$ ？is a better try for White．With the rooks still on the board Black finds it more difficult to arrange counterplay on the queenside．


Eg3 looks nice for White，while White may also keep an edge after 14．．．曾b8


Black tries to exploit the negative side of White playing a4．

## 13 © d 2

After 13 \＆g5！？${ }^{\text {Lu }} \mathrm{b} 814$ d 2 bxc 3
 Black has enough queenside play．

## 13．．Ëb8 14 むe1

After 14 粕e2
 19 cxd4 Exf3！ 20 当xf3 \＆${ }^{\text {\＆}}$ xd4 Black has dangerous compensation for the exchange，Anand－Karpov，Lausanne FIDE Wch（2） 1998.

14 Ebl is an interesting alternative to the text－move，immediately putting the plan of $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 3$ into effect（see note to White＇s 15th move）．

## 14．．．h6？！

15 ©f1 would now allow 15．．．bxc3 $16 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 3$ ，so it looks as if Black can play a waiting game．However， White＇s next move is a very good one． Black should prefer the more active 14．．．c6！？or $14 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {b／}}$ d7！？，planning to meet 15 Ĕbl？with $15 \ldots$ ．．．b3 16 酉d新xa4．

## 15 Ëb1！

A very deep idea．If Black does not play ．．．b3 then White stops this with Db3，exchanges knights and gains control of the important a2－g8 diago－ nal with the Lopez bishop．Therefore Black plays．．．

White has expended two tempi，but the result is that the queenside is suffi－ ciently blocked，leaving White free to

concentrate on the other wing．Leko－ Gild．Garcia，Yopal 1997 continued

 23 d 4 害a724 類d3 a5 25 \＆e3 exd4 26
 $29 \mathrm{gxf5}$ and White converted his clear positional advantage into victory．Nat－ urally Black＇s play could have been improved upon，but in any case White is better after 17 Eal $^{2}$ ．

## C2）

6．．．今b7（D）

W


## 

For 7．．．＠e7 see Chapter 8，note to Black＇s 7th move．

8 c3
Black has two possible replies：

## C21：8．．．0－0 65

C22：8．．．d6
66

## C21）

## 8．．．0－0

This tricky move－order has recently become more fashionable．Black tries to avoid the critical lines where White plays 臽e3．However，White can also make use of Black＇s early castling．

## 9 d 4 金b6 10 §g5

The point of Black＇s move－order is seen if White plays 10 ＠e3，hoping to reach Line C22 after 10．．．d6．How－ ever，Black need not be so accommo－ dating．Instead of moving the d－pawn， he can use the extra tempo to attack White＇s centre．After 10．．．exd4！？ 11

数xh4 Black reached a very comfort－ able position in Anand－Ivanchuk，Bel－ grade 1997.

## 10．．．h6 11 定h4 d6

11．．．g5 hopes to drive the position after $12 \hat{\mathrm{~g}} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6$ to Dolmatov－Beliav－ sky in the note to White＇s 10th move in Line C22．However，White shouldn＇t miss the chance to play 12 Exg 5 ！ hxg5 13 金xg5，when Black＇s survival
 15 Eg 3 and it＇s all over．This line high－ lights the drawback of Black＇s move－ order involving an early ．．．0－0．The $\cdots \mathrm{g} 5$ lunge can often be met by this ef－ fective sacrifice．
$11 \ldots$ Ee8 is a safer move．White should continue with 12 峟d3，for ex－


 Qh2 with an edge to White，Beliav－ sky－Dorfman，USSR Ch 1975.

12 W／d3（D）
Supporting the d－pawn，so that Qbd2 can be played．This position can also be reached via 8．．．d6 9 d 4 宜b6 10 \＆g5 h6 11 今h4 0－0 12 峟d3，although that move－order allows 11．．．g5！（see Line C22，note to White＇s 10th move）．


## 12．．．乌а5

12．．．g5 once again runs into the piece sacrifice 13 ©xg5！hxg5 14皿xg5：


 Black is mated．
b） $14 \ldots$ exd 415 e5！$\S x e 516$ 前 5
 19 曾g4＋1－0 Diaz Perez－Valdes，Cuba 1988.


After 15 ．．．g5 White can play 16
 in Hübner－Beliavsky，Munich 1990， or the more restrained 16 \＆g 3 ！？©h5 17 b 4 cxb 318 axb 3 Øxg3 19 hxg 3 f 5
 with an advantage to White in both cases．
 cxb3 19 axb3 ${ }^{W} \mathbf{c} 720 \mathrm{c} 4$（ $D$ ）


Mecking－Planinc，Mar del Plata 1971．White＇s extra space guarantees an edge．

## C22）

## 8．．．d6

The most common move．
9 d 4 完b6 10 定 e 3 （ $D$ ）
Defending the d－pawn，thus prepar－ ing the development of the knight on d2．White has other moves here，but this method of development causes Black most problems．In the early days of the Arkhangelsk， $10 \AA \mathrm{~g} 5$ was very common，but after $10 \ldots$ h6 11酸 h 4 g 5 ！ 12 童g3 0－0 was discovered， Black＇s practical results became very
good．One example from here is 13
 16 a4 $0 \times x 317$ hxg3 exd4 18 axb5 axb5 19 皿xc6 宜xc6 20 分xd4 \＆d7 and Black had nothing to worry about in Dolmatov－Beliavsky，Moscow GMA 1990.


10．．．0－0
The e－pawn is indirectly protected： $10 . . \varrho \mathrm{xe} 4$ ？loses a piece to 11 d 5 fol－ lowed by 12 ＠xb6．

## 11 公bd2 h6

This move，preparing ．．．Dg4 with－ out allowing $\hat{\ell} \mathrm{g} 5$ ，is Black＇s most common here，but there are alterna－ tives：
a） $11 \ldots$ Qd7 12 §g5 Qe7 13 Qf1富h8 14 Qg 3 f6 15 是 3 is a little better for White，Khalifman－Mikhal－ chishin，Kuibyshev 1986.
b） $11 \ldots$ exd4！？ 12 cxd4 Qb4 13稼bl！c5 14 a3 Dc6（Enders－Mainka， German Ch（Binz）1994）and now I like 15 dxc 5 是xc5 16 昷xc5 dxc5 17 e5 ©d5 18 De4 c4 19 \＆ c 2 ，with chances of a kingside attack．

12 h3（D）


Now Black has a sizeable choice of ideas：
C221：12．．．．Еe8 67
C222：12．．．exd4 67
C223：12．．．むّb8 68
Less important tries are：
a） $12 \ldots . . \mathrm{W}$ b8 13 d 5 包 714 气xb6
 Df1（Anand－Kamsky，Las Palmas PCA Ct（3）1995）and now best for Black scems to be 17．．．b4！ 18 cxb4 $0 \mathrm{xd5}$ ，although White can keep an edge with 19 Qg6！fxg6 20 \＆ e 3 3．
b） $12 \ldots .2 \mathrm{~d} 713 \mathrm{a} 3$ 包 714 \＆a2誆h8 15 b4 a5（Short－Kamsky，Linares PCA Ct（6）1994）and now Hübner
 18 dd4 with a slight advantage to White．

## C221）

## 12．．．世e8 13 敩b1！

This is the only decent way to cover the e4－pawn．Both 13 \＆c2 and 13 看c2 would allow Black to reply 13．．．exd4 14 cxd4 ©b4！

13．．．©h5

Black can attempt to strike back in the centre by means of $13 \ldots$ ．．．a5 14囱c2 c5，but after 15 d 5 c 416 b 4 ！cxb3 17 axb3 White still held the upper hand in Rowson－Emms，British League （4NCL）1997／8．

14 Qf1 寝f6 15 （1h2（D）


In Becerra Rivero－Nguyen Anh Dung，Erevan OL 1996 Black now went astray with $15 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{f} 4$ ？ 16 Dg4粕g6 17 宜xf4 exf4 18 §d5！，when White had a big advantage．More re－ silient is $15 \ldots$ ．．exd4 16 cxd 4 峟g6，al－ though White can still retain the advantage after 17 e5 垱xbl 18 Eaxb1 dxe5 19 dxe5 毋a5 20 㑒xb6 cxb6 21 es c ．

## C222）

## 12．．．exd4

Black immediately relinquishes the centre in order to obtain play on the queenside．Play in this line can be－ come quite sharp．


16 e5 dxe5 17 dxc5！？

Also possible is 17 dxe 5 ，for exam－ ple 17．．．Qd5 18 Qe4 c4（18．．．乌xe3？
渻xh6＋dg8 22 皆xe3 and White has a mating attack） 19 \＆$\times 66$ 営 $\times b 620$ \＆ C 2 Dce7（20．．．Efd8 looks stronger） 21
 White＇s pieces are well placed，Scu－ deri－Karlsson，corr． 1987.

17．．．害c7
After 17．．．\＆a7 White proceeds with
垱xh6＋Dh7 21 亚e4，with a fearsome attack．

After 17．．．ec7， 18 §xh6 isn＇t so effective as the black bishop is more in the game on c7．After 18．．．gxh6 19
 ©d4 22 ©xd4 exd4 Black was able to beat off the attack in Khalifman－Mal－ aniuk，Minsk 1985．In the later game Psakhis－Volzhin，Metz 1994，White improved with 18 今c2 Qe7（18．．．b4， preventing White＇s next，should be
 21 \＆b3 $\mathrm{Qg}_{6} 22 \mathrm{Ead} 1$ and White＇s pieces were more active．

## C223）

## 12．．．Eb8

Preparing to answer 13 d 5 with 13．．．\＆xe3，as after 14 dxc 6 是xd2 15 cxb7 the black rook is no longer at－ tacked．

13 \＆c2 Ee8 14 a3
Eliminating ．．．气b4 ideas and so preparing ©f1－g3．We have now reached a position that was discussed in Line B2（note＇b＇to Black＇s 12th
move）．The only difference here is that Black has the move，as White has played＠a4－b3－c2，rather than just \＆${ }^{\text {2 }} 4$－c2．This extra tempo gives Black better chances of equality．

14．．．Da7
Once again，adding more pressure to e4．

15 a4
Perhaps White should consider keep－ ing the tension with 15 龧bl！？

15．．．ゆc6！
Now the b4－square is once again available，the knight is not slow in hopping back．Note that 15 ．．．exd 416 cxd4 $0 x$ xe4？loses a piece to $17 \triangleq$ xe4 \＆xe4 18 a5．

16 axb5 axb5 17 气d3 b4（D）

W


Ki．Georgiev－Beliavsky，Yugoslavia 1996 continued 18 d5 bxc3 19 bxc3
 Wiv7 with an equal position．White can also consider 18 \＆bs bxc3 19 bxc 3 exd4 20 \＆xd4 $\mathrm{Dxd}^{2} 21 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \mathrm{c} 6$ ，al－ though this also looks fairly level．

## 7 The Open Lopez

1 e4e52 乌f3 ©c6 3 \&b5 a6 4 \&a4 D65000 0 xe4 (D)


With 5...9xe4 Black basically chooses dynamic counterplay over solid defence. He makes space for his pieces to develop onto active posts and squashes any thoughts White might have of applying the 'Spanish Torture' so often seen in the closed defences.

However, there's a certain price to pay for all this activity. The position becomes open quite quickly and in order not to suffer a quick onslaught down the e-file, Black is forced to compromise his pawn-structure somewhat, leaving White with potential targets to exploit in the middlegame. Nevertheless, the Open Defence has its fair share of supporters. Viktor Korchnoi is probably its most famous adherent, while of the new generation
of top players one could point to Vishy Anand, who employed it in his 1995 World Championship clash with Kasparov and has continued to use it since.

The Strategic Starting Position


This is the typical position, which is reached after 8 moves of the Open Lopez. The first thing to notice is that Black's pieces occupy active squares. Given a few free moves, Black would probably continue with ...\&. $\mathrm{e} 5, \ldots 0-0$ and perhaps ...f6, to create a semiopen $f$-file and attack the $f 2$-square. It goes without saying that White must act energetically in the diagram position, else Black could easily take over the initiative once he has completed his development. Here I'm advocating the move $9 Q$ bd2, which was made
popular by Anatoly Karpov. One of White's main ideas is to put immediate pressure on Black's strong knight on e4. This pressure can be enhanced with such moves as c3 and \&c2. Black is asked very early on what to do with this knight.

Black Supports the Knight with ...f5


Black has just played 11...f5, lending support to the under-fire knight. White now has a big decision to make: whether to capture en passant, or to play around the knight and concentrate on the weaknesses in the black camp. On this occasion the main theoretical move is 12 Qb3 (instead of 12 exf6). After 12... ${ }^{\text {Wid }} \mathbf{d 7}$ White can use a tactical trick to justify the move 13 Dfd4. Now 13... Dxe5? 14 f 3 ©c5 15
 18 b4 drops a piece, so the normal continuation is $13 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{xd} 414 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{c5}$
 when White is slightly better (see the theory section for more on this position).

Black Moves the Knight


On this occasion Black has retreated his knight to $\mathbf{c 5}$, where it controls some important squares. One of White's major plans in this position involves the usual knight manoeuvre with (after ${ }^{\mathbf{E}} \mathrm{e}$ ) $2 \mathrm{f} 1-\mathrm{g} 3 / \mathrm{e} 3$. White's pieces would then point impressively at the black kingside. In addition, White has the e5-pawn as a spearhead, so it's easy to see that White can often build up a menacing attack against the black king. White also often plays $\triangleq b 3$, challenging the $c 5-\mathrm{knight}$. If this is exchanged, it clears the way for the white queen to go to d 3 , where it sets up a powerful battery with the bishop against the h7-pawn.

For the reasons outlined above, Black often delays castling in favour of first improving the position of his pieces. For example, Black often plays the move ... I g 4 , giving White a pin to think about. This bishop can also be re-routed via 5 to g 6 , in order to blunt White's attack along the bl-h7 diagonal. This also leaves the e6-square
vacant for the knight to hop back and completely block the e5－pawn．An－ other common feature is Black dou－ bling behind the d－pawn with ．．．画d7 and ．．． Ed ．The idea of this is not only to add extra support to the often－ vulnerable d5－pawn，but also to facili－ tate a possible ．．．d4 advance．Of course the strength of this advance is always dependent on the placing of the various pieces，but a successful ．．．d4 will completely free Black＇s position．

## The Theory of the Open Lopez

##  \＆f650－0 ©xe4 6d4b5

This is by far the most common move，but White must be aware of two other possibilities：
a） $6 . . . e x d 4$ gives us the so－called Riga Variation．This line is very rare， chiefly because the endgame arising from the sequence $7 \mathscr{E}$ el d5 $8 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4$
 ＊xh2 Wh4＋leads to perpetual check） 10．．．暥h4 11 哭xe4＋dxe4 12 wid8＋
 been known for many years to favour White．
b） 6 ．．．ee 7 isn＇t seen very often， but in fact it＇s quite a playable move． White should continue 7 E el f5（after 7．．．b5 8 Exe4 d5 9 Dxe5 Dxe5 10 Exe5 bxa4 11 c 4 dxc 412 楼xa4＋宣d7
橎 $\mathrm{b} 16{ }_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{e} 2$ White had a healthy extra pawn in Smirin－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1994） 8 dxe5 0－0 9 \＆ $\mathrm{e} 3+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{h} 810$

Dc3！Dxc3 11 bxc3 h6（11．．．We8 is probably stronger，although I still pre－
 12 a 4 a 513 皆 b 1 b 614 国d5 里b7 15
 clear advantage，Geller－Kurajica，Wijk aan Zee 1977.

7 \＆b3 d5
After 7．．．exd4？！ 8 Eal d5 White plays the surprising $9 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ ！，after which Black has no good way through the tactics．Following 9．．．dxc3 10
 Black is struggling to castle，while


 \＆e3 left White clearly on top in Fischer－Trifunović，Bled 1961.

8 dxe5 乌e6 9 Qbd2（D）
The move which Karpov popular－ ized．It＇s basically a refinement over the old main line， 9 c 3 ．Black＇s most active move against that is $9 . .$. \＆c5．

B


However，in the current position （after $9 \triangleq b d 2$ ）， $9 \ldots$ ．．．c5 is less entic－ ing，as White can immediately head
off into a slightly better endgame． Black＇s only real attempt to make use of the move－order is with $9 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 510$ c3 d4！？，as in Line C．Anyway，I＇ll start by listing Black＇s three main re－ plies：
A：9．．．‥5 72
B：9．．．ल 77
C：9．．． 2 c 575
A）

## 9．．．今c5

The move that $9 \varrho \mathrm{bd} 2$ had been de－ signed to prevent！

10 ． xe 4
This line seems to give White a comfortable endgame，with a＇risk－ free＇but very small advantage．If White wants to keep more pieces on the board，then he should try a queen move，viz．：
a） 10 we2 gives Black two possi－ ble replies：
 axb5 axb5 13 ©xe4 dxe4 14 ©g5 0－0 15 苗e3 豈e7 16 e6！金xe3 17 exf7＋

嵦xe4 gave White an extra pawn in Tkachev－Karolyi，Oakham 1993） 12 Dxe4 皿xe4（12．．．dxe4 13 巳g5 looks quite unpleasant for Black） 13 金e3
 Ead1 and the pressure on d5 proves troublesome for Black，Emms－Gret－ arsson，Kopavogur 1994.
a2） $10 \ldots$ ．． 0 xd 2 （the safest move） 11 \＆xd2 0－0 12 ead and now：
a21）12．．．宣g4 13 楼d3！金xf3 14
 16 ※xd5 ©e7 17 会xf7＋\＃xf7 18

谏xc5 is clearly good for White， Wahls－A．Mikhalevski，Berlin 1994）
 and the bishop－pair gives White the edge in this ending．
a22）12．．．De7！？ 13 実e3 㫣xe3 14数xe3 c6 15 h3 ©f5 16 数f4（perhaps


 and Black has just about equalized， Jansa－M．Pribyl，Czech Ch 1996.
b）The strange－looking 10 数e1！？ also deserves some attention．The idea is that on el the queen is less vulnera－ ble to attack by ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{d} 4$ ．Thus 10 ．．． ef 5 ？ 11 Dxe4 企xe4 12 gg ！would be in White＇s favour．Once again the safest way is $10 \ldots$ ．． 0 xd 211 全xd2 $0-0$ ．Lu－ ther－V．Mikhalevski，Turin 1996 con－
 （13．．．h6 looks stronger） 14 c 3 h 615 ©xd4！©xd4 16 乌e3 $0 f 3+17 \mathrm{gxf} 3$
 Ed4 and White converted his extra pawn into victory．

## 10．．．dxe4 11 是xe6


 ©xd8 dexd8 gives Black good com－ pensation for the exchange，Stisis－ A．Mikhalevski，Israeli Cht 1996.

## 11．．．普xd1

After 11．．．fxe6， $12 \triangleq g 5$ Wivd1 leads to the same thing，but White could also consider $12 \varrho d 2!$ ？

## 12 Exd1 fxe6 13 ©g5 0－0 14 包x 4 \＆b6 15 官f1

White kept a minute advantage af－ ter 15 b 3 ©xe5 16 def1 0 g 417 f 3 』f6 （17．．．$)^{x h} 2+18$ むe2 is clearly better
for White） 18 Og3 ©d5 19 c 4 bxc 420 bxc4 in Topalov－Leko，Cap d＇Agde rpd 1994.
 （D）


This is Adams－M．Pribyl，Bundes－ liga 1995／6．Black has one prominent weakness，the e6－pawn，which is iso－ lated and on a semi－open file，but the activity of his pieces may be just enough to maintain the balance．

B）
9．．．今e7 10 c3 ©c5
Other moves include：
昷g6 13 a 4 ！and now after 13．．．0－0？ 14 Oxe4 全xe4 15 axb5 axb5 16 世xa8北 17 会xe4 dxe4 18 e6！fxe6 19 ©xb5 Black＇s wrecked pawn－structure gave White a clear advantage in Lu － ther－Krasenkow，Asti 1996．Stronger is $13 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xd} 2$ ，although White still keeps a plus after 14 exd2．
 13 ©fd4 $\triangleq x d 414 \triangleq x d 4$ ！（14 cxd4 a5 15 f3 a4 16 fxe4 axb3 17 ＠xb3 fxe4
was equal in Grünfeld－Tal，Riga IZ 1979） $14 \ldots \mathrm{c5} 15$ 包㹉xe6 16 f 3 ©g5 17 a 4 g 6 （or $17 \ldots$ ªd8 18 axb 5 axb5 19 数e2 c4 20 昷e3 with an edge， Beliavsky－Tarjan，Bogota 1979） 18
 21 数 f 3 and White＇s protected passed pawn gives him a nice plus，Ricardi－ Sorin，Buenos Aires 1996.

In these positions Black has many chances to advance the d－pawn，and here is one of the occasions．After 11．．．d4！？we have：
a） 12 De4 d3 13 Qxc5 dxc2 14

 Exc2 df7 and White has just a tiny edge，Akopian－Daniliuk，St Peters－ burg 1993.
b） $12 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~d} 313 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{~m} 1 \sum_{\mathrm{xb}} 14$

 19 cxd 4 c 520 金xd3 cxd4 21 凹⿺辶 2 was better for White in Topalov－Piket， Antwerp 1997，but 17．．．d2！？deserves attention，e．g． 18 e2 ${ }^{\text {exbl }} 19$－$x b 1$
 an equal position．

The simple $11 \ldots 0-0$ is not seen very much．In Am．Rodriguez－Todorović， Pančevo 1987 White kept the advan－ tage after 12 Qb3 Wi F 713 它bd4

宜e3．

12 e1（ $D$ ）
Black now has two main continua－ tions：
B1：12．．．0－0 74
B2：12．．． 75


The advance $12 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ is not so effec－ tive here．After 13 Qb3！d3（after 13．．．dxc3 14 公xc5 全xc5 15 是e4 数xd1
 are very active） 14 ＠b1 $\mathrm{Qx}_{\mathrm{xb}} 15 \mathrm{axb} 3$是f5 16 臽e3 0－0 17 臽d4 d 5 （after 17．．．ضxd4 18 Øxd4 \＆g6 White wins the pawn with 19 ＠xd3 §xd3 20
 20 cxd 4 and Black has nothing for the pawn，Geller－Anand，New Delhi 1987.

Another plan for Black is to re－ route his bishop to g 6 ．The exchange of the light－squared bishops blunts White＇s attacking potential．On the other hand the manoeuvre is rather time－consuming and White maintains some advantage after 12 ．．． H 513
 h4！気6 17 h5 金xc2 18 㯰xc2 f6 19 exf6 \＆xf6 20 h 6 ！．

## B1）

## 12．．．0－0 13 Db3

13 2f1 and 13 h 3 are other moves， but I believe that this is the most se－ vere test of $12 \ldots 0-0$ ．

13．．．© 4

After 13．．．乌e6 14 楅d3！g6 15 \＆h6
谏xc2 ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~d} 719 \mathrm{~h} 4$ ！the pressure against the d5－pawn，coupled with the weak dark squares around the kingside， leaves Black with a miserable position． Emms－Rutherford，Hastings 1995 con－ cluded 19．．．Ead8 20 h 5 ！皿f8 21 對d2 ©g7 22 hxg6 hxg6 23 \＆g5 会e7 24乌bd4 $0 x d 425 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 包 6 ？ 26 \＆f6

 Og5 wivel 32 e 3 wins for White） 27 wh6 1－0．

## 14 皿 4 f 6

A more recent try is $14 \ldots$ en 15
 \＆xf3 18 gxf3，as in Kuczynski－Marin， Budapest Z 1993，but of course，only White has winning chances in this endgame．
 Dg5！（D）


Now 17．．．g6？ 18 ©xh7！dexh7 19 Wxg6＋\＄h8 20 Ee3 left Black in big trouble in Am．Rodriguez－Milos，Bo－ gota 1991．More resilient is $17 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$
 （Eastbourne）1990）and here I like the
官f7 21 昷g3！，with the idea of dou－ bling on the e－file．All in all，Black＇s position looks rather shaky．

## B2）

## 12．．．Wd7

This queen move is the most popu－ lar response．Black delays castling in favour of improving the positioning of his pieces．In particular the rook moves from a8 to d8 to lend further support to the d5－pawn and facilitate its advance．

Grabbing a pawn by 14 ．．．金xf3 15 ＊xf3 ©xe5 runs into a fearsome at－


## 15 b4！

White must play aggressively to maintain the initiative． 15 』f5 0－0 16 ゆxe7＋©xe7 17 气e3 乌a4！is known to be OK for Black．

15．．．De6
15．．．De4 walks into 16 ©xd5！．
16 g 4
The immediate 16 df5 is also inter－ esting．After 16．．．0－0 17 a 4 ，Am．Ro－ driguez－Marin，Novi Sad OL 1990 continued 17 ．．．$\Xi$ fe8 18 axb5 axb5 19
 Qxd722 0 xe7＋Exe7 23 \＆xg6 hxg6 24 Qd4 Eee8 25 ©c6 and White had a better endgame．17．．．$£ \mathrm{~g} 6$ may be stronger，when White should trans－ pose into the main line with 18 g 4 ．

16．．．\＆g6 17 ©f5 0－0 18 a 4 d 4
After 18．．．巴fe8 White bombards the b－pawn with $19 \mathrm{axb5} \mathrm{axb5} 20$ \＆d3 and now both $20 \ldots$ ．．tb8 21 数2 $9 \mathrm{cd8}$
 Shabalov－Vucić，New York 1993，and $20 . . .0 \mathrm{~b} 821$ \＆e3，as in Galkin－Soro－ kin，Russia Cup 1997，are very favour－ able for White．

19 axb5 axb5 20 皿e4（ $D$ ）


We are following the game Haba－ Marin，Budapest Z 1993，which con－ tinued 20 ．．．Efe8 21 畨d3 2 b 8 and now White＇s most effective way for－ ward is 22 cxd 4 ！金xb4 23 䜿d1 c6 24 \＆e3，when White＇s centre looks very powerful indeed．

## C）

## 9．．． 2 c 5

This is Black＇s most principled choice．He hopes to exploit White＇s temporary lack of control over d4 and push his d－pawn forward．

10 c 3 （D）
10．．．d4
This is the critical move，but there are many other choices here：
a）For $10 \ldots$ ．．．e 711 ＠c2 see Line B．
 is good for White since Black has

spent too many moves with his knight just to exchange it for an undeveloped piece．
c） $10 \ldots$ xb3 11 ©xb3 皿e7 12 ©fd4！ 0 xd 4 （snatching the pawn with 12．．．©xe5？！is too risky；after 13 \＃el Qg6 14 ©xe6 fxe6 15 ©d4 White had a strong initiative in G．Kuzmin－ Beliavsky，USSR 1977） 13 cxd4 0－0 14 £d2，followed by E 1，and White can attack down the half－open c－file．
d） $10 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 611$ 粃2 8 g 712 Qd4！ Qxd4（12．．．$勹 \mathrm{xe} 513 \mathrm{f} 4$ ©c4 14 f 5
 \＆c2 ©d3 18 \＆h6 led to a crushing win for White in Karpov－Korchnoi， Baguio Wch（8）1978） 13 cxd4 $\subseteq \mathrm{b} 7$
数f2 0－0 18 ©xd4 with an edge to White，Fishbein－Murey，Moscow 1989.
e） $10 \ldots$ eg 4 （this is the most im－ portant of Black＇s alternatives） 11 \＆c2 and now：
e1）11．．．\＆e7 transposes into Line $B$ on page 73.
e2） $11 \ldots$ ゆe6 12 Ee1 血c5 13 Øf1
 gave White a useful initiative in the
game Kovaliov－Kaidanov，Blagove－ shchensk 1988.
 again，12．．． e e 7 is Line B ，while in Leko－Anand，Linares 1999，Black tried another lunge with $12 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ，but was worse after 13 乌b3 dxc3 14
 14 a4 \＆e7 15 axb5 axb5 16 崰d3 and now Am．Rodriguez－Kharitonov，Bay－ amo 1989 continued 16．．．Ш̈b8？！ 17 0 fd 4 cxd 418 cxd 4 with a clear plus for White．Stronger is $16 \ldots$ ．．． 5 but af－
黄xd7＋ 0 xd 7 the bishop－pair grants White an edge．
e4） $11 \ldots . . d 4$ ！？led to an equal posi－ tion after 12 气b3 d3 13 \＆b1 wd5 14
 0－0－0 17 \＆e4 \＆$x f 318 \mathrm{gxf} 3$ 合xe5 in Leko－Anand，Tilburg 1998．This line needs further tests，perhaps with 12 h 3 or 12 Eel！？．

After 10．．．d4 White has two main alternatives：
C1： 11 Qg5！？ 76
C2： 11 ＠xe6 79
The former is the tactical option， whereas the latter leads to more posi－ tional play．

## C1）

11 Qg5！？（ $D$ ）
This staggering move，which sim－ ply leaves a knight en prise，is an invention of Karpov＇s trainer Igor Zai－ tsev．It caused a sensation when Kar－ pov unleashed it against Korchnoi in game 10 of their 1978 match．Now Black has：


C11：11．．．dxc3 77
C12：11．．．＠d5！？ 78
C13：11．．．整xg5 78

## C11）

11．．．dxc3
This move requires some heavy re－ pair－work after Kasparov＇s crushing win over Anand in their 1995 World Championship match．

12 包石 fxe6 13 bxc3 数d3 14全c2！

This move is the prelude to a spec－ tacular rook sacrifice．

## 

Black can decline the rook，but 15．．．Ed8 16 金d2 豆xd2 $17 \omega_{\mathrm{xd}}$ 公xe5
气b3 gave White the advantage in Khalifman－Hraček，Pärnu 1996.

16 金xb3 ©d4
After 16 ．．．寧xa1 White replies with 17 雷 $\mathrm{h} 5+$ ！and now：
a）17．．．dd7 18 这xe6＋dxe6 19







暑f4＋©
 and Black must give up a whole queen to avoid mate．
b） $17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 618 \mathrm{w} 3$ and now：
b1） $18 \ldots . .0-0-019$ wxe6 誛xe5 20嶫xa6＋官b8（or 20 ．．．曾d7 21 \＆b2！） 21 \＆e3 and White＇s attack is decisive．
b2） $18 \ldots$ ．．． 4419 新xa8＋df7 20
 wins the queen．
 （D）．

 e6＋，20．．．仓xe6 21 断xe6＋荲e7 22

 Tougher is $20 \ldots$ Eg7，but 21 \＆ 3 黄xf1＋ 22 dxf1 still leaves Black without a good defence．

Black＇s most resilient defence is

when White has many promising con－ tinuations，but I haven＇t yet found a clear win．

19 食h6 粠c3 20 自xg7楼d3 21




Kasparov－Anand，New York PCA Wch（10）1995．The endgame is win－ ning for White．

## C12）

11．．．ed5
This is a relatively new idea，found by the Bosnian grandmaster Ivan Sok－ olov．

12 公xf7！？
This flamboyant move is the criti－ cal test． 12 \＆xd5 数xd5 13 乌b3 0 xb 3 14 axb3 \＆e7 15 乌f3 d3 16 \＆e3 0－0 17是d4 ${ }^{\text {dfd }} 8$ was equal in Onishchuk－ I．Sokolov，Wijk aan Zee 1997，while aggressive moves such as 12 邫g 4 and 12 数h5 are worth investigating．

12．．．家xf7 13 娄 $\mathrm{f} 3+$ 丳e6（D）
14 © 4
The latest twist in this variation was seen in Svidler－Anand，Dos Hermanas


1999，where White came up with the
 and following $15 \ldots$ 显xe6 16 Eel
 ©d8 20 金d2！Black was in big trou－ ble，despite his extra piece．

$$
\text { 14... } 0 x b 3
$$

14．．．Dxe4 15 婠xe4 De7 16 f4
㮰4 gives White a strong attack for the sacrificed piece．

Not，however，16．．．\＆e8？ 17 e6！ \＆xe6 18 数xe6＋，which was winning for White in L．Dominguez－Rios，Cuba 1996.

17 e6 h6 18 学f7＋\＄h7 19 包5＋ hxg5 20 䉼h5＋蓖g8 21 断f7＋

With a draw by perpetual check． The last word has not been spoken on this line．

## C13）


Returning the piece is Black＇s best idea．Instead：
a） $12 \ldots$ ．．．d7 13 §d5 $\mathrm{Exd}^{\mathrm{d}} 14$

left Black in trouble in the game Brondum－Brinck－Claussen，Den－ mark 1979.

 Wh6 18 \＆f3！led to victory for White in Wolff－Flear，London 1990.





Black has two connected and ad－ vanced passed pawns for the piece．No real conclusion has been drawn as to whether this gives Black enough com－ pensation．

19．．．g6！？
The older line runs 19．．．\＆e7 20食b4 \＆f6 21 a4！bxa4（21．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ d 722 axb5 axb5 23 Еa6 c6 24 Ёd【xc6＋\＆d5 26 Exf6 きc4 27 Ed6！ gave White a winning position in Mc－ Donald－Morris，London 1994） 22 c6 d 323 Exa4 d2 24 Exa6 \＄b8 25 亿xd2

 some chances to make his extra pawn count，Ninov－Dimov，corr 1995.

20 昷b4审g721a4 家d7 22 axb5 axb5 23 をad1 登e6（ $D$ ）


This position was reached in the game Shirov－Timman，Wijk aan Zee 1996．Play continued 24 Efe $1+$ d d 5 25 \＆xc3 dic4 and Black was just holding on．

## C2）

## 11 是xe6

The safest continuation．After this move White can play for a small posi－ tional advantage．

11．．． 2 xe6 12 cxd4 2 exd4 13 a4！？
13 Qe4 is also seen quite often，but 13 a4 poses Black some serious ques－ tions and has achieved good practical results．

## 13．．．全e7

Black has two other sensible possi－ bilities：
a） $13 \ldots \mathrm{mb} 14 \mathrm{axb} 5 \mathrm{axb} 515$ Qe4
 Efxd1 Ed8 19 g 4 Exd1 +20 Exd1 ©h4 21 它xh4 \＆xh4 22 \＆e3 and White is ready to push on the kingside， Smirin－Hübner，Polanica Zdroj 1995.

 axb5 axb5 18 家g5！䒼xa1 19 \＆xd8 Exf1＋ 20 暯xf1 Exd8 21 g 3 was slightly better for White in Topalov－ Anand，Dos Hermanas 1996） 15 Dfg5 0xg5 16 定xg5 5 d7 and now instead of 17 axb5，as in the game Am．Rodri－ guez－Korneev，Barbera del Valles 1994，Rodriguez suggests 17 el 0－0 18 an！，planning to swing the rook into a kingside attack．

14 分xd4 $\triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4$
14．．．覀xd4 15 axb5 世xe5 16 bxa6 0－0 17 凿a4 leaves Black struggling to eliminate White＇s extra pawn，e．g．
 20 Qe4 气xe4 21 嵝xe4 and White is better，Topalov－Korchnoi，Madrid 1996.

15 De4 0－0
The stem game with 13 a 4 contin－ ued 15 ．．．De6？！16 全e3 0－0 17 f 4 精xd1 18 Еfxd1 Еfb8 19 تd7 and White converted his endgame advantage into
victory in Karpov－Korchnoi，Merano Wch（18） 1981.

16 axb5 气xb5 17 \＆e3 Wcs 18畨d5！？（D）


Now Chandler－Yusupov，Hastings 1989／90 continued 18 ．．．E日 8 ？ 19 数c6！ and Black was rather tied down． Yusupov suggests $18 \ldots$ ．．嶫f5 19 Dg3曹g6 as Black＇s best chance to equal－ ize．

## 8 The 8 a4 Anti-Marshall


 a4 (D)


When Vasily Ivanchuk lost a recent game as White in the Marshall Attack against the Dutchman Jan Timman, the Ukrainian grandmaster went as far as saying that 8 c 3 , allowing the Marshall ( $8 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ ), is a mistake, after which White has to fight for the draw! Of course this is a very over-the-top condemnation of 8 c 3 , but given that even the world's top players have trouble keeping up with the breakneck speed of Marshall theory, I'm quite pleased to be advocating an anti-Marshall system in this book!

With 8 a 4 White brings his al-rook into the game and immediately puts pressure on the b5-pawn. Thus Mar-shall-style gambits are more or less
avoided (Black can still try his luck, but by comparison to the real Marshall, ...d5 lines against a4 just don't have the same bite). In this chapter White develops in a more restrained fashion with d 3 and $\triangleq$ bd2-f1. Notice that White need not hurry to play c3, as ... $\triangle a 5$ can be answered by $\hat{\text { ea }} 2$, retaining the bishop along the a2-g8 diagonal. Because White does not play an early d4 advance, Black is under less immediate pressure in the centre. He can use this extra freedom to develop in a number of ways.

## The Lopez Knight Manoeuvre



The arrows show the typical paths available to the bl-knight. The importance of this plan cannot be overemphasized. When the knight reaches either e3 or g3, it not only influences
the struggle for the centre, it is also ready to hop into more advanced squares such as d 5 and f 5 . In conjunction with White's other pieces, this knight can help White set up attacks on the kingside. Once the knight has moved from d2 to f1, the dark-squared bishop is no longer blocked and is ready to join the action.

Black Plays ...b4


Here's a typical position that can arise if Black plays an early ...b4. White's last move was 10 a5!, fixing the a6-pawn and also isolating the one on b4. These weaknesses could prove important later on in the game. For example, White often plays $\hat{\text { ec }} 4$ to pressurize the a6-pawn. However, it's not all one-way traffic, as the pawn on a5 is a little weak in itself, and so it needs constant attention. Naturally, White can continue with the usual plan of Qbd2-fl, although the c4-square can also be a useful place for this knight. Later on, of course, White may proceed in the centre with c 3 and d4.

For Black's part, he must choose where to place his c8-bishop. The normal squares are e6, where it opposes the Lopez bishop, and $g 4$, pinning the knight. Black also strives to play the freeing ...d5 advance. If White answers this with exd5, then Black achieves the 'Little Centre', but in compensation White has possession of the c 4 outpost.

## Black Plays ...eb7

B


Here's a typical starting position for the 8 ... ${ }^{\text {eb }} \mathrm{b} 7$ line. White has already begun the Lopez knight manoeuvre and can hope to profit from the fact that Black's bishop is on b7 by using the f 5 outpost for this knight. In conjunction with the Lopez bishop, White can often build up a menacing attack on the kingside like this. Once again White will eventually step up the pressure in the centre with c3 and d4.

Black has many different ways to combat White's strategy. The first is to opt for the usual queenside counterplay with ... $\triangleq$ a5 and ...c5. In some
lines Black can attempt to block out the Lopez bishop with a timely ．．．c4． Together with this idea，Black can bolster his kingside with moves such as ．．．Ee8，．．．e．f8 and possibly ．．．h6 （preventing $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{g} 5$ ）．A different plan consists of ．．．Dd7－c5，putting pressure on b3 and a4，while allowing Black to overprotect d4 with ．．．ef6．

## The Theory of the 8 a4 Anti－Marshall

 4f650－0 \＆e76 Ee1 b5

For 6．．．d6 7 c 3 see Chapter 6，Line A．

## 7 全b30－0

For the main－line Closed Lopez starting with 7．．．d6，see Chapters 9－15． As well as $7 \ldots 0-0$ ，Black can prepare to play another Marshall－style gambit with 7 ．．． \＆b7，intending to meet 8 c 3 with 8 ．．．d5！？．After 7．．．\＆b7 $8 \mathrm{~d} 30-0$ White can transpose into the Anti－ Marshall with 9 a 4 ，but can also try an－ other approach with 9 ©c3 d6 10 a3！？． White preserves the bishop on the a2－ g8 diagonal and Black can no longer challenge it with ．．．ᄋe6．Meanwhile， the knight may go straight to d 5 ，or move to g 3 via e2（this line can be compared favourably to another anti－ Marshall which has become popular recently，that is $7 \ldots 0-08 \mathrm{~h} 3$ ！？息b79 d 3 d 610 a 3 ，as White hasn＇t expended a tempo on h3）．One possible continu－ ation after 10 a 3 runs $10 \ldots$. d4 11 Qxd4 exd4 12 Qe2c5 13 Qg3 d5 14 e5 ©e8 15 c3 f5（15．．．dxc3 16 bxc3 g6
$17 \mathrm{~d} 4 \Delta \mathrm{~g} 718$ 显h6 favoured White in Leko－Am．Rodriguez，Havana 1997） 16 cxd4 cxd4 17 楼f3 and Black＇s weak pawns give White a clear plus， Emms－Hebden，British Ch（Plymouth） 1992.
$8 \mathrm{a4}$（D）

B


There are three main possibilities： A：8．．．Ёb8 83
B：8．．．b4 84
C：8．．．eb7 85

I should say at this point that Black can also be really stubborn by continu－ ing in Marshall style with 8．．．d5？！，but it＇s quite clear that against this 8 a 4 is far more useful than 8 c 3 ．Most moves give White the advantage here． 9
 exd4 12 axb5 显b7 13 c 4 dxc 314 dxc 3
 Wxb5，with a clear extra pawn，de Firmian－I．Sokolov，Amsterdam 1996， looks convincing enough．

A）
8．．．Eb8

This is not a very common move． Black still harbours thoughts of play－ ing a Marshall Attack．However，the opening of the a－file means that White gets a far better version than normal．

9 axb5 axb5 10 c3 d5
After 10．．．d6，White need not pre－ pare the central advance with 11 h 3 ， but can go ahead immediately with 11 d4！．The point is that the standard re－ ply 11．．． ig 4 can be met by 12 d 5 ！， winning the knight．Black can ex－ change on d4 first，but after 11．．．exd4
 White＇s central control gives him an ideal position，Kindermann－Liubar－ sky，Hamburg 1993.
 Exe5 0 f 6 ！

The main＇Marshall＇move here would be 13．．．c6，but after 14 d 4 皿d6
 18 Whe the opening of the a－file gives White an important advantage over the normal Marshall lines．In particu－ lar，Black has to watch out for a timely Ea6，attacking the vulnerable c6－pawn．

14 d 4 今d6 15 Ё $\mathrm{e} 2!(D)$
15 Eel？gives Black unnecessary chances after $15 \ldots . .0$ g4！，e．g． 16 h 3


After 15 e2 2 Black has the follow－ ing possibilities：
a） $15 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ ？！ $16 乌 \mathrm{~d} 2$－b5 $17 \oslash \mathrm{f} 1$ and White is clearly better．
b）15．．．c5！？（Wolff－Fang，New York 1994）and now the simplest solution for White looks to be 16 dxc 5 ！？\＆xc5
 Ebc8 $20 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{~b} 421 \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{bxc} 322 \mathrm{bxc} 3$ ， when White has good chances to

convert the extra pawn into a full point．
c） $15 . .$. 乌h5 16 乌e3 宜b7（16．．．乌g4
 20 \＆f2！昷xg2 21 \＆xg2 wins for White） $17 \& \mathrm{~d} 2$ 数h4 $18 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 1$ and，with h2 safely protected，Black doesn＇t have enough play for the pawn．

## B）

## 8．．．b4

This move is much less popular than 8．．．\＆b7，but it＇s not easy for White to prove any advantage against it，as Black does remain very solid．

## 9 d3

9 d 4 is also possible，but the text－ move is the most popular．

9．．．d6 10 a5！
Preventing ．．．$\triangle 35$ and fixing the a6－pawn，which could become a weak－ ness later on．

10．．．害e6
A more ambitious alternative for Black is $10 \ldots$ 显g 4 ，preparing ．．． $2 \mathrm{~d}^{4}$ and ．．．d5．Now White has：
a） 11 Qbd2！？乌d4 12 \＆c4 c6 13 h3 $勹 x f 3+14 \triangleq x f 3$ \＆ 55 was played in

Hraček－I．Sokolov，Pärnu 1996 and now，according to Hraček，White can keep a small advantage with 15 ＠b3！？

b） 11 色 3 d 5120 bd 2 h 6 （threat－ ening ．．．d4） 13 h 3 and now $13 \ldots$ eh5
 is enough to give White a slight edge， while $13 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ！？ 14 hxg4 dxe3 15 fxe3

 White good attacking chances in T．Ravi－Chatterjee，Indian Ch（Kasar－ agod）1996．The bishop on d5 is a par－ ticularly strong piece．

11 Qbd2 $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{xb}} 3$

 exd4 16 ゆd5 $勹 x d 517$ exd5 $\AA d 718$ \＆ d 2 was clearly better for White in Kasparov－Short，London PCA Wch （1）1993．The a6－pawn here is a real li－ ability．Black can improve on this se－ quence with 13．．．exc4 but after 14 dxc4 the simple plan of ©e3－d5 still gives White an edge．

12 切 xb 3 d 5 ？（ D ）


This position occurred in Speel－ man－Smyslov，Biel IZ 1993．After 13
 \＆g3 ©xg3 17 hxg3 \＆f8 18 左d2嶫d6 Black had equalized．White has other tries，including 13 \＆g5，but in any case，this seems to be a relatively underrated line for Black．

## C）

## 8．．．ㅇㅇㄴ 7

The most natural and also the most popular choice．

9 d3
This move is all part of the re－ strained approach．If 9 c3 Black shouldn＇t hesitate to play in Marshall fashion with $9 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ ！．

After 9 d 3 we now have another major crossroads．Black can play：
C1：9．．．Ee8
86
C2：9．．．d6 86
Other moves pose fewer problems：
 dxc3 12 xxc3 b4 13 De2 and White will follow up with $\searrow \mathrm{g} 3$ ．
b） $9 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？！is far too optimistic． White simply grabs the pawn with 10 exd5，e．g． $10 \ldots$ ．．．d4 11 c4 $0 x b 312$
 White is clearly better，Tal－Kuzmin， USSR Ch 1972.
c） $9 \ldots \triangleq \mathrm{a} 5$ has the idea 10 ©xe5？ ©xb3 11 cxb 3 d 5 ！，when the centre opens up for the black bishops．How－ ever，there is no need to be so accom－ modating．The natural 10 昷a2！is far stronger．Following 10．．．d6 White can play 11 \＆d2！c5（after 11．．．©c6 I like 12 ©a3，when $12 \ldots$ bxa4 13 c 3 and

12．．．b4 13 ©c4 look promising） 12
 14 bxa6 Exa6 15 乌a3！幽xb2 16 显c4 Eaa8 17 \＃bl and the rook invades on the seventh rank，Matulovic－Velimir－ ović，Belgrade 1977） 14 Øbd2 数xb2
 and Black has big problems defending all of his light－squared weaknesses．

## C1）

9．．．Ee8
Delaying the advance of the d－ pawn，in the hope that it can later be moved to d5 in one go．

10 乌bd2！？
I should also mention that 10 c 3 promises White a small edge，e．g．
 13 昷a2d5 14 合g5 dxe4 15 dxe 4 光ad8 16 雷e2 h6 17 i． h 4 and the two bish－ ops are worth a slight pull，Hübner－ Zso．Polgar，Biel 1987.

## 10．．．ef8 11 c 3 h 6

Black has other options：
a） $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ transposes to Line C 2 ．
b） $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ is the most consistent follow－up to Black＇s play，but after 12 axb5 axb5 13 Exa8 \＆${ }^{\text {ex }} 814$ exd5 nei－ ther $14 \ldots$ ．．．xd5 15 d 4 ！nor $14 \ldots$ ．．． 15 Exe5 Exe5 16 ©xe5 looks satisfac－ tory for Black．

## 12 里a2！

A very subtle move．The main point is that it eliminates ideas of a tempo－ gaining ．．．

## 12．．．d6

Finally settling for just the one square forward．Lunging with 12 ．．．d5 only runs into trouble after 13 exd5 ©xd5 14 d 4 ！．

## 13 ©h4！wiv

Black can also prepare ．．．d5 with 13．．． De7！？，but after 14 （Df1！d5 15
 White＇s kingside attack is starting to become quite menacing．
 （D）


This position was reached in Kas－ parov－Short，London PCA Wch（3） 1993．White has a small but persistent advantage．At the moment Black＇s po－ sition looks quite secure，but White possesses the latent power of the bishop－pair，which will become more important as the position opens up．

## C2）

## 9．．．d6

The most popular move．

## 10 Obd2（D）

10 ec3 is the old main line，but Kasparov was successful with 102 bd 2 in his World Championship match against Short，and since then other top players have followed his lead．White delays the c 3 advance so that he can

complete the knight manoeuvre more quickly．

Once again Black has a choice of moves：
C21：10．．． 5 d7 87
C22：10．．． Ua5 $^{2} 88$
Other，less important，tries for Black are：
a） $10 \ldots .0 \mathrm{~b} 4!$ ？was played once by Hebden，who is an expert on the black side of the Anti－Marshall．After 11 Dfl c5，as played in Nunn－Hebden， Hastings 1993／4，I like the move 12 \＆．d2！，e．g．12．．．2c6 13 气e3c4 14 \＆．a2 and Black will have problems main－ taining the blocking pawn at c 4 ，while the knight is ready to hop into f5．
b） $10 \ldots$ ．．ee 11 c 3 h 6 （for $11 \ldots$ Da5 12 \＆a2 c5 see Line C22） 12 © 1 \＆f8 13 Qe3 2 e 7 ！？ $14 \varrho_{\mathrm{h} 4}$ Wdy and now， instead of 15 h 3 ，as in Waters－Hebden， British League（4NCL）1997／8，I pre－ fer 15 Qef5！，planning Wiw，with a powerful attack．

## C21）

$10 \ldots$ ．． $2 \mathrm{~d} 711 \mathrm{c3}$ 乌c5 $12 \mathrm{axb5} \mathrm{axb5}$

12．．．©xb3？ 13 bxc6！§xa1 14 cxb7 Eb8 15 刿4 wins material for White． 13 Exa8 \＆ \＆$^{\text {xa8 }}$
 15 b 4 乌e6 16 乌f1 g617 むe3 血g7 18
 while Kasparov gives $14 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 415 \mathrm{~d} 4$
 for White．

## 14是c2 宣f6

Continuing to strong－point the e5－ square and preventing White＇s d 4 ad－ vance．Opening the position with 14 ．．d5？is an instructive mistake．Af－ ter 15 exd5 楼xd5 16 d 4 ！exd4 17 cxd4 Dd7 18 会e4 Wd6 19 wiv2 White wins material following either $19 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~b} 420$全xh7＋dit8 21 Wif5 or 19．．．h6 20是xc6！會xc6 21 区xe7．

## 15 b4 De6 16 ゆf1 全b7

Black plans to bring the bishop back into play via c8．Both $16 \ldots . \mathrm{e}^{2}$ 17 它3 0 g 618 多4 and 16．．．d5！？ 17
 to alter the general assessment of the position．



This position was reached in Kas－ parov－Short，London PCA Wch（7） 1993．Kasparov kept an edge with 19 h4！？，planning to soften up the black kingside with h 5 ．In his notes to the game，however，Kasparov felt that 19 ©c2！，planning d4，was even stronger．

## C22）

10．．．乌a5 11 昷a2c5 12 Øf1
12 c 3 is also important due to trans－ positions．Following 12．．．巴e8 13 Df1 we have：
a） $13 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ！？ 14 昷g5 h6 15 宜xf6昷xf6 16 Øe3 ${ }^{\text {E．c8 }} 17$ axb5 axb5 18 dxc 4 佱4 19 显xc4 bxc4 20 d 2显g5 21 Dexc4 d5 and the bishop－pair gives Black some compensation for the pawn，Klinger－Nunn，Biel 1986.
b） $13 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 614 \mathrm{e} 3$ and now：
b1） $14 \ldots$ \＆f8 15 b4 cxb4 16 cxb4

 22 蓸xd7 ⿷xd7 and now 23 是xb7 Exb7 was equal in Kindermann－ Adams，Garmisch 1994，but 23 是c4！ keeps pressure on the black position．
b2） $14 \ldots .$. 暑d7 15 b4 cxb4 16 cxb4 Qc6 17 昷d2 昷f8 18 Dd5（ 18 axb5！？ axb5 19 ©d5 Qxd5 $^{20}$ 是xd5 Exa1 21
 edge）18．．．$\triangleq x d 519$ 是xd5 0 e 720
 23 ©xd4 $\Delta g 6$ with equality，Oni－ shchuk－Adams，Bundesliga 1996／7．

12．．．b4 13 ゆe3 全c8

This line can be compared quite fa－ vourably with $10 \mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 气a5 11 皿a2b4
 15 Ee3 \＆e6，as played in Anand－ Short，Amsterdam 1992．Here White is two tempi ahead，having taken only three moves to plant the knight on e3． In a semi－closed position the loss of time is not a disaster for Black，but it＇s still enough to give White a persistent edge．

14 c 3 を゙b8（ $D$ ）


Now 15 cxb 4 Еxb4 16 全d2 ${ }^{\text {Eu }} 88$ 17 皿c3 0 c 618 Dd2 昷e6 was equal in Ivkov－Xie Jun，Vienna 1993，so maybe White should try 15 ©c4！？， e．g．15．．．$\triangle x$ x4（ $15 \ldots$ ．．．
 ©g5 and the e6－pawn drops） 16 显xc4 a5 17 d 4 bxc3 18 bxc3 cxd4 19 cxd4曾 $\mathrm{b} 720 \mathrm{d5}$ and White keeps a small advantage．

## 9 Ideas in the Closed Lopez

Before moving on to the individual variations of the Closed Lopez, we should take a brief look at some of the more important positional ideas for both White and Black. Although the variations can lead to a diversity of different types of positions, there are still many familiar motifs running through all the lines.

## The Lopez Knight Manoeuvre



The above position is from the Smyslov Variation (9...h6). Without a doubt White's most popular continuation here is to play 11 Dbd2!, followed by $12 \sum_{\mathrm{fl}}$ and $13 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3$. We have seen this before, and we'll see it again! On g3 the knight gives valuable support to the e4-pawn and is ready to assist in a later kingside assault by moving to f5.


This position can arise from the Chigorin Defence. Here White plays 15 ©e3!, protecting the c 2 -bishop and eyeing the important f 5 and d 5 posts.

## Black's Offside Knight

The problem of the offside knight arises chiefly in the Chigorin Defence (9...Da5). Black originally plays the move to gain a tempo on the Lopez bishop and to enable him to make the advance ...c5. However, Black often has to spend several tempi reintroducing the knight into the action. Worse still, the knight may become a real liability, achieving nothing in itself and also getting in the way of Black's other pieces. White can sometimes invest lots of time just to make sure the knight remains inactive. Here's an instructive example of White adopting this policy.


## Geller - Mecking

Palma de Mallorca IZ 1970

Here Geller started the restraint procedure with 14 b 3 !, not allowing the a5-knight to jump into c 4 once the d2-knight has moved to f1. After 14...今d7 15 Df1 Mecking tried to activate his knight another way with $15 . . . \triangle \mathrm{b} 716$ g 3 c 4 , only to be foiled again by the excellent move 17 b4! (D).


Now the knight remains a miserable piece on b7. Its only route to any
activity would be via d8 to f 7 , but to do this Black would have to move his f6-knight and his f7-pawn, so this manoeuvre needs lots of preparation and is rather cumbersome.

The knight can remain a liability right into the endgame. Here's a particularly shocking example.


Emms - Mirallès
Andorra Z 1998

Not only does the black knight have no future, it also has to be protected by Black's only other piece. After 44
 opponent found no reason to continue the struggle.

## White Uses the a-file

After an initial a4 advance, White can sometimes benefit by a direct assault down the a-file. This can be particularly effective if the position remains blocked elsewhere.

The position on the following page arose from the Smyslov Variation. Here the computer shows it has positional


Deep Blue－Kasparov
New York（2） 1997
subtlety to go with its awesome calcu－ lating ability．

## 24 Еa3！Eec8 25 － ca 1 ！

Black will always have to be con－ cerned about White opening the a－file and penetrating with his rooks．Even Kasparov could not come up with a suitable defensive plan．
 28 岩f1 De8 29 数2 0 d 630 金b6皆e8 31 E3a2 宣e7 32 金c5 昷f8 33
 36 axb5 axb5 37 昷e4 Exa2 38 曹xa2数d7 39 娄a7 Ec7 40 誊b6

The computer has comfortably ar－ rived at an overwhelming position．

The following position arose from the Chigorin Defence．Black would like to relieve the pressure by multiple exchanges down the a－file．Karpov came up with an inspired way to pre－ vent this．After the clever blocking move 24 \＆ $\mathbf{e}$ ！ ！Black remains forever cramped and White can build up on


Karpov－Unzicker Nice OL 1974
the a－file at leisure．The rest of the game is quite instructive，with Karpov combining potential threats down the a－file with opening another attacking front on the kingside．After 24．．．$D$ e8

 f6 31 f 5 g 532 皿c2 金f7 33 Qg 0 b7


 Wh5 ©d8 43 畨g6 崽f8 44 ©h5 Unzicker threw in the towel．Black＇s position at the end is a rather sorry sight！

## Black Reinforces the e5－pawn

The theoretical position at the top of the following page arises in the Zaitsev Variation．Black＇s most popu－ lar continuation here is $\mathbf{1 0}$ ．．．Ee8！ 11 Qbd2 9 ．f8．Now the e5－pawn has added protection and the black rook also bears down on White＇s e4－pawn． The f8－bishop may be reintroduced

via a fianchetto with ...g6, which would help to bolster Black's king position. Black also often plays ...h6, to prevent any annoying $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ and 0 g 5 ideas from White.


In the Karpov Variation Black reinforces e5 in a different way. After $9 . .0 \mathrm{~d} 710 \mathrm{~d} 4$ 显f6 it's the darksquared bishop that does the work, defending e5 and also putting pressure on the d4-pawn, thus attempting to resolve the tension in the centre. White may relieve the pressure by playing d5.

Black Breaks with ...d5
Not a common occurrence, but if White neglects to keep sufficient control over the central squares then Black can sometimes react aggressively.


Smirin - Beliavsky USSR Ch(Odessa) 1989

Here White has gone for a crude kingside attack. Beliavsky retaliated in classical style with 18...d5! 19 exd5 exd4 20 ©xd4 (20 h5! is more consis-
㛈c6 and Black went on to win in fine style.

## White Plays an Early d5

This idea (see diagram on following page) is frequently seen in our study of the Zaitsev and Karpov systems. White deliberately blocks the centre, leading to a phase of slow manoeuvring. Just concentrating on the pawn breaks, White may try a4, increasing the pressure on Black's queenside pawns. Black's two possible pawn breaks are ...c6 and ...f5. The former is

by far the most popular option，as it＇s usually far easier to carry out．

Black Breaks with ．．．c6

W


Here＇s a typical position，which could arise after Black answers White＇s early d5 with ．．．c6 and White ex－ changes on c6．The following play is based very much around the central squares，and in particular，the e4－and d 5 －squares．White will attempt to dominate the d 5 －square with moves such as 愠b3，金g5，De3 and even Q3h2－g4．Black meanwhile will also
try to control d 5 ，and at the same time keep White busy defending the e4－ pawn．This can be achieved by moves such as ．．．包c5／b6，．．．Wc8／c7－b7 and ．．．h6（preventing 是g5）．If Black gains enough control over d5，he may con－ template opening the position with ．．．d5．On one hand this liberates the bishop on f 8 ，but on the other hand it also frees White＇s c2－bishop．

Often after White plays d5，the tim－ ing of Black＇s response ．．．c6 is crucial．


Short－Timman<br>El Escorial Ct（10） 1993

Here Black is in a prime position to play 15 ．．．c6 and in fact this is the theo－ retical move．Instead Timman delayed

昷g721 icc1．Here Black should prob－ ably continue with $21 \ldots$ a4．After Tim－ man＇s 21．．．c6？，however，Short showed he was ready for the break．The game continued 22 b4！axb4 23 cxb4 $\triangleq \mathbf{D} 4$


 White had won the battle of the central squares. Following 31...h4? 32 Ef 3 !
 Exg6+ Short won a pawn and very soon the game.

## Black Plays ...c5



This important advance is mainly seen in the Chigorin and Breyer Variations. Black simultaneously exerts more pressure on the d4-pawn and harmonizes his own pawn-chain, making more space for his pieces to move from the kingside to the queenside and vice-versa. Apart from keeping the tension, there are two possible ways that White can react to this advance. He can exchange with dxe5 or dxc 5 or he can push with d 5 .

White Exchanges on c5 or e5
This particular strategy was a favourite of Bobby Fischer's. After the exchange of pawns Black has just as much space as White, but White can hope to profit by making use of the

d5-outpost. This plan can prove very powerful if Black does not respond precisely.


Fischer - Keres
Curaçao Ct 1962

In this position 13...f6 is probably Black's best move. Instead Keres played the plausible 13... 巣c7? and following

 19 Qxe5 the potential in White's position was released. Following 19...Ea7 20 是f4 Wb6 21 Ead1 Fischer had
built up an irresistible attack, against which the Estonian grandmaster was unable to defend.

W


Fischer - Kholmov
Havana 1965

Here Fischer's opponent is better prepared to defend the d 5 -square. Af-
 doubled e-pawns protect some vital squares and give Black a fully equal position.

## White Pushes with d5

By waiting for Black to play ...c5 and only then advancing with d 5 , White avoids the possibility of an attack at the head of the pawn-chain with ...c6. Play now revolves around various subtle piece manoeuvres and pawn moves. For example, Black will often attempt to play ...c4, in order to obtain a post for a knight on c 5 , which from there could even hop into the d3-square.


White may try to deny Black this idea with an earlier b3, planning to answer ...c4 with b4. As we saw earlier, this can be a particularly effective plan if Black has a knight on b7.

As we have seen before, White may also attack on the queenside with a4. If Black answers this with ...b4, then White can either exchange on b4 and try to take control of the c-file, or else block the queenside completely with c4 and turn his attention to the other wing.

As far as play on the kingside goes, Black can attack the base of the pawn-chain with ...f5, although usually this requires a great deal of preparation. Likewise, White may himself attack with f4. If Black exchanges with ...exf4, then he obtains the e5square as an outpost, but the backward d6-pawn could be subject to attack. If Black has pushed with ...c4, then White would also have use of the d4 outpost.

## 10 Rare Closed Lopez Variations


 c3（D）


8．．．0－0
Black can aim immediately for a Chigorin set－up after 8．．．Da5 9 \＆\＆c2 c5，but the drawback of this move－ order is that Black has less pressure on d 4 ，so White can do without the usual h3．After 10 d 4 ！畨c7 11 a4！b4（if 11．．．تّb8， 12 axb5 axb5 13 dxe5 dxe5 14 ©xe5！wins a pawn，while $11 \ldots 0-0$ 12 axb5 axb5 13 b4 wins even more） 12 cxb4 cxb4 13 bbd2 0－0 14 b3 酉g 4 15 \＆b2 ©c6 16 h3 \＆xf3 17 化f3， White has a very pleasant position．

9 h3
This modest move is an important link in White＇s plans，and has been
considered the main line for a very long time．White rules out the pinning move that would be effective after 9 d4，viz．9．．．dg4！（although this re－ mains a playable line for White）．

In this chapter we will deal with two of Black＇s less popular replies to 9 h3：
A：9．．．a5 96
B：9．．．皿e6 97
Note that $9 \ldots$ ．．．e8 is also possible，as after 10 d 4 皿b7 we have reached the Zaitsev Variation（see Chapter 12）．

A）
9．．．a5（D）


This unusual move was briefly pop－ ular in the late 1980 s．Black is ready to
exchange the Lopez bishop if White carries on as normal with 10 d 4 ，but White can keep the advantage by play－ ing in a more restrained manner．

## 10 d 3

10 d 4 allows Black to carry out his idea．After 10．．．exd4！ 11 cxd4 a4 12
 the position is roughly level，Kronig－ Gostiša，Finkenstein 1994.

$$
\text { 10...a4 } 11 \text { \&c2 (D) }
$$



11．．．ed7
Black has to be careful with his far－flung a－pawn．After the casual 11．．．Ee8 Black is punished by 12 c 4 ！， when suddenly Black is in trouble，e．g． 12．．．bxc4 13 血xa4 㐌b7 14 dxc 4 or 12．．．2b4 13 cxb 5 公xc2 14 挡xc2 点d7 15 dc 3 ．In either case White is a pawn to the good．

## 12 Dbd2 Ee8

12．．．Wb8？is too slow．After 13 d 4

 19 e5 White had a very potent attack in Ehlvest－P．Nikolić，Reykjavik 1988.

## 13 2f1 h6

White also keeps an advantage after



14a3 ©a515 0 g 3 \＆f8 16 ゆh2d5 17 exd5 $\triangleq \mathrm{xd5} 18$ 乌g（ D ）


Smagin－Hebden，Hastings Masters 1990 continued 18．．．金xg4 19 宸xg4 Еa6 20 曾d2 ©f6 21 类 $f 3$ Е゙ae6 22 Eadl with a small plus for White．

## B）

9．．．国e6
Black offers the exchange of the light－squared bishops，hoping that this will reduce White＇s attacking capabil－ ities．

10 d 4 皿xb3 11 axb3 exd4
This is the modern way to play the position．Older tries grant White too much space，e．g．：
a） $11 \ldots . \mathrm{e} 812 \mathrm{~d} 5 \triangle \mathrm{~b} 813 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 614$
 Qbd2 was better for White in Psa－ khis－Smagin，Protvino 1988.
b） $11 \ldots$ 曹d7 12 d 5 d8 13 c 4 c 614
 ©c6 17 \＆d2 and Black has various
weaknesses，Aronin－Kholmov，USSR Ch 1957.

## 12 exd4 d5 13 e5 ©e4

After 13．．．dd7 the spearhead e5－ pawn gives White an excellent attack－ ing platform．Smirin－Stempin，Polanica Zdroj 1989 went 14 ©c3 Db4 15 包2

当g6 with a decisive attack．

## 14 ©c3 55

14．．．\＆b4？runs into 15 公xe4！是xe1
 Qxg5 g6 19 岂xc6 曾b4 20 e 6 ！，when Black is in big trouble．

## 15 exf6 ${ }^{\text {exf6 }}$

This pawn sacrifice is Black＇s sharp－ est try．15．．．©xf6 16 ＠g5 gives White a safe advantage，with Black having many weak squares on the c －and e－ files．

16 0xe4 dxe4 17 送xe4 类d5 18

## Eg4 h5

Other moves include：
 c5 21 U U U 3 and White is just a clear pawn up，J．Howell－Bjornsson，Reyk－ javik 1990.
 h5（ $20 . .$. 皿xg5 21 Exg5 h6 22 Eg4 is better for White） 21 Ef4 \＆ \＆$x 522$

 allows Black a neat trick leading to a



but 26 h 1 ！leaves Black just a pawn down．

19 Еี4
 is met by 21 gig ！） 21 wah5 leaves Black with no good defence．

20 定 3 Qb4
 winning back the exchange with an－ other pawn to boot．



Black＇s weak kingside offers White very good chances，e．g．：
a） $22 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 623$ Еg 5 ！．


 on to win this endgame in Anand－ Adams，Linares PCA Ct（3） 1994.
c） $22 \ldots$ c 2 ！？（probably best） 23
 and White＇s active rooks give him the advantage．

## 11 The Karpov Variation


 c30-09h3 0 d 7 ( $D$ )


This move has been known for a long time and was recently brought back into the limelight when it was employed four times by Anatoly Karpov in his 1990 World Championship match with Kasparov. One of Black's main ideas is to support the e5-pawn with ...ef6, which at the same time puts pressure on White's d4-pawn. Meanwhile the d7-knight may move to b6, where it discourages White from playing a4 and may help Black to start operations on the queenside.

10 d 4
Now we will look at two continuations for Black:
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { A: } & 10 \ldots \text {...b6 } & 99 \\ \text { B: } & 10 \ldots \text {...f6 } & 101\end{array}$
A)
10... Db6(D)


Championed by Borislav Ivkov, this move can also be found in the games of Boris Spassky, and, more recently, Michael Adams. By immediately transferring his king's knight to the queenside, Black dissuades White from playing the a4 break. Moreover, Black is now ready to start operations himself on this wing. On the other hand, with both knights on the queenside, Black's king has less defensive cover, and therefore White should concentrate his forces on the relatively undefended kingside.

## 11 Qbd2

At this point Black has two major options:
A1: 11...exd4 100
A2: 11...ef6 101

## A1）

## 11．．．exd4

With this move Black gives up his foothold in the centre in exchange for immediate queenside counterplay．Play can now become extremely sharp．

## 12 cxd4 0 b

12．．．d5 is not so effective．After 13
目g5 White offers an exchange of bishops that would highlight Black＇s problems on the dark squares，Fischer－ Reshevsky，Santa Monica 1966.

A more recent（and perhaps more critical）try is $15 \ldots c 4$ ！？ 16 昷c2 d5，ob－ taining a serious clamp on the queen－ side．This puts some pressure on White，who cannot afford to delay ac－ tions on the kingside．Topalov－Peng Xiaomin，Elista OL 1998 continued
 20 0xc6 宸xc6 21 宜h6 and we are in the middle of a typical asymmetrical battle．

## 16 昷c2 2 bc4 17 臽c1

At the moment it looks as if the black knights are having more fun than the white bishops，but in reality the knights are struggling to find suit－ able outposts and White is ready to kick one out with a timely b3．

## 17．．．cxd4 18 ©xd4 皿f6 19 びb1

Elegant prophylaxis．After the im－ mediate 19 b3，19．．．㟶b6！puts White in a rather awkward situation．

After the text－move，White is ready to meet $19 . .$. 㥩b6 with 20 f3，e．g． 20．．．䖲b7 21 b3 De5 22 皿e3 Wc7 23 ©d4！घac8 $24 \Delta_{\mathrm{g}} 3$ घfe $825 \Xi_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ and the white pieces are more alive．

## 

 22 㟶g4（D）22 Wxd5 is also not bad，but it seems sensible to keep the queens on the board when there are so many at－ tacking possibilities．


Looking at the diagram，we can see that both sides can boast about dy－ namic piece－play，but the key here is the position of the knights．Since White will be attacking the black king with queen，rook and all four of his minor pieces，Black will sorely miss the defensive powers of his own knights，the ones grazing on the queen－ side．Adams－Ivkov，French Cht 1991 proves the point quite effectively．Af－
 25 f 4 全 $\mathrm{d} 4+26$ 首h2 ©c6（the offside knight hurries back to the action，but it＇s too late for Black＇s beleaguered defences） 27 覲 $b 1 \mathrm{~g} 628$ \＆ e f6！White＇s kingside attack quickly became quite overwhelming．

Black has to look for alternatives here and a good start would be with 15．．．c4！？．

## A2）

## 11．．．是f6

A much more solid continuation than 11．．．exd4．Now that Black has prevented a4，he sets about bolstering the kingside and putting pressure on the d4－pawn．

12 Øf1 Ee8 13 （1h2！
I like this move．From h2 the knight is ready to hop to g 4 ，when Black will be forced to concede one of his bish－
 is hardly appealing，Black is forced into immediate central action．

13．．．exd4 14 cxd4 ©a5 15 苗c2 c5 16 b3
$16 乌 g 4$ is also good，e．g．16．．．exg4 17 hxg 4 cxd 418 g 5 㿾e5 19 ©xd4 g6 20 f 4 宜g7（Smirin－Balashov，USSR Ch （Odessa）1989）and now I approve of the simple 21 b 3 ，intending 昷b2．

16．．．Ec6
16．．．cxd4 17 ©xd4 d5 can be an－ swered effectively by 18 e5！血xe5 19
 when White has virtually a free hand on the kingside．Notice how redundant the black knights are looking－it sure is a long way back to the other wing．

17 嗢 $\mathbf{b}$（ $D$ ）
White is all set up for some weighty central thrusting．All lines give White at least a small advantage，e．g．：
a） $17 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 4 \quad 18 \quad \mathrm{xd} 44 \mathrm{xd} 419$
 and the isolated d6－pawn will soon come under heavy fire．
b） $17 \ldots . . \mathrm{g} 618 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{dxe} 519 \mathrm{dxc} 5 \mathrm{~d} 7$ 20 \＆ 4 4 \＆ 721 b4 and，amongst other things，the protected passed pawn on c5 causes Black major problems．

c） $17 . .$. 름？？ 18 e5！dxe5 19 dxc 5 Qd5 20 Qg4 莤xg4 21 hxg 4 g 622 Qd2 $\Delta \mathrm{db} 423$ 包 $4 \Delta \mathrm{xc} 224$ 䊓xc2 and White＇s pieces are more harmoni－ ously placed，Popović－Salov，Manila IZ 1990.

## B）

## 10．．．昷f6

The main move．Black immediately bolsters the e5－pawn and at the same time exerts pressure on d 4 ．Indeed， normal development with 11 Dbd2？ would now simply lose a pawn．

11 a 4 ！（D）

B


Immediately putting the question to Black about what to do with the b－ pawn．There are three possible an－ swers：
B1：11．．．${ }^{\text {\＃̈b }} 102$
B2：11．．． صa $^{5} 102$
B3：11．．．金b7 103
B1）

## 11．．．玉b8

Protecting the b5－pawn，but giving up the a－file．This move is not seen very often，but it＇s not bad，just a little passive．

12 axb5 axb5（ $D$ ）

W


## 13 膃 $e 3$

13 d 5 is also possible，e．g．13．．．De7 $14 \triangleq \mathrm{bd} 2 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 6$（14．．．c6？！is too early， as after 15 dxc Qxc6 16 Df1 Dc 517 \＆d5 De7 18 Qe3 the d5－square is firmly in White＇s possession；how－ ever， $14 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 5!$ ？ 15 \＆ c 2 b 4 or simply $14 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ ！？is worth a look） $15 \sum_{\mathrm{f} 1} \mathrm{Dh} 4$ $16 \triangleq 1 \mathrm{~h} 2 \omega \mathrm{xf} 3+17 \triangleq \mathrm{xf} 3$ and White has a characteristic space dominance， J．Polgar－Van der Sterren，Wijk aan Zee 1990.

13．．．もe7 14 Qbd2 全b7 15 囱c2 Ea8 16 䐗e2 c6 17 dxe5 dxe5 18 b4畨c7 19 ©b3 ©g6 20 ゆa5 \＆a6 21是d3（D）


Ki．Georgiev－Karpov，Reggio Emi－ lia 1989／90．White＇s position is easier to play，and Black must be wary of the cramping effect of the white knight on a5．Trying to break out with $21 \ldots$ Df 4 backfires after 22 exf4 exf4 23 e5！ Efe8 24 We4，hitting h7 and c6．

B2）

Black＇s problem is that the natural 12．．．c5 runs into 13 axb5 axb5 14 b4， exploiting the pin on the knight and winning a piece．Also good for White is 12 ．．．．eb7 13 d5 \＆c4 14 b3 Qcb6 15 a5 0 cc 816 b 4 c 617 dxc 6 ＠xc6 18 Qbd2，when Black＇s numerous knight moves have just left the knights on very passive squares，Balashov－Furman， USSR Ch 1976.

The text－move deals with White＇s threat of 13 axb5，but allows White some queenside expansion．

13 b4！Dac4 14 a5 ©d7 15 宜b3 exd4 16 cxd4 c5 17 皿 $44!$ cxb4

Play now becomes very sharp，but the two central pawns give White the urump cards．17．．．cxd4 18 昷xc4 bxc4
 good for White according to Fischer． After 21．．．c3 22 en the black pawns drop off．

18 ©bd2 d5
After $18 \ldots$. xa5 the simple 19 皿xd6 looks good，while White can also con－ sider 19 Exa5！？当xa5 20 是xd6，when Black is faced with an awesome at－ tack，for example 20．．．Ee8 21 e 5 点 e 7 22 e6！．

数8

The stem game in this line was Fischer－Matanović，Vinkovci 1968， when Black played $23 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\prime \prime} \times 7$ ，but af－


 d－pawn was just too strong．
24 e4 a5（D）


Black has three dangerous－looking passed pawns on the queenside，but even so，White＇s active pieces and central dominance are the most impor－ tant factors．Fischer gave 25 dd6 a4！
是d7 with equality，but in Chandler－ P．Nikolić，Linares 1988，White came up with the powerful novelty 25 䁬d3！． Now lines such as 25 ．．．是b7 26 管xb5 and 25 ．．．©a6 26 \＆xa5 just go to show what a bind Black finds himself in． Nikolić tried $25 \ldots$ ．．．a4，but following 26
 29 当f5 White＇s initiative proved too much．Black urgently requires some－ thing new here；otherwise $11 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{a} 5$ looks distinctly unplayable．

## B3）

## 11．．．蒖b7

The most popular reply．Black de－ velops and connects his queen and rook．White＇s basic plan is to assault the b5－pawn，but first he must choose whether to close the centre or keep a fluid structure．
B31： 12 d5 103
B32： 12 乞a3 104
B31）
12 d 5 e 7
12．．．ゆa5 13 昷c2 transposes to Line B2，note to Black＇s 12 th move．

13 axb5 axb5 14 区xa8 宸xa8
This is the most natural recapture． After 14．．．exa8 15 Da3 Black has problems keeping hold of the b5－pawn， e．g．：
a） $15 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {U }} \mathrm{b} 816 \mathrm{xb} 5$ ！ c 6 （the none too subtle point behind White＇s last
move is $16 \ldots$ 鄱xb5 17 血a4！，while
皿a2 cxd5 20 exd5 left Black without enough compensation for the pawn in Mokry－Votava，Czech Cht 1994／5） 17 dxc6 \＆xc6．This was seen in Kinder－ mann－Sturua，Biel 1991 and after 18
 21 ©c4 e4 22 2d4 \＆a8 Black had some（although probably not enough） compensation for the pawn．It also has to be mentioned that 18 xd6 looks good，as after 18．．． 0 c5 19 最xf7＋！ Exf7 20 ©xf7 22 \＆xg5 White＇s rook and three pawns should be stronger than the two minor pieces．It＇s true that Black can snatch a pawn back with $22 \ldots$ ．．． 2 xe4， but then 23 是xe7 ${ }^{\text {exe }} 724$ 学h5！ looks very dangerous．
b） $15 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 516$ 最c2 c6 17 b 4 Da 6 18 dxc6 苗xc6 19 峟d3 ©c7（White can meet $19 \ldots$ ．．． W b 8 with 20 Qd4！exd4
 Wived3 and White can attack the weak pawns on b5 and d6，Hübner－Short， Skellefteå World Cup 1989.

White can also begin kingside ma－ noeuvres with $16 \circlearrowright$ h2，e．g．16．．．Dg6

 with an edge to White，Popovic－Blag－ ojević，Nikšić 1997.

## 16．．．2c5

Sensibly kicking the bishop back to c2．Breaking with 16 ．．．c6？is a move too early，as after 17 dxc6 lexc6 18 Dc2！Black will be embarrassed by Qb4．

17 县2c6（D）


Black has achieved the desired ．．．c6 break，but once again this only signals the start of the real middlegame ac－ tion．

18 b4 ©d7 19 c4！？bxc4 20 \＆． 4
Svidler－Gabriel，Bad Homburg 1998 now continued 20．．．宜b7？！ 21 ©xc4 cxd5 22 0xd6 dxe4 23 亿h2 and White built up a dangerous initiative （Svidler also gives $230 \times \mathrm{xb} 7$ 楮xb7 24
 when White＇s passed b－pawn provides him with excellent winning chances in the endgame）．

According to Svidler，Black should sacrifice a piece with $20 \ldots$ ．．cxd5！ 21 \＄xd7 d4！，when 22 㑒c1 c3 23 b5最b7 leaves Black with an impressive pawn phalanx，while bailing out with 22 0xd4！？exd4 23 \＆xd4 是xd4 24
 Wxb4 27 告 cl leads to equality．

## B32）

### 12.53

This move became popular after Kasparov chose it in his 1990 World Championship clash with Karpov．By
refusing to close the centre immedi－ ately，White keeps more options open， although Black too has more ways to generate counterplay．

12．．．exd4
$12 . . .2 \mathrm{~b} 6$ has been played a few times，chiefly by Varavin．The idea is that after 13 d5 ©e7 14 axb5 axb5 15昷e3 Black sacrifices a pawn with 15．．．c6！？．It seems to me that Black has reasonable play after 16 dxc 6 全xc6 17 是xb6 暑xb6 18 㟶xd6 Efd8 19 Wb4 Wb7，and certainly Varavin has repeated this line on several occa－ sions，so it must be treated with some respect．In Dolmatov－Varavin，Rus－ sian Ch 1996，White tried an interest－ ing new approach with 16 we2！？， refusing the pawn and adopting a more strategic plan．The immediate idea is that $16 \ldots$ cxd5？can be an－ swered by the powerful 17 嵝xb5．In－ stead，the game continued 16．．．ひّb8 17 dxc6 囱xc6 18 Ead1 and Black had some problems dealing with the sim－ ple plan of ©c2－b4．After 18．．．Da4？
 the weakness of the black pawns was becoming more and more apparent． Wedberg suggests $18 . . .2$ bc8，protect－ ing b5 and d6，as an improvement．but after 19 昷c5！敝c7 20 金b4 Ed8 Black is somewhat restricted．

13 cxd4（D）
13．．．Ee8
Black continues to put pressure on both centre pawns．Other lines in－ clude：
a）13．．．ゆb6？！ 14 乌f4！bxa4 15
 18 d5 ©b4 19 宣xb4 axb4 20 菷xb4


Eb8 21 骎c4！gave White a clear ad－ vantage in Kasparov－Karpov，New York／Lyons Wch（18） 1990.
 exc4 16 昷xc4 and now 16．．．E8 17
富h8 20 定d5 是xd5 looks slightly better for White，but $16 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ seems reasonable，e．g． 17 exd5 ©b6 18 \＆${ }^{\text {d }}$ d3 ©xd5 and Black had a playable posi－ tion in Ivanchuk－Xie Jun，Monaco Amber blindfold 1996．We await to see if anyone will repeat this with their eyes open！

## 

With this move Black begins his counterattack on the white centre． However，there are some important al－ ternatives：
a） $16 \ldots b 3$ ？？ 17 宣d3 c5 18 它bd2 cxd4 19 是xd6 曹b6 20 e5 臽e7 21

 26 Exd4 also looks good） 22 ．．．\＆xe4
 Exd8 26 䊅f4 and White＇s material ad－ vantage told in Ernst－Tisdall，Gausdal 1991.
b） 16 ．．．g6！？，blunting White＇s king－ side offensive，deserves some consid－ eration．After 17 Dbd2 $Q \mathrm{f} 818$ e5

 Black had succeeded in equalizing in Ernst－Barkhagen，Haninge 1997.
c） $16 \ldots .588$ ？is another enticing idea．Black plans to re－route this knight to the more active e6－square before starting central operations．After 17乌bd2（17 粕d3！？）17．．．De6 18 是e3 c5 19 d 5 厄f8 20 を゙bl c4 21 类e2 Benoni－type structure is reached in which Black＇s queenside counterplay compensates for White＇s solid centre， Svidler－Smagin，Russian Ch（St Pe－ tersburg） 1998.

17 Qbd2
The d－pawn is hot．After 17 \＆xd6？ b3！， 18 全xb3？runs into 18．．．曹b6！， while 18 免d3 allows $18 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ，picking up the e－pawn．

After the text－move，the d－pawn is attacked in earnest．

17．．．Wive（D）
In Onishchuk－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1997，Black defended with $17 . . .8$ f8， but after 18 e5！dxe5 19 dxe5 ele7 20
 23 exc4 White had a very pleasant position．Black＇s queenside majority is going nowhere，giving White a free hand for operations on the kingside．

The position after 17．．．断c7 arose in Luther－B．Lalić，Hastings 1995／6．White


g6 and Black was allowed to consoli－ date his position．In his notes to the game，Lalić comes up with the far more critical 18 e5！dxe5 19 dxe5 and now：
 wh5 wins for White．
b） $19 \ldots$ Ead8 20 Øg $5!~ \$ x g 521$是xg5 Ea 22 年 f 4 and White not only possesses the bishop－pair，but also dangerous attacking chances on the kingside．
c）Lalić gives the prophylactic 19．．．g6 as Black best defence，but after
 has the powerful 22 \＆d5！，threatening 23 e6． $22 \ldots$ ．．． 5 xe5 fails to 23 ©xe5是xe5 24 是xe5 Exxe5 25 Еxe5 曹xe5 26 㐱xf7＋，while after 22．．．${ }^{\text {El }} 723$ \＆g3 White keeps all the threats．

These lines all look quite danger－ ous for Black，so perhaps he could do worse than seek alternatives at moves 13 and 16.

## 12 The Zaitsev Variation


 c3 0-09 h3 ${ }^{\text {\& }} \mathrm{b} 710 \mathrm{~d} 4$ Ee8 ( $D$ )


In the last twenty years the Zaitsev Variation has sprung from virtually nowhere to being perhaps the most important variation of the entire Ruy Lopez. Previously it was thought to be dubious on account of 115 g 5 , attacking $\mathbf{f}$, but then Karpov's trainer Igor Zaitsev showed that Black could calmly retreat with $11 \ldots$... f 8 ! and that $12 \mathrm{f4}$ came to nothing. Indeed it was discovered that White had nothing better than to go back with 12 ©f3. This was the turning point for the opening. Karpov himself employed it in many classic World Championship battles with Kasparov and today it remains the choice of many top grandmasters.

The main advantage of the Zaitsev is that Black can exert very quick pressure on the e4-pawn, and this prevents White from carrying out the usual Dbd2-f1-g3 knight manoeuvre until the tension in the centre is resolved. This is a major plus-point as White finds it harder than usual to develop smoothly. On the other hand, White still has many ways to try to keep an opening advantage. It must also be said that from a practical point of view the Zaitsev has the disadvantage that White can simply repeat moves starting, as described above, with $11 \triangleq g 5$.

As the Zaitsev is such a tough nut to crack, I've decided to advocate two quite different systems for White after the standard moves 11 它d2 宣f8. The first is 12 d 5 , which has become quite popular recently. After this move, the play is quite positional in nature, with both sides battling over key central squares. The other line we will study is 12 a 4 , which is the traditional main line. Unlike 12 d 5 , this usually leads to ultra-sharp play, where White will try to land a knockout blow on the kingside. Sometimes White's attack can be ferocious, with all the pieces taking part, but Black's defensive resources cannot be underestimated, and if Black survives then his superiority on the queenside can often be a deciding factor.

## The Theory of the Zaitsev Variation

1 e 4 e 52 亿左3
 c3 0－09 h3 \＆b7 10 d 4 （ D ）

B


## 10．．．Ee8

This continues the theme of pres－ surizing the e4－pawn．Other ideas：
a） 10 ．．．exd4 gives up the centre prematurely and with accurate play White can hope for a substantial ad－ vantage，e．g． $11 \mathrm{cxd4}$ d5 12 e5（e4 13 2c3 ©a5 14 \＆c2 f5（14．．．eb4 15切xe4！dxe4 16 Exe4！\＆xe4 17 \＆xe4 Eb 8 allows White to initiate a stan－ dard＇Greek Gift＇sacrifice with 18


 23 d 5 are devastating） 15 exf6 \＆xf6 16 Exe4 dxe4 17 \＆xe4 \＆xe4 18 Exe4 c5 19 Eg4 cxd4 20 气g5 d3 21
客h8 24 Eel and White has a strong at－ tack，Tal－Lehmann，Hamburg 1960.
b） $10 \ldots$ ．．． 5 is a reasonable trans－ positional move．Following 11 国c2， 11．．．c5 gives us a Chigorin，while Black can also continue with 11．．．2c4 12 b3 乌b6 13 乌bd2 and now：
b1） $13 \ldots \varrho$ bd7 reaches a type of Breyer position where Black has lost time，as it has taken the knight five moves to reach d7 rather than three．In Fischer－Stein，Sousse IZ 1967，White continued powerfully with 14 b 4 ！and after 14．．．exd4 15 cxd4 a5 16 bxa5 c5 17 e5 dxe5 18 dxe5 $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 519$ 乌e4 2 b 4 20 \＆bl Exxa5 21 娄e2 Black was fac－ ing a menacing attack．
b2）After 13．．．Ee8 White＇s sim－ plest plan is to continue with 14 f1气f8 150 g 3 ，when $15 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ reaches the Smyslov Variation while 15．．．c5 16 a4 c4 17 a5 exd4 18 cxd4 cxb3 19 \＆xb3 gave White an edge in Beliavsky－ Klovan，USSR 1977.
c） $10 \ldots$ © d 7 has been seen a few times，although a significant propor－ tion of these occasions have been pre－ ceded with 10 ．．．تe8 11 Dg5 ${ }^{\text {Eff }} 12$ Qf3，when Black decides to avoid rep－ etition．After 10．．．2d7 White has the option of transposing to the Karpov Variation with 11 a4 \＆f6，or begin－ ning the knight manoeuvre with 11 $\omega_{\text {bd2 }}$ and now：
c1） $11 \ldots$ eff 12 Øf1 Ee8 13 صg 3 g6 14 \＆h6 ©a5 15 \＆c2 c5 16 d 5 with an edge to White，Ljubojević－Karpov， Turin 1982.
c2） $11 \ldots$ exd4！？ 12 cxd4 Qb4 13 Qf1 c5 14 a3（or 14 Eg3！？）14．．．Dc6 15 d 5 Dce5 16 xe5 dxe5 again with a small advantage，Ehlvest－Karpov， USSR Ch（Moscow） 1988.

11 Dbd2 eff（ $D$ ）


We will now study the two most im－ portant moves in this position：

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { A: } & 12 \mathrm{~d} 5 & 109 \\
\text { B: } & \text { 12 a4 } & 113
\end{array}
$$

A）

## 12 d 5

Black is faced with a decision about
where to move his knight：
A1：12．．．乌e7 109
A2：12．．．乌b8 110
12．．．$\triangle \mathrm{a} 5$ cannot be recommended． After 13 \＆c2 c6 14 b4！\＆c4 15 气xc4 bxc4 16 dxc6 \＆xc6 Black has prob－ lems regarding both the light squares and his loose c4－pawn．Am．Rodri－ guez－Suarez，El Vendrell 1994 contin－ ued 17 \＆g5 h6 18 气xf6 管xf6 19

 Qe3 and White＇s domination was very apparent．

## A1）

Transferring the knight to the kingside is pretty standard，but given that Black＇s knights are also heading in that direction it＇s worth considering a change of tack with 13 c 4 ．e．g．：
a） $13 \ldots$ ．． $\mathrm{d} 714 \mathrm{fc} 2 \mathrm{c} 615 \mathrm{~b} 3 \boldsymbol{\#} \mathrm{c} 8$ 16 2f1 Wc7 17 气e3 left White with a pleasant space advantage in Anand－ Deshmukh，Calcutta 1992.
b） $13 \ldots \mathrm{c} 614$ \＆c2 bxc4 15 dxc 6
 ＠g5（ 18 Wivd4 d5！gives Black good counterplay）18．．．d5 19 ＠xf6 誛xf6 20 e5 曹h621 थd2 \＆b4 22 Ee2＠xd2 23
 equal position，Topalov－Piket，Amster－ dam 1996.

## 13．．． 2 g 614 乌 3 h 2 － d 7

14．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ud } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { Dg } 4 \text { allows Black the }\end{aligned}$ possibility of $15 \ldots$ ．．ゆxe4！？16 Еxe4 f5，
当xg4 19 hxg4 Ele7 20 Ed 1 White＇s position is still more pleasant and he can increase the pressure further with ©g3－e4．
 cxb5 cxb5 19 \＆b1 a5 20 h4！（D）

B


Planning to meet $20 . .$. 溇xh4 with 21 $\mathrm{g} 3 \mathrm{~h} 3+22 \mathrm{~g} 2$ ，when Black loses the knight．We are following a heavy－ weight manoeuvring contest，Topa－ lov－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1996，which continued 20．．．g6 21 g 3 Qh5 22 Dg4 We7 23 臽c2 \＆c8 24 b3 and White kept a small advantage．

## A2）

12．．．乌b8 13 乌f1 $\triangle \mathrm{bd} 7$（D）
The immediate 13 ．．．c6 is too hasty and only wakes up White＇s bishop on b3．After 14 dxc6 \＆xc6 15 Qg3 Ea7 $^{\text {a }}$ （15．．． Dbd7 runs into $16^{6} \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！） 16 a 4 Ed7 17 axb5 axb5 18 乌g5 h6 19 \＆xf6
 De3 White is ready to occupy the juicy outpost at d5，Wang Zili－Henao， Thessaloniki OL 1988.


Now White has two different ap－ proaches：
A21：14 O 3 h 2110
A22：14 0 g 3111
A21）
$14 \curvearrowleft 3 \mathrm{~h} 2$

Beginning the fight for the d5－ square．This knight will come to g4， where it will exchange a protector of the d 5 －square．

14．．．它 5
Black can also play more directly with $14 \ldots . . c 6$ ，but this is certainly more risky．After 15 dxc6 \＆xc6 16 \＆g5， Short－Beliavsky，Groningen FIDE KO Wch 1997 continued 16．．．Wc7 17 畒f3
 Qd5 21 ©xe4 Ee6 22 Ead1 and Black was under severe pressure．The game concluded 22．．．h5？！ 23 Øe3！乌f4 24
 exf4 27 区ff7！1－0．No doubt Black has some improvements here，but his position does look difficult to defend． Short points out that 16．．．h6 17 ＠xf6 Qxf6 also does not solve all Black＇s problems，due to 18 g 4 ！．White is winning the battle of the d 5 －square， because 18．．． $5 x$ xe4？ 19 速f3 d5 loses to 20 Exe4 dxe4 21 幅xf7＋．It cer－ tainly looks more sensible to chase the bishop off the important a2－g8 diago－ nal before proceeding with the ad－ vance ．．．c6．

15 \＆c2 c6 16 b4 0 cd 717 dxc6定xc6 18 Dg 4

After this move Black achieves the ．．．dS advance and the game fizzles out to equality．Another method is 18 \＆g5，which led to an unclear position after 18．．．${ }^{\text {U }} \mathrm{c} 719$ \＆xf6 $0 x 620 \triangleq g 4$
 Qg3 d5 in Korneev－Ibragimov，Russia Cup（Ekaterinburg） 1997.

18．．． 0 xg 419 hxg 4 气b6 20 包 3 d 5 21 exd5 $2 x d 522$ Qxd5 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uxd5 } \\ & 23\end{aligned}$ Wxd5 \＆xd5 24 乌b3（D）

## B



In the game Kasparov－Ivanchuk， Linares 1998，Black now played the inaccurate 24．．．点e6？！and after 25 \＆xe6 Exe6 26 a4！White was still slightly better． $24 \ldots$ ．．．』ad8！looks like the easiest way to reach a level endgame．

## A22）

## 14 它g 3

White＇s most popular move．Black must now choose between breaking in the centre immediately or trying to im－ prove his position first：

$$
\begin{array}{l:ll}
\text { A221: } & 14 \ldots . . \triangle c 5 & 111 \\
\text { A222: } & 14 \ldots . . \mathrm{g} 6 & 112
\end{array}
$$

## A221）

## 14．．．2c5 15 气c2 c6

Once Black has committed himself to ．．． 5 c 5 it makes sense to break im－ mediately with ．．．c6，as any delay could prove to be costly．For example，

 Qg7 21 \＆c1 White is perfectly ready to meet Black＇s ．．．c6，Short－Timman， El Escorial Ct（10）1993．See Chapter 9 for more of this game．

16 b4 Ocd 17 dxc6 宜xc6 18 昷b3 h6

Correctly preventing the pin of the f6－knight．After 18．．． 2 b6 19 \＆g5！h6 20 气xf6 宸xf6 21 Qh2 Qc4 22 气g4数 623 乌e3 Ead8 24 斯2 2 d5 25 Ead Ed7 26 exd5 今xd5 27 Exd5！Exd5 28 Exc4 bxc4 29 \＆xc4 White has won the battle of the d 5 －square and gained a pawn，Tseshkovsky－Timoshchenko， Sverdlovsk 1987.

## 19 勾4 4 b6

The 19．．．©xe4 trick，unleashing an attack on h4，doesn＇t work because of the powerful reply 20 毁h5！．

20 Shf5 ©c4
20．．．d5！？led to some fireworks in Wells－Acs，Budapest 1997 after 21
 23 Qxh6＋！？（23 Wg4 also looks inter－ esting）23．．．gxh6 24 تّe3 صf6 25 Eg3＋\＄．
 Black was able to steer the position into a level endgame after $29 \Xi_{\mathrm{Ex}} 7$


21 ゆh5 $0 \times 1522$ 䒼xh5 g6
An improvement over 22．．．©d7 23 Exe4 bxc4 24 ©e3 \＆e6 25 a 4 ，when the d 5 －square is firmly in White＇s pos－ session，Hjartarson－Gligorić，Reykja－ vik 1995.

## 23 蕅g4

If 23 صxh6＋？，Black has 23．．．宜h7！ 24 Wf3 \＆xh6，when 25 \＆xh6 \＄xh6 26 Wivf7 Ef8 wins for Black，while after 25 ＠xc4 bxc4 26 Wxf7＋§g7 27 Wxc4 今b5 Black＇s piece is worth more than White＇s three pawns．
 Ee6（D）


Almasi－Ibragimov，Vienna 1996. Now White should play 26 \＆xc4 bxc4 27 d1，maintaining a grip on d 5 ．

## A222）

14．．．g6 15 ¢h2
Planning $\sum \mathrm{g} 4$ ．Also possible is 15乌e3，e．g．15．．．©c5 16 ＠c2 c6 17 b4
楼d3 and now：
a）20．．．షa7 21 a 4 （ 21 c 4 ！？may be stronger）21．．．bxa4 22 \＆xa4 全xa4 23
 in Anand－Ivanchuk，Monaco Amber rpd 1994.

 slightly better for White）and instead
 which was equal in Short－Ivanchuk， Amsterdam 1994，White should play 23 f4！exf424 \＆xf4 气e6 25 气f3，with a small plus．

## 15．．．h5！？

This move prevents $\sum \mathrm{g} 4$ ，but also weakens g 5 ，and the white knight quickly returns to 3 to eye this square． Other possibilities for Black：
a） $15 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ is premature here．After 16 dxc6＠xc6 17 苗g5！White has the advantage．
b） $15 \ldots$ ．．． 88 ！？ $16 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Qg} 8$ ！（pre－ paring ．．．h5 followed by ．．．$\Leftrightarrow$ h6） 17 a 4 h5 was Mencinger－Ibragimov，Gron－ ingen 1994．Here White should play 18 L 2 ，preparing to come back to f3．
c） $15 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 5$ ！？ 16 \＆c2 c6 17 b 4乌cd7 18 dxc 6 \＆xc6 19 \＆b3 0 b 6 and here either $20 \mathrm{\& g} 5$ or 20 Qg 4 should be enough for an edge．
 Ecd7 19 dxc6 \＆xc6 20 \＆b3 Qb6 $_{\text {\＆}} 21$全g5（d7

Black must be careful not to open up the centre too early．If 21．．．＠g7 22 ©h4 d5 23 踷f3！White＇s initiative starts to assume menacing propor－ tions．

22 Sh4 \＆e6 23 数 3 Qbd7 24 \＃ad1（D）


We are following Anand－Ivanchuk， Dos Hermanas 1996．The game is del－ icately balanced．Black is under some serious pressure on the kingside，but all his pieces are contributing towards
defence，and he can hope to exploit the weak c3－pawn later on．

B）
12 a 4 （D）


The traditional main line of the Zaitsev．

12．．．h6
This move，preventing $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 5$ once and for all，is Black＇s most popular choice．Another method is $12 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\underline{V}} \mathrm{~d} 7$ ， when Black can meet $0 g 5$ with ．．．$)^{2} d 8$ ． White should claim a space advantage with 13 d 5 De7 14 c 4 Øg6 15 皿c2 c6 16 b 3 ！，when the pawn－chain is fully supported，giving White an edge．One possible continuation is 16．．．㟶c7 17 Df1 bxc4 18 bxc4 a5 19 Qg3 金a6 20 企d3 Eec8 21 \＆e3 Еab8 22 Ёc1 Qd7 23 © 5 ，when Black is slightly cramped，Smirin－Goldin，Novosibirsk 1995.

## 13 \＆c2 exd4

This move initiates Black＇s most ambitious and aggressive plan． He presents the centre to White，but in re－ urn for this Black will gain serious
queenside counterplay．Even so，more conservative ideas also deserve some attention：
a） $13 . . . \unrhd \mathrm{b} 8$ ！？（Black attempts to steer the game into Breyer channels） 14

 d5！led to unclear complications in Rechlis－Kraidman，Jerusalem 1986. Instead，White could consider 17 h4！， preparing to soften up the black kingside with h5．
b）13．．．̈b8 lends indirect support to the b－pawn，but looks a bit passive to me．Sensible play with 14 axb5

 enough to give White a significant plus in Geller－Gligorić，Sochi 1986.
c）The non－committal 13．．．g6！？ looks like the best of Black＇s alterna－ tives． 14 ）f1 exd4！ 15 cxd4 2 b 4 gives Black lots of counterplay，so White should once again block the centre with 14 d 5 ．Following $14 \ldots . \mathrm{b} 8$ ，Black succeeded in obtaining a roughly level position in the game Ivanchuk－Lju－ bojević，Monaco rpd 1993 after 15 b3 c6 16 c4 bxc4 17 bxc4 a5，so perhaps White could consider 15 \＆d3！？，exert－ ing immediate pressure on the b5－ pawn．

## 14 cxd4 亿b4 15 合b1 c5！

Mobilizing the queenside pawn majority is obviously the most natural course for Black，but there are also some important alternatives in this po－ sition：
a）The slightly illogical－looking 15．．．bxa4 has been used by Karpov， but not since he was soundly beaten in

Kasparov－Karpov，New York／Lyons Wch（2）1990，which went 16 Exa4 a5 17 Еa3 Еa6 18 乌h2 g6 19 f3！（bol－ stering the centre and thus denying Black any counterplay against e4）

 and White was in firm control．
b）15．．．g6 keeps Black＇s options open，but also gives White extra time to begin operations in the centre．In Anand－Kamsky，Las Palmas PCA Ct （1） 1995 White kept the advantage af－ ter 16 Еa3 \＆g7 17 e5！dxe5 18 dxe5 Qh5 $19 \mathrm{axb5}$ axb5 20 要b3c5 21 造4
 24 e4 and the game had opened up nicely for the bishop－pair．
c） 15 ．．．畨d7 was tried in Anand－ Kamsky，Las Palmas PCA Ct（9） 1995. After 16 b 3 g 617 e e 2 Sg 718 畨 c 1 Eac8 19 \＆ Lc 3 c5 20 d 5 the game reached a Benoni－type set－up which is favourable to White，due to Black＇s poor bishop on b7，which is simply biting on White＇s granite centre．

$$
16 \mathrm{~d} 5 \text { Ed7 } 17 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} 3(D)
$$



A crucial position in the 12 a 4 Zaitsev．Black has to choose between two distinct courses of action：
B1：17．．．c4 114
B2：17．．．f5 116
B1）

## 17．．．c4

In the late 1980s this move was much more fashionable than 17．．．f5， but for some reason it has now taken more of a back seat．Nevertheless，it is fully playable and perhaps more logi－ cal than 17．．．f5．Black is certainly sec－ ond best on the kingside，so he refuses to make a weakening pawn move and concentrates immediately on queen－ side counterplay．White must play very actively，as given another couple of moves，Black will entrench a knight on d 3 after ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 5$ ．

## 18 axb5

I＇m endorsing this move rather than 18 d44，as the theoretical position arising after 18 Qd4 曹f6 19 E2f3 Qd3！ 20 \＆xd3 b4 appears fine for Black． 21 Eal cxd3 22 Wxd3 Ec5 gives Black plenty of counterplay， while 21 \＆xc4 bxa3 22 b3 $£ c 5$ affords White compensation for the exchange， but no more than that．Anand－Kam－ sky，Las Palmas PCA Ct（5） 1995 con－ tinued 23 凿c2 ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~g} 6$ and here Anand could find nothing better than to re－
電g626 h4．

$$
\text { 18...axb5 } 19 \text { Qd4 (D) }
$$

Now we will consider two main lines for Black：
B11：19．．．Exa3 115
B12：19．．．© 116


19．．． also not bad，when White＇s attack looks dangerous，but Black has threats of his own，including ．．．Ded3．Yang Xian－Lin Ta，China 1988 continued 22
 2403 h 4 looks strong for White） 24

 gives White a winning attack）and af－ ter 25 ＠xf8？dxf8，White suddenly had too many pieces en prise． 25 Ee2 is stronger，when 25 ．．．Еxe4！？ 26 Еxe4娄xf5 can be answered by 27 造 4 ！，so perhaps Black should play 25 ．．．．al instead．In any case，it＇s quite sur－ prising that we have seen so little of 19．．．整b6．

## B11）

## 19．．．Exa3

In this line Black obtains a passed pawn on d3，which is usually quite dif－ ficult to keep hold of．However，Black can hope that the inconvenience White experiences in extracting the pawn will give him time to organize effec－ tive counterplay．

20 bxa3 Qd3 21 exd3 cxd 22 E． 3 （ $D$ ）


## 22．．．2e5

The other way to defend d 3 is with $22 . . .2 \mathrm{c} 523$ 全 b 2 ：
a） 23 ．．．Wa5 24 Øf5 g6 25 ضg3
 ©xc5 ${ }^{W} \mathrm{wc} 529$ Exd3 left White with the advantage in Kotronias－Gligorić， Yugoslav Cht（Nikšić）1997，because Black＇s bishop is out of play and his kingside dark squares are very weak． In fact the end was not long in coming：

 exd6 1－0．There is no defence to 2 h 5 ．
 ing active play against the white cen－ tre；this is the most logical way to continue，as Black must try to disturb White＇s position as much as possible）
 Eel dxe5 29 ©cxe5 龧55，Anand－Bel－ iavsky，Madrid 1998，and now Anand suggests 30 幽bl，keeping an eye on b5 and d3，as a way to keep the advan－ tage．

## 23 © 4 f 3 f5：

The only way！23．．．©c4 24 Exd3 WU d 725 气xc4 bxc4 26 Ee3 left Black with no compensation for the pawn in Ivanchuk－Kruppa，Frunze 1988.



The d3－pawn is doomed，but Black has succeeded in creating some coun－ terplay against White＇s centre．Glek－ Kharlamov，corr 1988 continued 26 exf5！Ëxe3 27 fxe3 宜xd5 28 契g4
 f6 with a highly complex position， where White＇s threats on the kingside， coupled with the passed a－pawn，out－ weigh Black＇s far－flung passed pawns．

## B12）

 Enc8

This is better than 21．．．Wa5 22 Qa3 \＆${ }^{\text {a }} 6$（both $22 \ldots$ ．．．ed3？ 23 Qdxc4 and 22．．．Qbd3 23 乌axc4 化4 24 全xd3
 when Black doesn＇t quite have enough play for the pawn，Anand－Kamsky， Las Palmas PCA Ct（7） 1995.



We are following de Firmian－ A．Ivanov，USA Ch（Parsippany）1996， which continued 23 تe3 Qbd3 24


 31 bxc4 霜a3 32 c 5 ，the white pawns looked very dangerous．Instead，the clearest route to equality looks to be 26．．．©xc4！ 27 bxc4 \＆xc4 28 ©xc4
 Exd1 Exe4．

## B2）

## 17．．．f5

Currently the main line of the 12 a 4 Zaitsev．Black ambitiously breaks open the white centre，but in doing so he weakens his kingside．

18 乌h2！？（D）
Other moves，such as 18 \＃ae 3 and 18 exf5，exist，but I believe the text－ move presents Black with at least as many problems．The knight move opens the way for both the queen and the a3－rook to join the attack，while
after ．．．fxe4 the knight can be very powerfully posted on g4．


18．．．⿹16
18．．．c4 19 exf5 气c5 20 玉゙xe8 曹xe8 21 gg 3 Qbd3 22 f 6 g 623 b 3 ，as in Ivanchuk－Karpov，Monaco Amber rpd 1993，presents us with a crazy position typical for the 12 a 4 Zaitsev．The whole board is on fire，but somehow I would prefer to be White，as you get the impression that one slip from Black would end up with his king be－ ing checkmated！

18．．．${ }^{6} \mathrm{~h} 8$ looks a bit too slow to me． In Dovzhik－Hedman，Budapest 1993， White built up an enormous attack af－

 Here Black tried 23．．．粕xb2 but after


 f3 White had come out firmly ahead in the complications．

## 19 ㄹ̈f

Trying to force Black to capture on e4．An even more direct attempt at this
is with 19 g 4 ！？．Now Black has to be quite careful．For example，19．．．$\searrow x$ xe4？ 20 Øxe4 fxe4 21 是xe4 gives White a dream position，as Black＇s minor pieces are firmly cut off from the kingside action，of which there＇s bound to be plenty．19．．．fxe4！is much stronger，planning to meet $20 \triangleq x e 4$ with 20．．．exd5．Leko－Almasi，Dort－ mund 1998 continued 20 g5！？hxg5 21气xe4 $\triangle$ bxd5！（getting this knight back into the defence is very important；both 21．．．定xd5？！ 22 肴xg5 and 21．．． $0 x$ x 4 22 昷xe4 look very promising for
 $240 \times f 6+\operatorname{gxf} 6(D)$.


Now，instead of the game continua－ tion 25 乌g4 \＆g7，Almasi recom－
 27 眯xe1 ${ }^{\text {diff }} 8$ with a very unclear po－ sition．Black＇s queenside pawns will roll down the board，but White can commence a dangerous attack with Ud1－h5 and 0 g 4 ．

19．．．Еe5
19．．．fxe4？！falls in far too easily with White＇s plans．After 20 xe4
©xe4 21 昷xe4 the b7－bishop and the b4－knight struggle to get back into ac－ tion and 21．．．巴xe4？ 22 Еxe4 官xd5
 cxb4 25 㟶xd5＋．

Black has also tried 19．．．今c8，but removing the bishop from attacking d5 looks a bit artificial to me．Pupo－ Rivera，Havana Capablanca mem 1992

 of White＇s pieces were storming the black king．

## 20 Exf5

Preparing a fianchetto with 20 b3！？ also poses Black some problems，e．g．：

 and Black is getting totally overrun on the kingside，Grünfeld－Kraidman，Tel－ Aviv 1992.
b）20．．．fxe4！ 21 Ёxf6！？㥪xf6 22
迤xd5 25 学g4 gives us another typical position．White＇s queenside is about to disintegrate，but Black will have to stave off quite a few mating threats be－ fore he can hope to cash in．

This is stronger than 22 这4 \＆xe4
 in Khalifman－Karpov，Reggio Emilia 1991／2，when we see an example of White＇s position going wrong．The kingside attack has been blocked and Black is ready to mow White down
through pushing his powerful central pawns．

## 22．．．兽f7

Coming back to defend the shaky light squares．This is better than the al－ ternative 22．．． $0 \times \mathrm{xg} 423 \mathrm{hxg} 4$ \＆．f7，as after 24 \＆f3 White threatens to do some damage with g 5 ，and $24 \ldots \mathrm{e}$ e7 walks into a double attack with 25銆e2．

23 ©xf6＋数xf6 24 气e4 数d8 25兴g4 d5（D）


Black begins the central pawn－ roller．This position，which occurred in Wahls－Enigk，Berlin 1993，is beau－ tifully poised．White will obviously have lots of dangerous attacking ideas， but Black is reasonably well protected and can take heart from the fact that most endgames will give him good winning chances due to the passed d－ pawn．

## 13 The Smyslov Variation


 c30－09h3 h6（D）


Black prepares to bolster the e5－ pawn with ．．．巴e8 and ．．．\＆f8．The idea of 9 ．．．h6 is to execute this plan without having to worry about $\triangleq g 5$ ．However， as we see in the Zaitsev Variation， Black need not worry about this move． Indeed，the Smyslov Variation has much in common with the Zaitsev，but with the important difference that against the Smyslov，White has time to carry out the $Q$ bd2－f1－g3 manoeuvre， thus solving all his development prob－ lems．For this reason，the Smyslov is far less popular than the Zaitsev，but it must be respected as a solid，if some－ what passive，defence．White has a few different ways to play，but once again I＇m advocating the main line．

## The Theory of the Smyslov Variation


 c3 0－0 9 h3 h6 10 d 4 Ee8 11 包bd2 ©f8 12 ©f1（ $D$ ）


Black now has two main options：
A：12．．． $\mathbf{0} 119$
B：12．．．昷b7 120
A）
 15 b3！

Preparing to develop the bishop on b2，should Black exchange pawns with ．．．cxd4．

15．．．2c6
After 15．．．cxd4 16 cxd4 0 c 6 White can slowly improve the position of his
pieces，knowing that his central supe－ riority will be sufficient for an advan－ tage．Gufeld－Savon，Vilnius Z 1975
当d2 \＆g7 19 总 ad 1 is even stronger for White as the d6－pawn is very weak） 18 Why very comfortable indeed．
$16 \mathrm{d5}$ ©e7 17 皿e3（ $D$ ）
Also possible is $17 \mathrm{c4}$ ，for example
 20 是d1 公xg3 21 fxg 3 勾5 22 g 4 with a slight advantage for White， Popović－Djuric，Sarajevo 1984.

B


## 17．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 6$

After 17．．．wc7 18 豈d2 0 g 6 White should slowly build up a kingside at－
 g4，as in Stull－Augustin，Pula Z 1975.

## 

Exchanging pieces is generally a good policy in a cramped position，but here $19 \ldots$. h4 loses too much time．
 b4 黄c723 ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ec1 White had a clear ad－ vantage in Deep Blue－Kasparov，New York（2） 1997.

## 20 Ёa2！

I like this move，which threatens a gradual increase in pressure on the queenside． 20 气f5 \＆xf5 21 exf5 Qh4 $^{2}$ 22 Qxh4 是xh4 was not so clear in Lobron－Short，Brussels 1983.

20．．．${ }^{\text {W．}} \mathrm{c} 7$（ D$)$


White has a small plus．Tošić－Ab－ ramović，Yugoslav Cht 1997 contin－ ued 21 Eaeal bxa4 22 b4！cxb4 23 cxb4 Qh4（or $23 \ldots$ ．．．a5 24 首xa4 axb4 25

 25 Qf5 昷xf5 26 exf5 \＆g5 27 exg5
 White picked up the a6－pawn．

B）
12．．．\＆． 6713 g 3 气a5
13．．．g6 14 a4 صa5 15 国c2 trans－ poses to the next note．

## 14会c2 0 c 4

Black re－deploys his knight to a more influential square．Refraining from this doesn＇t really help Black． For example，14．．g6 15 a4 新d7 16 b3


Eeb8 20 c 4 and White＇s space advan－ tage is quite evident，Korchnoi－Smys－ lov，Rovinj／Zagreb 1970.

15 b3 Qb6 16 a4
Simple development with 16 \＆．d2 also promises White an edge，for ex－ ample $16 \ldots \mathrm{c5} 17 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~g} 618$ 膤e2，as in Gheorghiu－Gligorić，Teesside 1972.

## 16．．．bxa4

Here Black has a couple of impor－ tant alternatives：
a） $16 \ldots \mathrm{c} 517 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{c} 418 \mathrm{~b} 4$ 会c8 19


 Gg2 729 f 3 and the simple plan of h4 proved to be decisive in Fischer－ Gligorić，Rovinj／Zagreb 1970.
b） $16 . . . \mathrm{d} 5$ ！？ 17 Qxe5 dxe4 18 臽b2！ （after 18 0xe4 全xe4 19 昷xe4 Black has the tactical trick 19．．．Exe5！，when
 Black＇s favour）18．．．c5 19 Øxe4！（19 Qh5 cxd4 20 cxd4 $\triangle$ bd 5 was unclear in Borocz－Lukacs，Budapest 1998） 19．．．仓xe4 20 \＆xe4 是xe4 21 Exe4 bxa4 and now Scholl－Gligorić，Am－ sterdam 1971 fizzled out to equality after 22 bxa4 f6 23 ©c6 粕d5 24 Ёxe 8 Exe8 25 dxc 5 頪xd1＋26 Exd1 0 xa 4 ． In my opinion，White can keep things going with 22 管f 3 ！，e．g．22．．．f6 23

 b4 and White＇s c5－pawn will prove very dangerous．

17 bxa4 a5 18 全d3 全c6
Again Black has other options：
a） 18 ．．．d5 19 exd5 exd4（19．．．$D \mathrm{fxd} 5$ 20 Øxe5 $勹 x \mathrm{xc} 321$ 粕g4 gives White a menacing kingside initiative） 20 Exe 8
 \＆xc6 23 ©e5！\＆b7 24 \＆．b2，when 24 ．．．金 $c 5$ can be powerfully met by 25
 pawn is ready to be plucked．
b） 18 ．．．金a6！？ 19 \＆ $\mathrm{xa6}$ 玉゙xa6 20
 10d6（Winsnes－Balashov，Stockholm

 small advantage．
$19 \mathrm{d5}$ \＆ d 720 £ $\mathrm{e} 5(D)$


This position arose in Anand－Kam－ sky，Linares 1991．Here Black went wrong with $20 . .$. ．xb5？and after 21 axb5 0 fd 722 岩c2 0 c 523 c 4 崰d7 24国e3 a4 25 Еa3 Black＇s a－pawn was under heavy pressure． $20 \ldots 0 \mathrm{c} 8$ is a more resilient defence，although White still holds a significant space advan－ tage．

## 14 The Breyer Variation


 c3 0－09 h3 ©b8（D）


This knight retreat may look rather odd，but this is merely the first move of a logical regrouping plan．Black＇s idea is to bring his knight to d 7 where it supports the e5－pawn and frees the c－pawn so that it may advance to $\mathbf{c} 5$ and challenge the centre．In addition Black can post his bishop on b7 where it directly attacks the e4－pawn．This pressure can be enhanced by the ma－ noeuvre ．．．Ee8 and ．．．s．f8．

If Black＇s plan has a weakness，then it＇s the relative slowness of the idea． This gives White ample time to bolster the e4－pawn and carry out the normal knight manoeuvre ©bd2－f1－g3．The line I＇m recommending for White is the most popular one at master level．

White can play on both the kingside and queenside，and has a comfortable space advantage．

## The Theory of the Breyer Variation


 c3 0－0 9 h 3 むb8 10 d 4 たbd7

10 ．．．． b 7 is a move－order trick from Black to avoid lines beginning with $10 . . .0$ bd7 11 c 4 ！？，which was once quite fashionable for White．After 10．．．皿b7 White can simply transpose with $11 \triangleq$ bd2 $2 b d 7$ ，but 11 dxe5！is much stronger：
a） $11 . .$. ©xe4 12 e6 fxe6 13 \＆xe6＋
 a4 leaves Black woefully weak on the light squares，Gligorić－Benko，Yugo－ slavia Ct 1959.
b） $11 \ldots$ ．．．dxe5 12 Wxd8 血xd8 13

 and now the game Keres－Benko，Yu－ goslavia Ct 1959 ended 18．．．Exel＋？！
 18．．．c5 would have offered more resis－ tance，but White＇s pieces are still far better coordinated after 19 Exe8＋ Ёxe8 20 ジ d 1 ．

11 Dbd2（D）
11．．．全b7

 transposed into the main line in J．Pol－ gar－Spassky，Budapest（10）1993， with neither player noticing that after
 ©e6 Black can resign！This is all the more shocking given that Spassky is a renowned expert in the Breyer from both sides of the board．

## 12 ．${ }^{\text {ec }} \mathbf{c}$

Supporting the e4－pawn，so that White can carry out the knight ma－ noeuvre to g3．

## 12．．．Ee8

Preparing to bolster the kingside with ．．．囬f8 and ．．．g6．Black can also expand on the queenside with 12 ．．．c5，
 g6 16 a4 reaches the main line，but White can also delay the knight ma－ noeuvre，e．g． 13 b3！？（preventing a later ．．．c4） $13 \ldots$ ene 14 d 5 g 615 a 4 Eb8 16 b4！c4 17 Qf1 \＆f8 18 道g5

 th1 and White has reached an ideal position，Kavalek－Gligorić，Nice OL 1974．The queenside is completely
blocked，leaving White a free hand to build up a gradual attack on the other wing．

13 ©f1（D）


## 13．．．宣f8

Smashing open the centre with $13 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！？is an interesting idea，but White can remain on top after 14 ©xe5！$勹 x=515$ dxe5 Dxe4，when $^{2}$ position is more reminiscent of the Open Lopez．After 16 f3 Black has two options：

 （it＇s worth expending two tempi to force Black to weaken his light squares
 and White enjoys a big positional ad－ vantage，Lobron－Portisch，Wijk aan Zee 1985.
b） $16 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 517 \mathrm{~b} 4$ 分 d 718 f 4 c 519 Wd3 ©f8 20 bxc5 \＆xc5＋21 \＆e3 and White retains excellent attacking pros－ pects，Greenfeld－Shvidler，Israel 1984.

## 140 g 3 g 6

$14 . . . c 515 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~g} 616 \mathrm{a4}$ comes to the same thing．

## 15 a4 c5

It＇s important for Black to claim some space on the queenside．After the plausible 15．．．\＆ f 7 it ＇s now the right time for 16 d 5 ！．Black wishes to break with ．．．c6，but with the bishop on g7，this leaves the d6－pawn weak．In fact Black can force through ．．．c6 with 16．．．当b8，but then White has enough time to support the pawn－chain with 17 b 3 c 618 c 4 ，with a space advantage． Grünfeld－Lev，Tel－Aviv 1990 contin－ ued $18 \ldots$ ．．．bxc4 19 bxc4 a5 20 㑒e3 显c7 21 娄d2 实a6 22 玉ac1 Еeb8 23 c 5 ！ cxd5 24 exd5 dxc5 25 d 6 ，when the passed d－pawn was very powerful．

## 16 d 5 c 4

This is the logical follow－up to Black＇s last move．The $\mathbf{c 5}$－square is vacated for the knight，where it can eye the outposts at b 3 and d 3 ．The only important alternative is $16 \ldots$ bb， when 17 楼e2，pressurizing the b5－ pawn，is the most testing response． Now 17．．．c4 looks rather silly with knight on b6，so Black should play 17．．．巳xa4 18 是xa4 bxa4 19 Ёxa4， when we reach a position where Black has the bishop－pair，but the position is quite closed and Black＇s queenside structure is compromised．Here are a couple of lines：

 hxg4，when White has more space and the better minor pieces，Torre－Gli－ gorić，Bad Lauterberg 1977.
b） $19 \ldots \mathrm{\&} \mathrm{c} 820 \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{~h} 621 \mathrm{Q} 3$ b8 22 凹eal $\triangle \mathrm{h} 7$（22．．．$巳 \mathrm{~d} 7$ looks
 $\varphi \mathrm{c} 4$ ，and the knight has found a
wonderful outpost on c4，Geller－Rom－ anishin，USSR 1978.

17 酋g5（ $D$ ）


White develops his last minor piece and sets about trying to control some dark squares on the kingside．

17．．．h6
Gaining time on the bishop，al－ though White will later regain a tempo


Black has many alternatives at this point：
a） $17 \ldots \mathrm{Eg} 718$ 畨d2 ${ }^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{e} 7$（alterna－ tively，18．．．仓c5 19 Qh2 h5 20 管h1

 Ead1 with an edge for White，Bala－ shov－Baikov，USSR 1974）19 玉゙a3 粦f8
 Ddd $23 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ and White has a slight advantage，Geller－Abramović，Mos－ cow 1982.

 axb5 was Kavalek－Spassky，Montreal 1979 and now $23 \triangleq$ g4 looks promis－

f4 exf4 26 幅xf4 ©d7 27 e5！，freeing the e 4 －square and creating a danger－ ous attack．
 （the simple plan of $19 \mathrm{axb} 5 \mathrm{axb5} 20$ \＃a2 合g721 Eea1 also gives White an edge，Spassky－Karpov，Leningrad Ct （10）1974）19．．．酉e7 20 axb5 axb5 21

 \＃ed1 and the weak dark squares on the kingside cause Black some concern， Popović－Gligorić，Yugoslavia 1980.
d） $17 \ldots$ ．．．e7 18 皿 3 数 719 घa3 Qc5 20 喽e2 \＆f8 21 Eal once again gives White a typical small advantage， Ciocaltea－Spassky，Dortmund 1973.

18 血e3 ©c5 19 Ud2（D）


## 19．．．h5

If Black defends with $19 \ldots$ ．．．sh7， then the most promising plan is a di－ rect kingside attack involving f 4 ．For example， $20 \omega_{\mathrm{h} 2}$ 是g7 21 Ef1 and now：
a） $21 \ldots$ ．． 0 xa4 22 ＠xa4 bxa4 23 Exa4 a5 24 f 4 h 525 fxe5 dxe5 26 \＆ e g 5 \＆${ }^{\text {\＆}}$（Timman－Portisch，Reggio Emilia

1984／5）and now Simić＇s suggestion of 27 楼2 2 looks good for White．
b） $21 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$（it looks rather illogical to play ．．．bh7 and ．．．h5，since，with the g5－square available to White，the black king can be exposed） 22 f3！

 0 f 5 and White＇s attack is very strong， Zagorovsky－Diaconescu，corr 1988.

## 

White continues to combine play on both sides of the board． 21 eh6 used to be quite common，but it seems that after 21．．．ef8！White＇s best move is to retain the bishops with 22 \＆ g 5 ！，
 pears to defend for Black．

## 21．．．పb8

After 21．．．巳fd7， 22 要xe7䊓xe7 23
 26 Exa8 \＆$x a 8$ gave Black equality in Tatai－Dorfman，Texta 1988，but of course White should keep his bishop with 22 合e3！．

22 －eal（ $D$ ）
22 当e3 $\emptyset \mathrm{h} 7$ ！allows the exchange of bishops，which eases Black＇s de－ fence，but 22 axb 5 is another promis－ ing option．After 22．．．axb5 23 \＆e3
 Eeal White＇s control of the a－file gives him a slight edge，Dimitrov－ Gullaksen，Debrecen Echt 1992.

After 22 eal White＇s chances to play on both sides of the board give him a modest but persistent advan－ tage．Nevertheless，Black remains very solid，if a little passive，and many players are willing to defend this posi－ tion．Here are some sample lines：

a） $22 \ldots . \mathrm{Qh}^{2} 23$ غe3！（naturally， White keeps this bishop） 23 ．．．ef6 24 Qe2 $勹 \mathrm{f} 825$ 气c1 $0 \mathrm{fd} 726 \mathrm{axb5} \mathrm{axb} 5$

 E1a5 puts Black under great pressure，

Topalov－Van der Sterren，Antwerp 1997.
b） $22 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 4$ ！？ 23 exh4 Qfxe4 24公xe4 Dxe4 $^{25}$ \＆xe4 \＆xh4 26 axb5
 Ee7（29．．．exh4 loses to 30 全xg6！ fxg6 31 湅6） 30 h 5 ！was Adams－ G．Georgadze，Groningen FIDE KO Wch 1997．Now the most resilient de－ fence for Black is $30 \ldots$ Exa7 31 Ёxa7蹯b6 32 Eal 最f5，although after 33峟c2 Black＇s weakened kingside still gives White some advantage．
c） $22 .$. 今c 8 ！ $723 \mathrm{axb5} \mathrm{axb} 524$ ■a7


 Qb6 and Black has equalized，Svid－ ler－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1999.

## 15 The Chigorin Defence






The Chigorin Defence（9．．．乌a5）is the traditional way for Black to defend the Closed Lopez．Black gains time by attacking the Lopez bishop and uses this tempo to connect his queenside pawns with ．．．c5．Since the emergence of the Breyer and the Zaitsev Varia－ tions，the Chigorin has declined in popularity，but it remains a very well respected line and over the years it has built up a significant body of theory．

If the Chigorin set－up does have a weakness，then it＇s the offside posi－ tioning of the knight on a5．Often Black has to expend several tempi to get it back into play．On the plus side for Black，many lines offer counterat－ tacking chances，especially when the
c－file is opened with the pawn ex－ change ．．．cxd4．

## The Theory of the Chigorin Defence


 c3 0－09 h3 ©a5 10 \＆c2c5 11 d 4

Now we will consider Black＇s four main moves：
A：11．．．ᄋb7 128
B：11．．．2d7 130
C：11．．．むc6 132
D：11．．．㽬c7 133

Firstly，here are a couple of less fre－ quently played ideas：
a） 11 ．．．Шe8！？ 12 dxe 5 dxe 513 亿xe5

 for White in Smirin－Gofshtein，Israel 1991） 14 分xf7！富xf7 15 e5 挡e7 16
当h5＋df8 19 §g5 gives White a very strong attack） 17 Ee3 Wd7 18 e6＋
 White has a healthy extra pawn，Sax－ Hebden，London ECC 1993.
b） $11 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 412 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ \＆b7 $13 \mathrm{~d} 5!$ ？ （13 Øbd2 transposes to Line A） 13．．．ec8 14 宜d3 定d7 15 宜e3 g6 16 b4 $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 717 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ ！favoured White in Leko－L．B．Hansen，Copenhagen 1995.


A favourite of the Ukrainian grand－ master Oleg Romanishin．

## 12 Obd2

Continuing with classical develop－ ment．It should be pointed out that 12
 ©xe4 15 \＆f3 f5 leaves Black with no problems at all．However 12 d5，block－ ing the centre and the b7－bishop，is an important alternative．Play continues 12．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 4$（improving the position of the knight） 13 b 3 ＠b6 14 a 4 （14 Dbd2
园f8 was equal in Vasiukov－Roman－ ishin，Vršac 1989）14．．．© e8！（the bishop has no future on 67 ，where it＇s simply biting on granite）and now：
a） $15 \triangleq \mathrm{bd} 2 \mathrm{~g} 616 \hat{\$ 1} \mathrm{~d} 3 \hat{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{d} 717 \mathrm{a} 5$
䊐d2 \＆f6 $21 \mathrm{b4} \mathrm{c} 4$（the queenside is closed，leaving both sides to concen－ trate on the other wing） 22 §c2 0 f 4 ！？
 very unclear position，Wahls－Roman－ ishin，Biel 1995.
b） 15 \＆e3 bxa4 16 bxa4 $Q c 417$ \＆c1 ©a5！（an incredible piece of pro－ phylaxis；the knight will be attacked on c4 in any case so it moves away now） $18 \triangleq$ bd2（after 18 遭d3，Black shouldn＇t play 18．．．c4？！ 19 畨e2 监c7 20 業a3！，when he has some problems down the a3－f8 diagonal，Anand－Rom－ anishin，New York PCA Ct（2）1994， but instead $18 \ldots$ ．．． 2 h5 19 c 4 Ёb8 20今d2g621暑c3 3 b4！，with substantial counterplay for Black，Fogarasi－Rom－ anishin，Balatonbereny 1995）18．．．整 7 19 c 4 Ёb8 20 Еa2 g 621 宜b2 D h 522
党c2 2 f7 with a level position，Anand－ Romanishin，New York PCA Ct（4） 1994.

12．．．cxd4 13 cxd4 exd4 14 ©xd4 Ee8（D）


This is one of the main ideas of the Romanishin Variation．Black gives up the centre and sets about assaulting White＇s e4－pawn，which in many lines proves to be just as vulnerable as the more outwardly weak d6－pawn．Black can also contemplate a timely ．．．d5 in
order to liquidate the centre entirely， although he must be careful that this break cannot be answered by a favour－ able e5 advance．

## 15 b3

Preparing the queenside fianchetto and preventing any thoughts of ．．． 0 c 4 ． A slightly more active plan is 15 b4！？解6（15．．．©c4？ 16 ©xc4 bxc4 17
 strong for White） 16 Qxc6 exc6 17道b2，when White has the a2－g8 diag－ onal available for his light－squared bishop．On the other hand，the c4－ square is now a potential outpost for the black knight，and this fact should dictate Black＇s coming moves．Now：
a）17．．．1．f8？！（the plan of attacking the e4－pawn is not so effective here）
 \＆b7 21 wiv5 and the white bishops point menacingly at the black king， Xie Jun－Chiburdanidze，Manila wom Wch（3） 1991.
b） $17 \ldots .2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ ！ 18 b 3 宜 $f 619$ 定 $x 66$
録d2 Eac8 23 \＆b3 dd4 with an equal position，Popović－Romanishin，Novy Smokovec 1992.

Another possibility for White is to continue the usual knight manoeuvre with 15 fll This is actually another main line，but it allows Black to carry out his plan and practical results have been very reasonable for the second player．One sample variation is 15 ．．．ef8 16 ©g3 g6 17 b3 d5！？ 18 e5 ede4 19合xe4 dxe4 20 ＠xe4 世xe5 21 \＆xb7
 pletely level endgame．


The paradoxical $17 \mathrm{~b} 4!$ ？is also quite tempting．White loses a tempo，but Black has already committed himself to ．．．今f8 and ．．．g6．Following 17．．． 5 c 6
 Milos－Spangenberg，Buenos Aires 1998 witnessed the strategy working to perfection after $20 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？ 21 exd5
 24 Ell！and White gained material． 20．．．${ }^{\text {U }}$ ？ 7 ！is significantly stronger for Black，continuing to pile up on the e4－pawn．

## 

黄e7$19 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ ！？ $20 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{~d} 5$ is thought to be risky after 21 e 5 ！？气e4 22 气g3 皆xe5 23 Ddf5！（D），but in fact things are not nearly so clear．

B

a） $23 \ldots$ ．．．d2？ 24 璔f4 Exel＋ 25
 wins for White，as 27．．．gxh5 leads to mate after 28 峘xf $7+$ 蓸xh6 29 曹xh7＋

b） $23 \ldots \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！ 24 㥩f4 and now：
b1） $24 \ldots$ ．．． 6 ？ 25 它h $6+$ \＆xh6 26
断xe5 was clearly better for White in
the game Velimirovic－Romanishin， Vršac 1989.
b2） 24 ．．．Еxe1＋！ 25 』xe1 气xb2 26 Qe7＋（26 ©h6＋\＆g7 leads to noth－
塩c3！）27．．．0c6 28 Ogf5 \＆g7 29 ©xg7 曾xg7，when 30 Df5＋昆g8 31 $0 \mathrm{~h} 6+\dot{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{g} 7$ is a draw by repetition， while 30 \＆ 33 ！？keeps the game going， although Black does have an extra pawn to counterbalance White＇s activ－ ity．

## 20 粕 $e 3$

20 Еe3 Øct 21 थxc6 气xc6 22 \＃de1 $\mathrm{Qh}_{\mathrm{h} 5}$ was also slightly better for White in Akopian－Motwani，Gronin－ gen 1990.

20．．．（2c6 21 \＆f1（D）

B


We are following Short－Thipsay， British Ch（Torquay）1998．White kept a small advantage after 21．．． Dxd4 $_{22}$气xd4 曹f8 23 f 3 气d5 24 传f2 2 c 325
 Еe6 28 ב̈bd1．

B）
11．．． 2 d 7 （D）


Paul Keres first came up with this move in the 1960s．Black defends his e5－pawn，but also prepares to exert pressure on the white centre，and in particular the d4－square．This can be augmented（usually after ．．．cxd4）by ．．．2c6 and ．．．ef6．

## 12 ©bd2

12 dxc5！？is interesting，cutting across Black＇s basic plan．White will try to use the d 5 outpost，which has be－ come even more enticing since Black＇s knight has moved away from f6．Fol－ lowing 12．．．dxc5 13 bd2 we have：
a）13．．．学c7？（this move falls in with White＇s plan） 14 Qf1 Qb6 15
 18 exd5 \＆xd5 19 Øxe5 and Black faces a very nasty kingside attack． Fischer－Keres，Curaçao Ct 1962.

 \＆f8 19 adl with an edge to White， Short－Portisch，Tilburg 1988.
 16 W4 tigh8 and now Fischer recom－ mends 17 h 4 ，intending h5 and $0 \mathrm{f} 3-$ h4，with chances of a kingside attack．

## 12．．．cxd4

Recently the alternative capture 12．．．exd4！？has been seen quite a bit． After $13 \operatorname{cxd} 4$ ct 6 we have：
a） $14 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{cxd} 415 \varrho \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{Dxd}_{16} 16$
 looks OK for Black，Yurtaev－Nena－ shev，USSR Ch 1991.
b） 14 d 5 Dce5 15 Dxe5 looks a better bet．After 15 ．．．dxe5？！ 16 b3！， White can set about attacking the b5－ pawn with $\mathrm{a} 4, \hat{2} \mathrm{~d} 3$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{e} 2$ ．More re－ silient is $15 \ldots$ ．．． when 17．．．f5 18 e5 looks critical．

## 13 cxd4 0 c 6

This is stronger than 13．．．ef6 14

 19 Eadl and White is beautifully co－ ordinated，Timman－Magomedov，Elista OL 1998） 15 气e3 exd4 16 ©xd4 Qde5 17 \＆b3 and White is better， Tal－Johannsson，Reykjavik 1964.

## 14 ©b3

This move is currently thought to be White＇s best chance for an advan－ tage． 14 d 5 分 415 \＆b1 a5 16 a3 分a6 17 b4 Qb6 18 b3 宜d7 gave Black equality in Suetin－Tal，USSR Ch 1964，while 14 Df 1 exd4 transposes to note＇a＇to Black＇s 12th move．

## 14．．．a5 15 \＆d3

15 宜e3！？also promises an edge to White，e．g．15．．．a4 16 bbd2 exd4 17
 \＆e6 20 乌e3 §c6 21 定c3b4 22 宣d2
 Black＇s queenside pawns could actu－ ally become quite weak，Gligorić－ Reshevsky，Tel－Aviv OL 1964.

15．．．害a6
 16．．．axb3 17 \＆xc6 玉xa2 18 茞 $b 1$ leaves the b3－pawn ready to be taken，
 Qbd2 完f6 19 b3！exd4 20 气b2 Qe5 21 \＆xd4 \＆e6 22 Еe3 afforded Black no compensation for the pawn in Tal－ Romanishin，Jurmala 1987.

## $16 \mathrm{d5}$ Øb4 17 皿f1 a4

Delaying this move is asking for trouble．After 17 ．．．\＃c 8 White can play 18 Dxa5！as 18 ．．．宸xa5 runs into 19 \＆d2，followed by 20 a 3 ，winning the piece back while keeping an extra pawn．

## 18 Qbd4！？

This is a very clever move．Previ－ ously the main line ran 18 a3 $0 x d 519$ Wxd5 and now：
a）19．．．axb3 20 气xb5 气f6 21 Wd3
 24 §g5 with a slight edge to White，as the b3－pawn is quite weak，Sax－ Am．Rodriguez，Subotica IZ 1987.
b） $19 \ldots$. Db6 20 暑 d 1 axb 321 宸xb3都d7 was roughly level in Hellers－ Timman，Amsterdam 1986.

18．．．exd4 19 a3（D）

B


This is a crucial position in the eval－ uation of Keres＇s Variation．Let＇s look at some of Black＇s possibilities：
 Ec8 22 Udd2 gave White a clear plus in Lau－Toshkov，Skien U－18 Wch 1979.
b） $19 \ldots$ ．．．f6 20 axb4 㟶b6 21 b3
 Ea5 was also good for White in Sham－ kovich－Benjamin，USA 1976．The a6－ bishop is a very poor piece．
c） $19 \ldots ., 0 \mathrm{xd} 5$（a recent try） 20 exd5 £f6 21 分xd4 ©c5 22 むc6 曹b6 23
 White was on top in Anand－Piket，Wijk aan Zee 1999.
d） $19 . . . \unrhd \mathrm{c} 5$ ！？is an untried sugges－ tion from NCO．Now 200 xd 4 \＆f6 21 axb4 是xd4 22 bxc5 dxc5 looks quite unclear，but $20 \mathrm{axb} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{~b} 321$ Ёb1 宜f6 22 \＆ d 3 ，preparing $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 2$ ，may still give White the edge．

C）
11．．． 0 c6（D）


Immediately putting pressure on d 4 ，and inviting White to close the
centre with a gain of time．Once again， you＇ll find lots of Romanishin games in the notes．Nowadays this line has gone out of fashion but it still remains a sound option．

## 12 d 5

If 12 bbd2 Black does best to re－ lease the tension in the centre with 12．．．cxd4 13 cxd4 exd4 After 14 Db3

 when Black was equal in Abramović－ Romanishin，Stara Pazova 1988.

## 12．．． 2 a 5

Black＇s two other knight moves are not so good：
a） $12 \ldots$ ．$\triangle \mathrm{b} 813 \mathrm{a} 4 \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{b} 714$ Qbd2 Qbd7 15 Df1 and now if Black con－ tinues naturally with $15 \ldots$ ．．．e8 16 Øg3 \＆ f 8 he is merely two tempi down on the Breyer Variation．
b） $12 \ldots$ ．a7 $13 \mathrm{a} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 7$（ $13 \ldots$ \＆ d 7 14 Qxe5！dxe5 15 d 6 is good for
 a5 $0 d 717 \mathrm{~b} 4$ led to a significant ad－ vantage for White in Nunn－Van der Wiel，Brussels 1988.

13 ©bd2
13 b 3 ！？is a serious alternative to the text．White prevents ．．．Dc4 and keeps the option of developing the cl－bishop before the bl－knight．Black can reply：
a）13．．．g6 14 a4 9 ed 7 （ $E C O$ sug－ gests $14 \ldots \mathrm{e} 8$ as an improvement， which is fine until you see $15 \mathrm{axb5}$ axb5 16 b4！） 15 axb5 axb5 16 ©xe5 dxe5 17 d6 0h5 18 dxe7 Wxe7 19 \＆e3 and the bishop－pair gave White an edge in Benjamin－Romanishin， Moscow 1987.
b） $13 \ldots$ ．．．d7 14 ©xe5！dxe5 15 d 6 ， again with a small plus．
c） $13 \ldots$ ．． e 8 e 14 a 4 金d7 15 axb 5 axb5 16 \＆e3 g6 17 Qbd2 2 g 718 b4 cxb4 19 cxb4 処420 公xc4 bxc4 21学d2 f 5 with an unclear position，Psa－ khis－Romanishin，Moscow 1986.

## 13．．．g6

Preparing ．．． 5 h 5 ，which at the mo－ ment would fail to $\triangleq$ xe5．Black＇s other plan here is $13 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ，preparing ．．． 2 b 7 － c5．After $14 \triangleq$ f1 $\omega$ b7 White has two ways to achieve the advantage：
a） $15 \mathrm{~g} 4!? \mathrm{~h} 616 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~m} 717 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~h} 2$ きg5 18 ©xg5 hxg5 19 ＠e3 Ee8 20 U V d 2 f 6 （Chandler－Romanishin，USSR vs Rest of the World，London 1984） and now I like the idea of 21 gig2，fol－ lowed by f 3 ，\＃H1 and h 4 ．
b） 15 b3 楮c7 16 \＆b2 cxb3 17 axb3 ©c5 18 气3d2 气d7 19 b4 ©a4 20 囱xa4 bxa4 21 c4 and White will push through with c5，Hulak－Roman－ ishin，Yugoslavia－USSR 1976.

Note that 13．．．䦡c7 transposes to Line D．
 （D）


Black seems to be close to equality here，e．g．：
a） 17 \＆h6 fc 818 axb 5 cxb 419 bxa6 0 c 520 cxb4 $\mathrm{Dxa6}^{21}$ \＆a4
 was level in Geller－Romanishin，Mos－ cow 1985.

 c4 54 was unclear in Psakhis－Roman－ ishin，Sochi 1984.

## D）

## 11．．．宸c7

Defending e5 with the queen is Black＇s most common choice．

12 ©bd2（D）


Now Black has many different ways to proceed：
D1：12．．．ed7 134
D2：12．．．Ee8
134
D3：12．．．Dc6 135
D4：12．．．cxd4 136
Other moves often transpose，e．g．：
a） $12 \ldots$ did and now $13 \odot \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 14 cxd4 gives us Line D41，while

White can also consider 13 b3！？，e．g． 13．．．id 7 ！？（ $13 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 414 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ is also Line D41） 14 d 5 （keeping the tension with 14 fl also looks good）14．．．g6 15 ©fl c4 16 b4 $\triangleq$ b7（Brodsky－Piket， Wijk aan Zee 1995）and now the best chance to keep the advantage is proba－ bly 17 a4！？
b） $12 \ldots$ \＆ $6713 \mathrm{~d} 5(13 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{f} 1 \mathrm{cxd} 414$ cxd4 gives us Line D4）13．．．ec8 and we have transposed to Line D3．

## D1）

## 12．．．今d7

This is a rather passive system where Black just contents himself with the completing the mobilization of his forces and consolidating his kingside． This is achieved but only at the cost of reducing his chances of counterplay．

## 13 切1 ${ }^{\text {fe8 }}$

$13 \ldots . . c x d 414$ cxd4 would give us Line D43．

Black can also improve his knight＇s position by $13 \ldots$ c4．Then Topalov－ Frolov，Biel IZ 1993 continued 14
 c4 ©h5（17．．．bxc4 18 bxc4 Da4 19宣xa4 \＆xa4 20 e 3 followed by $\triangleq d 5$ is good for White） 18 \＆d2 ${ }^{\text {Eab }} 19 \mathrm{a} 4$ bxc4 20 bxc4 and now according to Frolov Black should play 20．．． 2 c 821气e3 2 f 422 䐗f 1 De6，although after 23 dd5 White still retains a slight edge．

14 b 3 g 615 §g5！ゆh5 16 定xe7玉xe7 17 ©e3 26

If $17 \ldots . .2$ f4？White can chase the knight immediately with 18 g 3 ，as 18．．． $0 x h 3+19$ dg2 ${ }^{\text {dec }} 820 \mathrm{dxe} 5$ dxe5 21 Eh1 gives White a very large
advantage．After the captures on h3 the rook will be no match for the two minor pieces，especially as White has the inviting d5 outpost．



White has the advantage in every sector of the board，holding the key pawn－breaks in both the centre and the queenside，and having chances to ex－ ploit the weak dark squares on the kingside．Stein－Matanović，Tel－Aviv OL 1964 continued 20．．．$\pm a e 821$ axb5

 eal and White was in total control．

## D2）

12．．．Ee8（D）
This is similar to the last line，ex－ cept Black doesn＇t commit the c8－ bishop so soon．

## 13 © 1

This is the most obvious response， but there＇s something to be said about the ambitious 13 b4！？，which was int－ roduced by Mikhail Tal．Play can con－


 as the 44 －pawn could become exposed after $\left.{ }^{〔} \mathrm{cl}\right) 15$ 定b2（15 a3 is also not bad，but this pawn sacrifice looks quite promising） $15 \ldots .0 \times 64$（Black can refrain from taking the pawn，but 15．．．exd4 16 气xd4 ©xd4 17 \＆xd4 \＆b7 18 を c1 is a bit better for White）



 Dxb2 19 Wbl ©a4 20 cl with a win－ ning position，Tal－Gurgenidze，USSR

 with a clear advantage for White， Jansa－Kostro，Vrnjačka Banja Z 1967.

## 13．．．ef8

Pressurizing the e4－pawn like this is more accurate than $13 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$ ，which allows White to achieve a significant advantage after 14 De3 \＆f8 15 b4！ cxb4 16 cxb4 0c6 17 \＆b2 \＆b7（or 17．．． $2 \times \mathrm{x} 418$ 首b3 with excellent play
 Tukmakov－Smyslov，USSR Ch 1973.

14 §g5 ©d7 15 气 3
 ©e7 18 g3 a5 gave Black enough counterplay in R．Byrne－Ivkov，Skopje OL 1972.

15．．．ゆb616b3 f6 17 气h4（Dc6（ $D$ ）


Yanofsky－Kraidman，Tel－Aviv 1966 now continued 18 dxc5 dxc5 19 d 5断d8 20 a 4 with a small plus to White．

## D3）

12．．． 2 c 6
This line is similar to，but more popular than 11．．．©c6（Line C）．Again Black puts pressure on d4 and asks White to make a decision about the centre．

13 d 5
Blocking the centre with the text－ move has become the main choice， rather than White＇s other plan starting with 13 dxc 5 ，which was popularized by Fischer during the 1960s．After 13．．．dxc5 14 Df1 White has a straight－ forward plan of trying to occupy the d5－outpost．This idea claimed quite a few victims until a successful antidote was found．After 14．．．\＆e6 15 乌e3
 be met by $17 \ldots$ ．．．h6！ 18 ve6 fxe6，when the doubled e－pawns protect many squares，in particular d 5 ，while White has to be wary of the pseudo－sacrifice ．．．©d4）17．．．Efe8 18 曾g5 0d7 19
 Qxe6 22 g 3 鸴c6 Black is extremely solid，Rubinetti－Filip，Palma de Mal－ lorca IZ 1970.

## 13．．． D $^{2} 8$

This is the most popular knight move here．Black hopes to reactivate this knight via the f 7 －square．The other choices include：
a）13．．．Фa7（this looks too cum－

 Egl and White has already built up a menacing kingside initiative，Klovans－ L．Schneider，Jurmala 1978.
b） $13 \ldots$ ．． D 514 b 3 ！（preventing ．．． 0 c 4 before playing 0 f 1 ） $14 \ldots \mathrm{e} \mathrm{d} 7$ 15 分1 号b716 0g3（16c4 bxc4 17
 better for White，Balashov－Kholmov， USSR Ch 1969）16．．．c4 17 b4！（again restraining Black＇s problem knight） 17．．．Efc8 18 Qf5 \＆f8 19 乌h2 with a clear advantage for White，Geller－ Mecking，Palma de Mallorca IZ 1970.

## 14 a4

White＇s future lies in a kingside at－ tack，but it＇s worth flicking this move in，as the a－file could also prove to be a useful asset．

## 14．．．E゙b8 15 axb5 axb5 16 b4 c4

 Ea8 19 Ud2 £f8 22 Ea 2 and White was better in Karpov－Unzicker，Nice OL 1974.

17 亿f1 他818乌3h2f619f4 exf4
Black must get a bit of air for his pieces．19．．．Df7 20 ゆf3 g6 $21 \mathrm{f5}$ ！ 2g7 22 g 4 gave Black no breathing space in Karpov－Spassky，USSR Ch 1973.
全d7（D）


Black＇s position remains very solid， but White＇s space advantage guaran－ tees a slight plus．Ernst－Brandl，Hart－ berg 1991 continued 23 De3 0 g 724

 White had excellent play for the pawn．

## D4）

## 12．．．cxd4

Black＇s most popular and active line． Black immediately seeks counterplay down the open c －file．

## 13 cxd4（D）

Now：
D41：13．．．Ed8 137
D42：13．．．今b7 137
D43：13．．．ㅇd7 139
D44：13．．．分c6 140


## D41）

## 13．．． $\mathbf{E d} 8$

A sneaky move，which is part of a plan to surprise White with ．．．d5．

14 b3
After 14 fl Black puts his plan into operation with 14 ．．．exd4．Now 15 $\theta \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5$ looks fine for Black，while 15 金 $f 4$ ！？leads to the following lines：
a） $15 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 416 \mathrm{~b} 3$ 气a3 17 요 3
 and White was better in Korneev－Fer－ nandez Garcia，Saragossa 1996.
b） $15 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 6$ ！？ 16 含b3 燔b6（the al－ ternative $16 \ldots$ ．．．e6 also looks OK） 17
 now White was slightly better after
 22 Exc8 全xc8 23 念 e 3 玉xd3 24 㑒xb6 Ex d 725 f5 in Popović－Zsu．Polgar， Novi Sad tt 1990，but 19．．．h6！，plan－ ning to meet 20 Ed2 with $20 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ， looks stronger．

14．．．©c6 15 全b2 exd4 16 公xd4


White maintains a small plus after
 20 Ёxc8 Еxc8 21 صf1．
 （D）


Black has achieved the desired ．．．d5 break，but there is still plenty to play for，e．g．：
a） $20 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 421$ 金xf6 金xf622e5昷g5 23 畨e2 断b6 24 Encd1 gave White a small edge in Popović－Hellers，Thes－ saloniki OL 1988.
b） $20 . .$. 㥩a3 21 e 5 d 4 and now，in－ stead of 22 真a1 公d5，which was un－ clear in the game Ernst－Wedberg， Lugano 1989， 22 exf6 deserves atten－ tion．After 22．．．dxc3 23 数c2！（not 23 fxe7？cxd2）23．．．g6（or 23．．．${ }^{\text {S }}$ xf6 24

 White is a pawn to the good．

## D42）

## 13．．．貝b7 14 d5

Nowadays this move，which gains space and blunts the b7－bishop，is more popular than the older 14 Df1． One sample line after 14 Df1 is 14．．．घac8 15 Еe2 d5！？16 0xe5 dxe4


19 定h4 Qc6 20 公xc6 䀂xc6 21 Qf5
 and Black was equal in Murey－Pinter， French Cht 1994）17．．．Efd8 18 ©f5
 Wd2 20c6 22 0xc6 0 ．xc6 and White＇s bishop－pair is compensated by pres－ sure on the d4－pawn，Stefansson－Pin－ ter，Lyons ECC 1994.

## 14．．．Еас8（D）

Black logically puts his rook on the open c－file and attacks the c2－bishop． The only problem with this plan is that the b7－bishop may wind up being blocked out of the game for a long time．To deal with this，Black will try to arrange a timely ．．．f5 to blow open the centre and weaken the d5－pawn． Of course，this is much more easily said than done．

Another possibility is the immediate re－routing of the bishop with $14 \ldots$ ．．．c8． White can then continue in the classi－ cal manner with 15 ff1，but 15 b4！？ also looks good．After 15．．．Dc4 16 Qxc4 both 16．．．bxc4 17 Ee3！酉d7 18




## 15 㑒b1！？

This move is prophylaxis against Black＇s ．．．f5 break．On bl the bishop is less prone to an attack from ．．．2d7－c5 or ．．． $0 \mathrm{~h} 5-\mathrm{f} 4$ ．Nevertheless，the more active 15 \＆d3！？is a fully playable al－ ternative，e．g．15．．．$\subseteq d 716 \triangleq f 1 \mathrm{f} 517$ Dg3！？（17exf5 金xd5 18 Dg5 会xg5
 may also be a bit better for White，al－ though Black does have central pawns in compensation for the bishop－pair） 17．．．f4 18 － 5 and now：
a） $18 \ldots \mathrm{H} \mathrm{d} 819 \mathrm{~b} 3 \mathrm{~g} 620$ थh6＋ 210 g 4 h 522 鳥h 2 is clearly better for White according to Leko．This po－ sition is a bit deceptive，as Black has managed to gain quite a bit of space on the kingside．However，with his bishop totally redundant on 67 ，Black will find it very difficult to arrange the desired ．．．g5－g4 push，while White＇s queen－ side attack should run reasonably smoothly．
b） 18 ．．．exf5！？ 19 exf5 0 c 520 b 3 \＆．f6！ 21 Eb1 and Black doesn＇t have quite enough for the exchange，Leko－ Gomez Esteban，Pamplona 1993／4．

## 15．．．乌h5

Arranging a quick ．．．f5 is not so ef－ fective when the bishop is on b1．After 15．．． 2 d 716 亿f1 f5？！ 17 exf5！©f6 18
 21 全d2 clearly on top in Shirov－Adla，Bor－ deaux rpd 1998.
 ©c4（D）

The diagram looks rather amusing， with the black pieces seeming rather active，while the white ones are huddled

on the back rank．However，if you were able to shuffle your pieces at the start of the game，I imagine White＇s set－up would be a very popular one．In fact，this is as good as it gets for Black， as the next couple of moves see his knights driven back into defence．Af－
 22 ゆe2 0 e 723 g 4 gg 24 Df5 White held the advantage in Van Riemsdijk－ Matsuura，Curitiba 1992.

## D43）

## 13．．．全d7

Once again，Black prepares to put a rook on c8．Compared to 13 ．．．eb7 （Line D42），Black puts less immediate pressure on the centre，but on the other hand，if White advances d 5 ，it doesn＇t leave the black bishop hitting a brick wall．Because of this，it makes more sense for White to keep the central tension．

## 14 ゆf1 む̈ac8

$14 . . . \mathrm{Ec} 8$ is an interesting attempt by Black to improve on the main line． Then：
a） 15 e3 ct 3 and now：
al） 16 d 5 Qb4 17 \＆b1 a5 18 a 3 Da6 shows the main point of Black＇s idea；his rook is well placed on a8．For example 19 b4？would now simply lose a pawn．
a2） 16 a3 and now Fischer－Bis－ guier，US Ch（New York）1958／9 con－ tinued 16．．．a5 17 d5 ©d8 18 \＆ d 2 a 4
 vantage to White．However， $16 \ldots .$. Uxd $^{2}$ 17 ©xd4 exd4 18 当xd4 d5！must be critical，reaching a position very simi－ lar to the note to White＇s 16th move， the only difference being the placing of the black rooks．This little differ－ ence helps White，but Black＇s position is still fully playable，e．g．：
a21） 19 b 4 dxe 420 会xe4 包xe4 21
 equal．
a22） 19 e5 苗c5 20 Wf4 Ee8 21


 28 企d4 UWx229 Wg 5 wins for White） 23 全xf5 g6 24 企g4 畄xe5 25 全d2， when White has some compensation for the pawn，but is it enough？
b）Given all of this，the most accu－ rate move for White may well be 15 Ee2！？，leading to play similar to the next note．

## 15 这 3

This is the main move here，but 15 Ee2！？is also appealing，for example 15．．．Efe8 16 b3 ©c6 17 全b2 会f8 18

 an edge to White，Chandler－Thipsay， London Lloyds Bank 1989.

15．．．2c6（D）


## 16 d 5

An instructive mistake is 16 a3？！
 e5（19 exd5 金c5！ 20 管c3 篮xe3 21 Exe3 Wixc3 22 Еxc3 Exc3 23 bxc3 ©xd5 favours Black）19．．．．今c5 20 觜f4

 Ec6 26 ©xd5 E．5 and White is strug－ gling to draw，Hartman－L．B．Hansen， Copenhagen 1996.

16．．．©b4 17 金b1 a5 18 a3 気 19 b4！

This is an important move．White uses a little trick to gain valuable space on the queenside and fix the black pawn on b5，where it can be attacked．

19．．．Ea8
Black has other possibilities in this position：
a）19．．．axb4 20 axb4 箵b7（cer－ tainly not $20 \ldots$ ．．．xb4？ 21 企d2！trap－ ping the knight－this is the trick） 21

 $\pm \mathrm{E} 827 \mathrm{Ec} 1$ and the pressure on the b5－pawn assures White the advantage， Hellers－Howell，Reykjavik 1990.
b）19．．．g6 20 显d2 $\Delta \mathrm{h} 5$（20．．axb4 21 axb4 挡b7 22 企d3 ©c7 23 0c2 was slightly better for White in Tal－ Hjartarson，Reykjavik 1987） 21 èd3 ©f4 22 亚f1 f5 23 exf5 gxf5 24 Ёc1
昷b4e428 2 d 4 ！and Black has many weaknesses，Leko－Lukacs，Budapest 1993.

20 鲑 $\mathbf{d} 2 \boldsymbol{E f c 8}(D)$


White has a slight plus，although，as always，Black＇s position is very diffi－ cult to break down．Here are two pos－ sible continuations：
 £d8 24 g1，planning to continue with $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 2$ and 44 ，de Firmian－Piket， Amsterdam 1996.
b） 21 荲d3 axb4 22 axb4 省b7 23 ©h2 27 （Anand－Piket，Amsterdam 1993）and now Anand recommends $24 \triangle \mathrm{c} 2$ ，preventing. .0 h 5 and pre－ paring to recapture on al with the knight，followed by $\triangle \mathrm{b} 3-\mathrm{a} 5$ ．

D44）
13．．． 2 c 6

Immediately asking White ques－ tions in the centre．On this particular occasion，White is served best by over－protecting the d4－pawn．

14 乌b3 a5
Black begins the logical plan of at－ tacking the b3－knight and gaining space on the queenside．

## 15 臽e3a4

15．．．台b4 16 金bla4 17 分bd2 leads to the same position．

16 方d2 皿d7
Black also has two alternatives：
a） $16 \ldots$ ．．．．e6 17 a3 0 a5 18 金d3
 Eebl exd4 22 虫xd4 ゆb3 23 合xb3
 Qf5 with a dangerous kingside attack， R．Byrne－F．Olafsson，Reykjavik 1984.
b） $16 \ldots$ ．． b 4 ！？ 17 苗b1 \＆d7 18 a 3

 dxe5 dxe5 24 合c5 gave White a slight edge in the game Tal－G．Kuzmin，Le－ ningrad 1977）20．．．当b8 21 שec1！？ \＃e8 22 Еabl＠f8（22．．．h6 23 b 4 axb 3
 b5－pawn very weak）．The position af－ ter 22．．．今 e f8 arose in Klovans－Bel－ iavsky，Groningen 1992．Now instead of 23 b4？！，which allowed Black to equalize with $23 \ldots \mathrm{axb} 324 \mathrm{xb} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{xb} 3$

25 田xb3 exd4！ 26 企xd4 新d8，Bel－ iavsky suggests 23 昷g5！and only then 24 b4．
 Eab8 20 dxe5

20 a3 exd4 21 勾xd4 地 22 贯b1金d8 23 24f3 and now 23．．．\＆a5 24 Qxe5 dxe5 25 Ёed 1 \＆c6 26 b4 直d8 27 全c5 was good for White in Rõtša－ gov－Gausel，Gothenburg 1998，but Black should keep the pressure on e4 with 23．．．Dg6！．

20．．．dxe5 21 ＠c5（D）


This is Ljubojević－Timman，Bugoj－ no 1982．After 21．．．©h5 22 g 3 ！舀xc5 （22．．．昷xh3 23 昷xe7 ©xe7 24 Og5！） 23 Exc5 斯b6 24 घec1 White kepta small advantage．

## Index of Variations


Chapter 1：Without 3．．．a6，3．．．⿹f6，3．．．皿c5 or 3．．．f5
Chapter 2：3．．．f5 4 ©c3！
Chapter 3：3．．．今c5 $40-0$
Chapter 4：3．．．$勹 \mathrm{f6} 40-0$
3．．．a64䀂a4
Chapter 5：Without 4．．．乌f6
Chapter 6：4．．．थf6 $50-0$
Chapter 7：4．．．乌1650－0 巳xe4 6 d 4



## 

Chapter 11：9．．．ゆd7 10 d 4
Chapter 12：9．．．．${ }^{\text {eb }} \mathrm{b} 710 \mathrm{~d} 4$
Chapter 13：9．．．h6 10 d 4 Еe8 11 ゆbd2 酉f8 12 Øf1
Chapter 14：9．．．$\searrow \mathrm{b} 810 \mathrm{~d} 4$
Chapter 15：9．．．气a5 10 会c2 c5 11 d 4

1：Rare Third Moves for Black

A：3．．．害b49
B：3．．．d6 10

C1：5．．．c6 12
C2：5．．．酓c5 13
全xe7 14
D1：6．．．曹xe7 14
D2：6．．．Dgxe7 15
E：3．．．⿹ge7 16
E1： 40 c 3 ！？ 16
E2： 4 c3 16

2：The Schliemann Variation
 18
A：4．．．$\triangle$ f6 19
B：4．．． 01419
C：4．．．fxe4 215 亿xe4 21
C1：5．．．2f6 21
C2：5．．．d5 226 \＆xe5！dxe4 7 ©xc6

$$
23
$$

C21：7．．．bxc6 23

C22：7．．． | U1d |
| :--- | 24


C231：9．．．． $\mathrm{W} 44+25$
C232：9．．．書xf4 26

3：The Classical Variation
 29
A：4．．． 0 ge7 30
B：4．．．Dd4 30
C： 4 ．．．$D \mathrm{f} 6315 \mathrm{c} 331$
C1：5．．．0xe4！？ 32
C2：5．．．0－0 32
4：The Berlin Defence
 374．．．乌xe45 d4！ 37
A：5．．．自e7 38
B：5．．．⿹勹d 6406 皿xc6dxc6 7 dxe5 40
B1：7．．． De 4 ！？ 41
B2：7．．．乌f5 428 精 $x d 8+$ 家xd89 亿c3 42
B21：9．．．©e7 42
B22：9．．．きe6 43
B23：9．．．h6 44
B24：9．．．きe8 44
B241： 10 b3 44
B242： 10 h 345
5：The Deferred Steinitz and
Other 4th Move Alternatives
 48
A： $4 . . .5549$
B：4．．．b5 49
C：4．．．d6 505 c3 50
C1：5．．．f5 51
C2：5．．．金d7526d452
C21：6．．．乞ge7 52
C22：6．．．g6 53
6：Moller and Arkhangelsk
Variations

－ $0650-056$
A：5．．．d6 56

B1：7．．．d5！？ 58
B2：7．．．d6 59
C：5．．．b5 596 金b3 59
C1：6．．．s．c5 607 c 3 d 68 a 41
C11：8．．．eb7 62
C12：8．．．巴ँb8 62
C13：8．．．銅g 43

C21：8．．．0－0 65
C22：8．．．d6 669 d4 金b6 10 金e 3 0－0
11 分bd2 h6 12 h3 66
C221：12．．．تe8 67
C222：12．．．exd4 67
C223：12．．．Ёb8 68

## 7：The Open Lopez



d5 8 dxe5 宜e6 9 Qbd2 71
A：9．．．욛 72

12 Eel 73
B1： $12 \ldots 0-074$
B2：12．．．（4d7 75
C：9．．．0c5 7510 c3 d4 75
C1： 11 g55！？ 76
C11：11．．．dxc3 77
C12：11．．．酉d5！？ 78
C13：11．．．怕xg5 78
C2：11显xe6 79

## 8：The 8 a4 Anti－Marshall


Qf650－0 \＆e76 \＃̈e1836．．．b57金b3
$0-08$ a4 83
A：8．．．تط $\mathbf{Z 5} 83$
B：8．．．b4 84
C：8．．．点b7859 d385
C1：9．．．Ee8 86
C2：9．．．d6 8610 亿bd2 86

C21：10．．．2d7 87
C22：10．．．』а5 88

## 10：Rare Closed Lopez Variations <br>   c3 96 8．．．0－0 9 h3 96 <br> A： $9 . . .{ }^{2} 96$ <br> B：9．．．气e6 97

11：The Karpov Variation


c3 0－09 h3 ©d7 10 d 499
A：10．．． Ob $^{2} 9911$ 句bd2 99
A1：11．．．exd4 100
A2：11．．．金f6 101
B：10．．．䁬f6 10111 a4！ 101
B1：11．．．巴ّ8 102
B2：11．．．$\triangle \mathbf{a} 5102$
B3：11．．．皿b7 103
B31： 12 d5 103
B32： 12 气a 3104
12：The Zaitsev Variation
1 e 4 e 52 乌f3 2c6 3 金b5 a6 4 金a 4

c3 0－0 9 h3 \＆ $\mathbf{\text { Lb }} 10 \mathrm{~d} 4108$ 10．．．Ee8
11 台bd2 \＆f8 109
A： $12 \mathrm{d5} 109$
A1：12．．． Qe7 $_{109}$
A2：12．．．乞b8 11013 ©f1 ©bd7 110
A21： 14 Q 3 h 2110
A22： 14 气g3 111
A221：14．．．\＆c5 111
A222：14．．．g6 112
B： 12 a4 11312 ．．．h6 13 \＆ $\mathbf{e}$ c2 exd4 14
cxd4 乌b4 15 血b1 c5！ 16 d5 ©d7 17
Ea3 114

B1：17．．．c4 11418 axb5 axb5 19 ©d4
114
B11：19．．．Ехха3 115
B12：19．．．De5 116
B2：17．．．f5 11618 かh2！？©f6 11719

13：The Smyslov Variation

 c3 0－0 9 h3 h6 10 d 4 Ee8 11 台bd2皿f812 2 f1 119
A：12．．．．${ }^{\text {ed }} 7119$
B： 12 ．．．． f b 120

## 14：The Breyer Variation


 c3 0－09 h3 仓b8 10 d4 122 10．．． 0 bd7 11 台bd2 金b7 12 羍c2 123 12．．．Ee8
13乌f1 苗f814气g3g615a4c516d5
 19 娄d2 125

## 15：The Chigorin Defence


 c30－09h3 左5 10 皿c2c511d4127
A：11．．．今b7 128
B：11．．． 2 d 7130
C：11．．．2c6 132
D：11．．．當c713312 Qbd2 133
D1：12．．．．ㅇ．d7 134
D2：12．．．Ee8 134
D3：12．．． 0 c 6135
D4：12．．．cxd4 $13613 \mathbf{c x d 4} 136$
D41：13．．．Ed8 137
D42：13．．．． e b7 137
D43：13．．．』d7 139
D44：13．．．थc6 140

